

# 2008 Juvenile Court Statistics Report

**Division of Youth Services** 

# Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report Calendar Year 2008

Missouri Department of Social Services P. O. Box 1527 221 West High Street Jefferson City, MO 65102-1527

## **Missouri Department of Social Services**

## Vision:

Safe, healthy, productive citizens.

## Mission:

To maintain or improve the quality of life for Missouri citizens.

## Values:

- Accountability in the provision of effective and efficient services;
- Child safety and well being that recognize the family as the child's primary resource;
- Quality healthcare provided in the least restrictive environment;
- Collaboration with community partners;
- Respect for the dignity and diversity of every individual;
- Excellence in the quality of services provided to our citizens; and
- Staff committed to professional development, innovation and teamwork.

# **Missouri Division of Youth Services Mission Statement**

To enable youth to fulfill their needs in a responsible manner within the context of and with respect for the needs of the family and the community.



Your Potential. Our Support.

JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON, GOVERNOR • RONALD J. LEVY, DIRECTOR

DIVISION OF YOUTH SERVICES TIM DECKER, DIRECTOR P.O. BOX 447 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102-0447 WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-751-3324 • 573-526-4494 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Missouri Division of Youth Services (DYS) is pleased to present the 2008 Missouri Juvenile Court Annual Report. This is the sixty-fourth annual juvenile court report, providing pertinent statistics regarding the number and characteristics of referrals made to Missouri's juvenile courts.

We extend our appreciation to the Governor, Missouri Legislature, Department of Social Services and Juvenile Courts throughout the state for their cooperation in this project. Thanks are also due to the Research and Evaluation Unit for helping collect and assemble the data included in this report.

Very truly yours,

(Original document signed by)

Tim Decker Director

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW	1
Race and Gender Comparisons	1
Referral Types	2
Referral Trends	3
Referral Sources	3
Most Common Major Allegations	4
Disposition of Referrals	5
Certifications to Adult Court	8
Pre-Hearing Placements	8
LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS	10
Law Violation Outcomes	10
Age Distribution of Law Violators	11
STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS	12
Status Offense Outcomes	12
Status Offense Placements	13
ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS	14
Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes	14
Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	14
APPENDICES	15
A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	16
B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	18
C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	20
D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County	22
E: Out-of-Home Placements by Circuit	24
F: In-Home Services by Circuit	25
G: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County	26
H: Referrals by Type, Level and Circuit	28
I: Number of Juveniles Certified to Adult Court by Circuit, Race and Gender	29
MISSOURI'S 45 JUDICIAL CIRCUITS (map)	30

## **CHARTS AND TABLES**

Table 1.	Annual Referrals	1
Chart 1.	Total Referrals by Race and Gender	1
Table 2.	Juvenile Court Referral Statistics: 1999-2008	2
Chart 2.	Trend of Referrals	3
Table 3.	Referral Source	3
Chart 3.	Most Common Major Allegation: Male	4
Chart 4.	Most Common Major Allegation: Female	4
Chart 5.	Disposition of Referrals	6
Chart 6.	Outcomes of Formally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 7.	Outcomes of Informally Disposed Referrals	6
Chart 8.	Out-of-Home Placements	7
Chart 9.	In-Home Service Providers	7
Table 4.	Referrals Certified to Adult Court - Age by Race	8
Table 5.	Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender	8
Chart 10.	Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Type of Facility	8
Chart 11.	Non-Secure Pre-Hearing Placements: Operator of Facility	9
Chart 12.	Outcomes of Law Violation Referrals	10
Table 6.	Law Violation Referrals by Allegation and Age	11
Chart 13.	Outcomes of Status Offense Referrals	12
Chart 14.	Status Offense Referrals by Gender	12
Table 7.	Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals	13
Table 8.	Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals	13
Table 9.	Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals by Category	14
Chart 15.	Outcomes of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals	14
Table 10.	Age Group of Victim	14

### JUVENILE COURT OVERVIEW

This report is the sixty-fourth in a series of juvenile court statistics, and the thirtieth published under the direction of the Division of Youth Services (DYS) and the Department of Social Services' Research and Evaluation Unit, as mandated by Section 219.036.4, RSMo. This report presents the summary statistics of Missouri's juvenile court system for calendar year 2008.

The numbers presented in this report are not individual children, but separately disposed court referrals. A referral is defined as "any action involving a juvenile which results in a determination, finding, or outcome and a written record maintained in the juvenile's name." A disposed referral is one which has resulted in a "finding" or "outcome."

Examples of findings and outcomes include the following: Court Adjudication, Informal Adjustment, Transfer to Other Court, and Rejection of Referral.

Throughout this report, the term "referral" means "disposed referral."


In calendar year 2008, Missouri's 45 juvenile courts disposed 68,464 referrals. This is a 9% decrease from 75,408 in 2004 and a 1% decrease from 2007 alone.

Table 1. Annual Referrals				
Year	Referrals			
2004	75,408			
2005	72,033			
2006	71,027			
2007	69,385			
2008	68,464			

NOTE: The number of children represented by these referrals can not be accurately reported due to inconsistencies in identification systems from circuit to circuit. Therefore, an unduplicated statewide count of individual children is not available.

#### **Race and Gender Comparisons**

Chart 1 shows the gender and racial breakdown of all referrals in 2008. Males made up the larger portion in each racial grouping. Overall, males made up 64% of all referrals, while females made up 36%. Caucasian youth comprised 67% of the referral population, African-American youth comprised 30%, and 3% of referrals were of other races or unknown.



#### **Referral Types**

Referrals to Missouri's juvenile courts break down into five categories:

Law Violations:	Acts which would be in violation of the Missouri Criminal Code if they were committed by an adult.
Status Violations:	Acts which are violations only if committed by a juvenile. These include such infractions as truancy and running away from home.
Abuse, Neglect, Custody: (A/N/C)	Reports of Missouri children who were victims of abuse or ne- glect, and children involved in custody disputes, transfers, or ter- minations of parental rights.
Court Order Violations:	Reported referrals of violations of court orders.
Municipal, Juvenile:	Referrals for juvenile municipal violations, such as smoking in a public place and curfew violations. Prior to 2001, these referrals were reported in the Status and Administrative categories.


Table 2 shows the breakdown of all referrals into the appropriate referral categories over the past ten years. As in the past, the Law Violation category contained the most referrals, at 54%.

	_	Abuse,	Court		<b>a</b>	
	Law	Neglect,	Order	Municipal,	Status	
Year	Violation	Custody	Violation*	Juvenile**	Offense***	Total
1999	49,323	13,696	835		24,570	88,424
2000	46,291	14,843	1,048		22,728	84,910
2001	45,942	17,483	1,124	2,814	19,977	87,340
2002	42,793	15,949	1,147	2,189	18,303	80,381
2003	40,922	15,261	940	2,132	17,672	76,927
2004	41,249	14,523	855	1,878	16,903	75,408
2005	38,937	13,598	1,043	2,232	16,223	72,033
2006	39,496	11,890	1,376	2,179	16,086	71,027
2007	37,249	12,713	1,239	1,999	16,185	69,385
2008	36,774	12,957	1,296	2,017	15,420	68,464

- \* The definition for *Court Order Violation* changed in 2001. It was previously called the *Administrative* category and consisted of court order violations and miscellaneous municipal juvenile charges.
- \*\* The Municipal, Juvenile category is effective with 2001 reporting. See above definition.
- \*\*\* The definition for *Status Offense* reporting changed in 1994 to include curfew violations and changed again in 2001 to remove curfew violations, which are now tracked in the *Municipal, Juvenile* category.

#### **Referral Trends**


Chart 2 illustrates the change in total referrals, by category, over the past ten years.




#### **Referral Sources**

Referrals to the Missouri juvenile court system can come from many sources. In 2008, 62% of all referrals were initiated by law enforcement. As in the past, more than half of the abuse, neglect and custody referrals were initiated by the Department of Social Services' Children's Division (CD). Status offense referrals were more likely to be made by schools or municipal police. The juvenile courts, county sheriffs and parents were also the initiators of large numbers of referrals. Table 3 shows the sources of all referrals to the Missouri juvenile courts.

Table 3. Referral Source					
2008					
	<u>Referrals</u>				
Law Enforcement					
Municipal Police	35,875				
County Sheriff	5,460				
Other Law Enforcement	690				
Highway Patrol	289				
Children's Division	9,191				
School	8,533				
Juvenile Court Personnel	3,254				
Parent	2,353				
Other Juvenile Court	627				
Social Agency - Public	256				
Social Agency - Private	203				
Relative, Other than Parent	203				
Victim or Self-Referral	108				
Department of Mental Health	33				
Other	853				
Miscode	536				
Total	68,464				





Charts 3 and 4 illustrate the most common major allegations, by gender, for referrals disposed in 2008. While all law violations added together account for the most referrals overall, when examining individual categories of referrals by gender, status offenses contained more referrals for both males and females. There were many abuse, neglect and custody referrals, as well.

The incidence of law violation referrals among females was much lower than law violation referrals for males.

\* The top nine referral reasons for each gender are listed. "All Others" totals the remaining reasons for referral.

#### **Disposition of Referrals**

After a youth has been referred to a juvenile court, a formal judgment or informal decision is made about how to handle the case. The possible determinations or outcomes fall into two categories: (1) those that are handled **formally** with a petition to the court, and (2) those that are **informally** adjusted outside of the court.

The **formal** dispositions are:


True, Out-of-Home:	The allegation is found to be true and the youth is placed out of his or her home. The placement may be with the Division of Youth Services, a foster home, a relative, or some other agency.
True, In-Home:	The allegation is found to be true and the youth receives services in his or her own home.
True, No Services:	The allegation is found to be true, but the youth receives no services.
Not True:	The allegation is found to be not true.
Motion to Dismiss:	The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is closed.
Dismiss for Certification:	The court sustains a motion to dismiss and the case is trans- ferred to an adult criminal court to be processed under the crimi- nal code.
The informal dispositions are:	
Adjust without Supervision:	No more than one face-to-face contact is made between court personnel and the juvenile. This usually results in the giving of advice, counsel or a verbal warning.
Adjust with Supervision:	Either a verbal or written agreement is made to put the juvenile under court supervision. This usually includes some sort of res- titution or community service.
Adjust - No Action:	No action is taken by the juvenile officer other than the collec- tion of information.
Transfer to Other Juvenile Court:	Jurisdiction over the juvenile is transferred to another juvenile court for disposition.
Transfer to Other Agency:	The youth's case record is turned over to another agency for disposition.
Referral Rejected:	No reason is found to sustain the referral.


#### **Disposition of Referrals (cont.)**


The dispositions of referrals to Missouri juvenile courts are shown in Chart 5. In 2008, 77% of all referrals were informally disposed.

Charts 6 and 7 show the outcomes of formally and informally disposed referrals. Without supervision, in the informal category, was the finding for the largest number of referrals.

Formal dispositions accounted for 23% of all referral outcomes.







#### **Disposition of Referrals (cont.)**

A total of 5,262 referrals were placed out-of-home in 2008. The Children's Division received 56% of these placements. Another 22% of the placements were made to DYS. Other placement categories include: court residential care, relatives other than parents, private agencies, or public agencies.





Chart 8 shows the distribution of out-of-home placements.

In-home services can be ordered by the court or provided informally by court personnel. In-home services were provided for 13,223 referrals in 2008 (formal and informal). Juvenile court personnel supervised 80% of these in-home service cases. (Chart 9.)



#### **Certifications to Adult Court**

In 2008, there were 97 referrals dismissed from the juvenile courts to allow certification to adult court. Table 4 shows the number of dismissed referrals by age and race.


#### **Pre-Hearing Placements**

At the time of a referral, a juvenile may be detained awaiting a determination. Two types of facilities are used for detention: secure and non-secure. During 2008, 11,403 referrals were detained prior to hearing. Males comprised 65% of all placements, and they were more likely to be detained in secure facilities. Table 5 provides a breakdown of detainees by category and gender.

Table 4. Referrals Certified toAdult Court in 2008 - Age by Race							
		African-					
	Caucasian	American	Other	Total			
Age 14	2	0	0	2			
Age 15	3	7	0	10			
Age 16	22	35	2	59			
Age 17	6	6	3	15			
Age 18 +							
Total	37	55	5	97			


Table 5. Pre-Hearing Placements by Referral Type and Gender									
2008									
	Secure Non-Secure Both								
Referral Type	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female			
Law Violation	3,495	893	487	134	257	49			
Status	505	410	172	195	30	25			
Abuse, Neglect, Custody	44	47	1,923	2,061	32	29			
Court Order Violation	339	91	67	15	29	6			
Municipal, Juvenile	40	0							
Total	4,423	1,460	2,651	2,408	352	109			

There are several types of facilities in which non-secure placements may be made: Foster Home, Group Home, Institution or Facility, Relative, and Other. From 2007 to 2008, the foster home category increased from 21% to 24%, while the other category decreased from 18% to 11%. Chart 10 details the percentage of placements into the different categories.



#### **Pre-Hearing Placements (cont.)**

Chart 11 shows the operators of the non-secure facilities. The operators of a facility can be: the Children's Division (CD), the Department of Mental Health (DMH), Juvenile Court, City or County Government, Private Agency, Relative, or Other. The Juvenile Court was the operator of the facilities in 46% of the disposed referrals that had a non-secure placement in 2008.




#### LAW VIOLATION REFERRALS

Law violation referrals (36,774) made up 54% of all referrals to the juvenile court system in 2008. A law violation represents a single delinquent act, even though several offenses may have been committed at the same time by the child referred. The most serious violation that resulted in a final disposition is recorded as the major allegation for the referral.

#### Law Violation Outcomes

Chart 12 depicts the outcomes of all law referrals. Informal adjustment without supervision accounted for 20% of all law violation outcomes. Informal adjustments accounted for 81% of law violation referrals.



#### Age Distribution of Law Violators

As was the case in 2007 (54%), the majority of all the law violation referrals in 2008 (54%) were 15- and 16year-old youths. This age group was most likely to be referred for stealing, assault, property damage, or dangerous drugs. This same pattern can be seen among most of the age groups.


Table 6. Law Violation Referrals in 2008 by Allegation and Age										
	Age Group									
Violation	Miscode	< 12	12	13	14	15	16	17	18+	Total
Murder/Homicide	0	0	0	0	2	4	8	5	2	21
Sexual Assault	23	40	33	50	76	88	115	35	41	501
Robbery	0	0	3	16	58	98	151	21	1	348
Assault	14	830	629	969	1,461	1,840	2,069	480	25	8,317
Burglary	4	81	72	148	317	417	521	123	14	1,697
Stealing	23	323	349	734	1,334	1,960	2,693	689	31	8,136
Kidnapping	0	0	1	2	4	5	4	2	0	18
Arson	1	54	23	32	36	43	43	3	0	235
Forgery	0	1	0	3	3	9	19	5	2	42
Fraud	0	2	0	3	8	18	40	17	1	89
Gambling	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
Sex Offenses	12	223	80	135	196	204	135	41	24	1,050
Property Damage	10	418	268	440	722	1,012	1,123	273	23	4,289
Stolen Property	1	3	10	29	65	106	133	29	3	379
Obscenity	0	1	2	1	7	11	7	0	0	29
Family Offenses	0	4	0	4	1	5	7	3	1	25
Obstructing Police	1	18	11	33	80	149	167	36	0	495
Flight/Escape	0	0	0	1	3	8	15	9	1	37
Obstruct Judicial Process	0	2	12	42	220	225	288	164	4	957
Weapons	0	50	45	72	124	157	206	16	3	673
Dangerous Drugs	8	18	45	152	365	654	1,032	399	16	2,689
Liquor Laws/Violations	5	3	11	39	146	394	879	252	7	1,736
Peace Disturbance	9	174	174	303	490	685	668	132	4	2,639
Health And Safety	0	10	12	17	46	65	118	28	0	296
Conservation	0	0	1	1	11	12	28	9	1	63
Motor Vehicle Violations	1	3	5	12	44	72	24	3	1	165
Hazardous Driving	0	5	1	6	19	46	62	15	2	156
Invasion Of Privacy	1	59	72	118	263	333	491	80	4	1,421
Threats	0	12	14	7	23	23	18	4	0	101
Exploitation/Enticement	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3
City Park Violation	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0		5
Driver License Violations	0	0	0	0	7	0	1	0		8
Misc. Driving/Vehicle Viol.	0	0	1	1	0	3	3	1	0	9
Pedestrian Violations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1
Property Violations	0	1	1	1	3	4	9	1	0	20
Speeding Violations	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	20
Tampering Ordinances	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	3		13
Miscellaneous Ordinances	0	5	6	13	21	26	24	8		103
Total	-						11,113	-	-	

**NOTE:** There was 1 missing value for 2008 data.


#### STATUS OFFENSE REFERRALS

#### **Status Offense Outcomes**

As in past years, most status offense referrals during 2008 were handled informally. See Chart 13 for a breakdown of outcomes of status offense referrals.



Status offenses are those offenses that are not considered violations if committed by adults. In 2008, status offenses accounted for 23% of all referrals. Among status offenses, the category with the largest number of referrals was "Behavior Injurious to Self/Others" which had 4,134. "Absent from Home" was the only category in which the number of female referrals outnumbered the male referrals. (Chart 14.)



#### **Status Offense Placements**

Pre-hearing placements for status referrals are somewhat rare. Only 9% of 2008 status referrals were detained prior to hearing. Of those juveniles held, 915 (68%) were held in secure facilities, 367 (27%) were held in non-secure facilities, and 55 (4%) were held in both secure and non-secure facilities pending their hearings. (Table 7.)

Table 7. Pre-Hearing Placements: Status Offense Referrals, 2008							
	Sec	ure	Non-S	ecure	Both		
	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	
Truancy	30	23	7	14	7	5	
Beyond Parental Control	119	109	47	60	7	8	
Absent from Home	156	172	42	50	3	4	
Behavior Injurious to Self/Others	149	81	68	67	12	8	
Other Status	51	25	8	4	1	0	
Total	505	410	172	195	30	25	

In 2008, 509 juveniles who were referred for status offenses were placed out-of-home. Table 8 provides a breakdown of placements for status offense referrals.

Table 8. Out-of-Home Placements: Status Offense Referrals, 2008							
Location	<u>Referrals</u>	<u>%</u>					
CD	208	41%					
DYS	119	23%					
Private Agency	58	11%					
Other	38	7%					
Court Residential Care	29	6%					
Public Agency	26	5%					
Relative	17	3%					
DMH	14	3%					
Supervision by Court	0	0%					
Total	509	100%					


#### ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CUSTODY REFERRALS

The abuse, neglect and custody category encompasses a wide range of referral types. Children can be referred because of physical abuse, abandonment, neglect, or because they are involved in custody disputes. In 2008, 66% of all child abuse, neglect and custody referrals were due to neglect. A breakdown of all the abuse, neglect and custody referrals is listed in Table 9.

Ta	ble 9. Al	buse, Neglect and Cu	ustody Ref	errals, 2008	
<u>Abuse</u>		<u>Neglect</u>		<u>Custo</u>	<u>dy</u>
Physical	1,724	Improper Care	5,445	Protective	858
Sexual	926	Other Neglect	1,726	Termination	487
Emotional	337	Educational	994	Transfer	36
Incest	49	Medical/Surgical	255	Relief	35
		Abandonment	76	Abduction	9

#### **Abuse, Neglect and Custody Outcomes**

For Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals, "True, Out-of-Home" was the most frequently reported outcome category. Chart 15 shows the distribution of outcomes.



\* **NOTE:** This chart does not display a total of 196 miscoded referral outcomes in 2008.

Table 10.	Age Group o	of Victim, 20	)08
<u>Years of Age</u>	<u>Abuse</u>	<u>Neglect</u>	<u>Custody</u>
0 - 3	613	2,906	589
4 - 7	712	1,976	296
8 - 11	613	1,642	223
12 - 15	793	1,459	213
16 and Over	304	511	104
Total	3,035	8,494	1,425

# Age Distribution of Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referrals

See Table 10 for a breakdown of the age groups for Abuse, Neglect and Custody referrals. Age is missing for 3 children.

# **APPENDICES**

## Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, Ia-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	Certified	V10 Supervision	VI Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	2	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	71	0	0	0	0	97
	SCOTLAND	0	3 10	1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	59 3	33 106	0 0	0 0	1	0 0	96 123
2	ADAIR	0	31	28	0	0	4	0	163	46	85	51	85	12	505
	KNOX LEVIS	0	0 17	0 18	0	0 1	0	0 0	9 85	0 51	0 22	0 10	8 25	1 10	18 240
3	GRUNDY	1	2	7	0	0	0	0	24	79	14	3	13	32	175
	HARRISON	3	7	7	0	0	7	0	5	30	7	3	11	5	85
	MERCER	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	3	4	3	0	1	5	21
4	PUTNAM ATCHISON	0	<u>5</u>	1 8	0	0	3	0	<u>8</u> 13	<u>5</u> 22	<u>6</u> 1	2	5	<u>15</u> 11	50 63
·	GENTRY	o	16	10	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	16	26	o	ŏ	ŏ		77
	HOLT	0	5	9	0	0	0	0	8	16	1	0	2	6	47
	NODAWAY WORTH	0	27 1	29 3	1	0	1	0 0	60 4	56 7	4	1	28 2	21 0	228 19
5	ANDREV	2	5	6	0	0	1	0	36	8	20	1	5	10	94
	BUCHANAN	6	74	121	3	2	0	0	325	182	93	26	66	105	1,003
6	PLATTE	0	25	23	2	1	5	3	20	161	17	53	6	35	351
7	CLAY CARROLL	<u>11</u> 0	88	48	<u>1</u>	2	<u>15</u> 0	 0	379 80	<u>154</u> 18	370 4	<u>161</u> 2	84	153 6	<u>1,467</u> 123
Č	BAY	ŏ	17	57	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	190	35	15	21	17	26	378
9	CHARITON	1	12	12	0	0	0	0	22	5	1	2	6	9	70
	LINN SULLIVAN	0	13 8	11 14	0	1	8 0	0 0	105 61	14 35	161 2	6 2	8 14	22 22	34.9 158
10	MARION	0	40	38	0	0	2	1	45	95	95	10	16	11	353
	MONROE	ŏ	10	10	ō	õ	ō	Ó	22	22	2	5	4	4	79
	RALLS	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	9	11	14	0	1	1	42
11 12	ST CHARLES AUDR AIN	17.4	153 30	161 27	1	6	24	0	789 85	378 169	27	195 26	<u>315</u> 20	452 15	2,675 395
12	MONTGOMERY	ó	22	7	ŏ	ō	ō	ö	120	46	3	- 3	- 1	1	203
	WARREN	1	40	19	0	0	8	0	115	149	39	15	64	19	469
13	BOONE	48	155	784	0	0	345	2	442	76	435	137	118	121	2,663
14	CALLA WAY HOWARD	<u>1</u>	21	<u>44</u> 0	0	0	<u>80</u> 0	0	<u>161</u> 12	<u>116</u> 16	<u>96</u> 14	43	33	<u>59</u> 7	654 59
	RANDOLPH	ŏ	52	25	Ť	ŏ	3	ŏ	195	290	232	54	91	24	967
15	LAFAYETTE	1	28	57	1	3	6	2	85	34	83	20	26	28	374
10	SALINE JACKSON	2	32	21 962	5 21	0	0	3	133	26	47	21 70	22 45	9	321
16 17	CASS	5	1,023 66	<u>962</u> 77	1	<u>284</u> 8	<u>31</u> 6	9	<u>18</u> 45	<u>21</u> 740	<u>145</u> 265	46	40 3	<u>875</u> 29	<u>3,509</u> 1,288
	JOHNSON	2	41	31	3	8	2	Ō	71	297	47	14	1	19	536
18	COOPER	2	30	51	0	3	2	0	60	97	88	9	5	16	363
19	PETTIS COLE	0	<u>27</u> 59	<u>20</u> 78	0	<u>1</u> 0	<u>5</u> 13	2	<u>221</u> 145	<u>38</u> 120	<u>109</u> 393	<u>29</u> 118	<u>34</u> 28	<u>120</u> 48	60.6 1,016
20	FRANKLIN	0	89	61	4	2	3	- 2	145	296	120	34	28	139	917
	GASCONADE	ŏ	8	4	ò	ō	ŏ	ŏ	87	25	28	12	ő	32	196
	OSAGE	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	5	12	5	2	3	36	70
21 22	ST LOUIS COUNTY ST LOUIS CITY	46	542 503	487 387	445 9	348 28	<u>107</u> 276	30 17	<u>1,473</u> 66	904 472	2,290 697	<u>1,814</u> 106	<u>123</u> 62	3,083	11,692 4,117
23	JEFFERSON	11	445	324	0	1	146	0	688	94	486	51	12	52	2,310
24	MADISON	0	12	15	0	0	0	0	35	19	30	4	2	9	126
	ST FRANCOIS	26	67	57	1	3	9	0	348	88	39	55	27	53	773
	STE GENEVIEVE VASHINGTON	0	14 52	27 18	0	0	0 10	0 0	150 103	4 27	6 13	7	9 9	8 9	225 244
25	MARIES	0	5	7	0	0	1	0	13	3	6	1	22	15	73
	PHELPS	0	103	31	1	1	1	0	122	35	86	21	200	99	700
	PULASKI	1	78	21	0	0	14	0	180	31	285	30	339	70	1,049
	TEXAS	0	46	17	4	0	7	0	176	49	20	36	159	24	538

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	Certified	VIO Supervision	VI Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE	0 0	52 73	33	1	0	2 3	0	136 128	56 63	105 28	23 10	50 50	62 84	520 465
	MILLER	1	37	26 7	Ő	ŏ	3	Ő	154	42	20	18	20	49	356
	MONITEAU	0	4	7	0	0	2	0	35	17	44	2	3	15	129
27	MORGAN BATES	3	22	22	0	0	5	0	46 90	20	46	<u>6</u> 8	<u>11</u> 24	38	219 295
	HENRY	0	42	18	0	0	2	0	178	159	10	17	13	4	443
28	ST CLAIR BARTON	0	8 42	3 50	0	0	0	0	<u>64</u> 222	<u>17</u> 47	5 32	3	3	12	<u>104</u> 438
20	CEDAR	2	42	50 17	0	0	1	0	73	*/ 9	32 65	7	25	12	430
	DADE	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	21	1	21	1	9	7	68
29	VERNON JASPER	<u>1</u> 6	<u>40</u> 211	55 125	<u>0</u> 6	0	0 36	0 4	<u>111</u> 115	28 	<u>198</u> 771	26 32	39 20	<u>17</u> 38	515 1,531
30	BENTON	0	5	7	0	0	8	0	35	11	49	9	30	10	164
	DALLAS HICKORY	1 2	9 3	13 0	0 0	0	1	1	74 9	8	8 20	2	66 24	35 3	218 65
	POLK	1	33	26	ŏ	1	ĭ	ŏ	63	36	99	ĭ	195	19	475
01	VEBSTER GREENE	<u>2</u> 63	<u>37</u> 375	<u>1</u> 86	0	1	0	0	<u>84</u> 284	<u>35</u> 387	<u>126</u> 777	<u>5</u> 110	<u>24</u> 196	<u>37</u> 514	<u>352</u> 2,836
31 32	BOLLINGER	0	<u>- 375</u> 66	6	- 0	- 6	<u> </u>	0	204	387	0	8	136		2,836
	CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	0 0	119 48	112 12	0 0	0 0	5 10	0 0	57 3	881 129	77 0	20 6	66 2	137 6	1,474 216
33	MISSISSIPPI	0	15	66	2	0	4	4	81	51	16	5	24	32	300
34	SCOTT NEW MADRID	0 18	<u>63</u> 30	<u>127</u> 5	<u>17</u> 0	1	9	3	<u>71</u> 113	<u>60</u> 49	158	24	47	123 22	703 269
34	PEMISCOT	16	0	0	Ō	Ő	0	0	23	43	1	0	25	7	114
35	DUNKLIN STODDARD	7 8	56 106	66 67	0 1	5 0	22 73	1	29 9	216 52	548 156	10 24	28 179	284 110	1,272 785
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	12	67 21	27 4	0	1	24 2	0	162 38	71 18	106 33	14 2	64 12	61 20	609 152
37	CARTER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	37	12	0	3	7	1	61
	HOVELL	0	54	49	2	4	6	1	430	180	11	18	51		
	OREGON SHANNON	1 0	5 0	9 0	0 0	3 0	3 0	0	76 38	28 7	7	3 6	6 3	7	148 54
38	CHRISTIAN	11	95	36	1	0	8	1	172	106	127	54	58	56	725
39	TANEY BARRY	5	<u>93</u> 71	23 24	0	0	22	2	<u>140</u> 74	<u>67</u> 56	<u>15</u> 59	<u>58</u> 8	47	<u>53</u> 9	<u>525</u> 355
	LAWRENCE	3	48	33	0	0	3	0	71	66	60	3	46	19	352
40	STONE MCDONALD	4	<u>36</u> 63	<u>17</u> 13	2	0	2	0	<u>121</u> 21	<u>13</u> 0	25 24	17	49 32	48	332
40	NEWTON	12	173	57	14	4	17	ŏ	101	20	76	6	99	137	716
41	MACON SHELBY	0 0	53 18	38 5	0	1	2 0	0	140 15	79 17	11 1	12 4	63 13	69 44	468 119
42	CRAVFORD	0	36	10	0	0	0	1	10	141	11			<del>44</del> 5	238
	DENT	0	7	5	0	0	0	0	18	46	3		6	20	
	IRON REYNOLDS	0	12 3	2	0	0	0	0	10 4	85 15	0	7 13	9 11	20 3	145 56
	WAYNE	5	11	3	Ō	Ő	5	Ō	2	6	Ō	0	0	1	33
43	CALD VELL CLINTON	0 0	10 11	3 4	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	33 33	10 7	3 23	-	0 1	-	
	DAVIESS	0	6	8	0	0	0	0	16	10	1	0	0	0	41
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	0 2	10 9	7 11	0	0	0	0	14 43	14 13	6 43	0	0	0	51 138
44	DOUGLAS	0	13	10	0	0	9	0	19	20	- 45	2	1	0	80
	OZARK VRIGHT	0	9	8	0 0	0	4	0	10	5	2	1	1	0	
45	VRIGHT LINCOLN	2	<u>21</u> 67	<u>33</u> 98	4	2	<u>15</u> 26	3	<u>31</u> 47	<u>47</u> 91	<u>18</u> 686	4	31		<u>174</u> 1,173
<b>.</b>	PIKE	2	40	13	5	0	2	1	31	37	8	9	10		195
Total		631 (	5,772	5,814	567	739	1,534	97	12,313	10,044	11,864	4,008	4,145	9,936	68,464

## Appendix A: Total Juvenile Court Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	Certified	VIO Supervision	VI Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
1		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	23	0	0	0	0	30
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	0	0 2	1	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 3	1 18	0	0	0 0	0	2 23
2	ADAIR	0	9	25	Ō	Ū	1	Ō	48	13	34	24	5	9	168
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	LEVIS GRUNDY	0	4	18	0	1	0	0	16 15	15 55	6 10	5	3	7	75 107
3	HARRISON	1	2	4	0	0	3	0	4	17	4	2	, o	4	38
	MERCER	, o	ō	ó	ň	ŏ	2	ŏ	1	3	2	ō	ŏ	3	12
	PUTNAM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	4	1	2	0	4	18
4	ATCHISON	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7	12	0	0	1	6	30
	GENTRY HOLT	0	1	2 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	4	18 10	0 0	0 0	0 0	8 3	33 20
	NODAWAY	0	2	13	Ő	0	0	Ő	10	23	0	1	1	9	20 59
	VORTH	Ō	ō	Ö	Ō	Ō	ō	Ō	0	2	Ō	Ó	1	Ō	3
5	ANDREV	1	1	6	0	0	1	0	21	6	8	0	4	8	56
~	BUCHANAN PLATTE	6	37	81 19	1	2	0	0	202	133 139	36	16 52	45 5	67 26	626 283
6 7	CLAY	1	48	37	0	2	4	1	362	139	329	149	19	42	1,143
8	CARROLL	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	32	13	1	2	0	6	61
	BAY	0	12	37	0	0	0	0	128	29	11	19	8	17	261
9	CHARITON	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	14
	LINN SULLIVAN	0 0	2 0	3 10	0 0	1 0	1	0 0	17 11	10 23	5 1	2 1	0	11 3	52 50
10	MARION	0	17	23	0	0	1	1	28	56	32	7	4	9	178
	MONROE	ŏ	6	- 8	Õ	ŏ	ö	o.	13	17	1	4	2	ž	53
	RALLS	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	8	4	0	1	0	23
11	ST CHARLES	61	77	133	1	2	1	0	751	356	2	153	45	338	1,920
12	AUDRAIN MONTGOMERY	1	10 4	26 5	1	1	2 0	1	32 16	89 18	8 0	17 0	8 0	8 1	204 44
	VARREN	1	7	15	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	42	85	10	10	11	3	18.4
13	BOONE	15	35	381	0	0	145	2	284	42	161	81	54	57	1,257
	CALLAWAY	1	4	18	0	0	30	0	111	61	30	23	19	24	321
14	HOWARD RANDOLPH	0	0 10	0 17	0	0 0	0 3	0	9 93	9 162	3 29	3 20	1 17	3 7	28 359
15	LAFAYETTE	1	17	48	- 0	2	4	2	60	28	56	17	18	27	280
	SALINE	2	11	18	3	ō	Ó	3	95	19	26	17	9	8	211
16	JACKSON	0	237	363	15	153	11	9	18	20	14.2	62	24	736	1,790
17		0	21	52 24	1	6	3	0	26	417	14.4	27	2	19	718
18	JOHNSON COOPER	0	12	24	0	2	<u>1</u>	0	29 29	120 56	4 37	<u>4</u> 6	1	13 13	209 198
	PETTIS	Ō	7	19	ŏ	1	4	2	150	28	62	22	19	111	425
19	COLE	6	35	63	0	0	10	2	80	92	150	86	20	30	574
20	FRANKLIN	0	19	53	2	2	3	0	82	239	33	31	29	116	609
	GASCONADE OSAGE	0	3	2 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	63 2	19 8	19 2	11 2	0	24 12	141 31
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY		104	319	202	142	60	30	1,289	423	1,073	1,489	71	2,030	7,240
22	ST LOUIS CITY	42	195	362	6	22	185	17	27	217	608	94	61	1,235	3,071
23	JEFFERSON	2	129	215	0	0	75	0	501	70	322	43	8	11	1,376
24		0 21	8 29	13 48	0	0	0 3	0	16 224	17 45	10 24	3 55	1	8 44	76 530
	ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE	21	29	48 19	0	0	3 0	0	234 89	40 3	24 1	55 6	26 6	44	530 136
	VASHINGTON	Ő	17	15	ŏ	Ő	1	ŏ	66	10	11	2	2	8	132
25	MARIES	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	5	3	0	0	1	8	23
	PHELPS	0	13	18	1	0	0	0	70	30	24	13	36	47	252
	PULASKI	1	5	16	0	0	2	0	71	23	67	24	23	35	267
	TEXAS	0	6	12	0	0	0	0	24	40	9	14	23	10	138

## Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	Certified	VAO Supervision	VI Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE	0 0	12 6	30 20	1 0	0	0 2	0	52 33	13 26	58 10	20 8	12 7	42 37	
	MILLER	ŏ	4	20	ŏ	ŏ	2	Ő	64	12	8	14	3	31	
	MONITEAU	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	15	4	11	2	2	9	
27	MORGAN BATES	3	<u>1</u> 0	19 5	0	0	1	0	21	7	21	5	6	23	
- '	HENRY	ŏ	5	13	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	33	65	2	13	ĭ	3	
	ST CLAIR	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	21	14	2	1	0	1	
28	BARTON CEDAR	1	8 2	38 10	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	45 35	32 7	3 9	9 5	6 3	2	
	DADE	i	1	2	ŏ	ŏ	ó	ŏ	10	ò	2	ŏ	ĭ	2	
	VERNON	1	16	36	0	0	0	0	14	17	91	9	21	6	
29 30	JASPER BENTON	6	44	83	5	0	11	4	80 23	84 10	339	21 5	14	34 5	
50	DALLAS	ĭ	5	11	ŏ	ŏ	Ĭ.	ň	58	8	7	1	8	14	
	HICKORY	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	12	0	2	3	
	POLK VEBSTER	1	12 18	20 1	0 0	0	1	0 0	48 40	29 28	54 68	1	38 11	14 18	
31	GREENE	44	41	77	Ő	4	13	Ő	214	334	439	93	29	358	1,646
32	BOLLINGER	0	16	6	0	0	0	0	1	47	0	4	0	12	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU PERRY	0 0	39 22	98 12	0 0	0	5 2	0 0	32 3	591 83	73 0	12 4	37	53 0	
33	MISSISSIPPI	Ŭ	10	59	1	0	4	4	61	40	5	3	14	7	
	SCOTT	0	30	71	1	1	6	3	53	35	86	17	21	80	
34	NEW MADRID PEMISCOT	13 12	7 0	5 0	0 0	0 0	1	0 0	60 17	27 36	1	4 0	3	20 7	
35	DUNKLIN	2	5	53	Ū	5	9	1	10	25	105	6	5	36	
	STODDARD	5	5	38	0	0	36	0	8	14	81	16	10	24	
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	4 1	36 10	19 4	0	1	4 1	0	106 24	51 17	62 14	10 2	26 4	39 10	
37	CARTER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8	6	0	3	2	0	20
	HOWELL OREGON	0	4	28	1	0	0	1	51	49	6	11	4	20	
	SHANNON	0 0	1	4 0	0 0	0	1	0 0	17 7	13 5	1	1	1	1	
38	CHRISTIAN	0	19	26	0	0	6	1	132	69	71	30	9	39	402
20	TANEY BARRY	0	9	16 18	0	0	2	2	106	43	14 29	48	19	39	
39	LAWRENCE	1	4 4	27	0 0	0 0	2 1	0 0	56 46	33 40	29	2	4 13	1	
	STONE	1	1	7	0	Ő	0	0	100	6	13	14	5	30	17.7
40	MCDONALD NEWTON	0	5	13	2	1	1	0	15 70	0	16	1 5	13	40	
41	MACON	0	41	43 24	12	3	1	0	72	17 27	48	5 6	43	99	
	SHELBY	0	7	3	0	0	0	2	4	10	1	2	1	11	41
42	CRAWFORD DENT	0	5 2	7	0	0	0 0	1	3 13	91 31	7	3 12	18 1	5 10	
	IRON	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	13	31	3 0	12	0	10	
	REYNOLDS	Ő	0	4	Ō	Ō	1	Ō	4	11	Ō	13	9	3	45
43	VAYNE CALDVELL	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	
40		0	4 5	4	0	0	0	0	27	6	13	0	1	0	
	DAVIESS	Ō	1	4	Ō	Ō	0	Ō	9	4	1	0	0	0	19
	DE KALB LIVINGSTON	0	5 8	5 7	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	11 29	10 9	2 30	0 0	0	0	33 88
44	DOUGLAS	0	× 4	10	0	0	5	0	29	15	30	2	- 2	0	
	OZARK	Ō	3	8	Ō	Ő	2	Ō	8	4	1	1	Ō	Ő	27
45	VRIGHT	1	6 15	32 55	0	0	5	0	25 29	28 52	10 28	4	1	0 45	
45	LINCOLN PIKE	0	сі Э	55 7	0	0	5	3	29 14	52 33	28	10	22 6	40 19	
Total			-	3 7 38	261	359	700	97	7,391	5,937	5,492	-	1,125	6,569	

### Appendix B: Law Violation Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County (cont.)

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	VIO Supervision	Vi Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	0 0	1 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	5 4	32 5	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	38 11
	SCOTLAND	0	6	0	0 0	0	0	0	31	0	0	0	0	37
2	ADAIR	0	4	2	0	0	2	113	24	44	27	27	2	245
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	3	0	9
3		0	<u>6</u> 0	0	0	0	0	<u>67</u> 9	<u>34</u> 16	<u>15</u> 4	<u>5</u>	<u>19</u> 7	2	148 42
Ŭ	HARRISON	2	1	3	ŏ	ŏ	1	ŏ	4	3	1	2	1	18
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
4	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	0	1	1	8
4	GENTRY	1 0	1	2	0 0	0 0	0	6 8	10 7	1 0	0 0	2 0	4	27 19
	HOLT	ŏ	i	2	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	4	6	ĭ	ŏ	ĭ	1	16
	NODAWAY	0	1	6	0	0	1	41	33	3	0	7	5	97
5	ANDREW	0	0	<u>1</u> 0	0	0	0	3	5 2	2	0	1	2	12 33
5	BUCHANAN	0	9	32	1	0	0	101	45	55	6	18	9	276
6	PLATTE	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	22	7	1	1	7	48
7	CLAY	1	5	5	0	0	0	8	9	22	5	0	2	57
8	CARROLL BAY	0 0	3 3	2 12	0 0	0 0	0 0	42 45	4 5	0 3	0 1	0 6	0 0	51 75
9	CHARITON		1	4		- Ŭ	0	20	3	0	0	1	7	36
	LINN	0	1	4	0	0	0	87	4	156	3	4	11	270
10	SULLIVAN MARION	0	2	<u>4</u> 9	0	0	0	<u>50</u> 11	12 34	1 31	0	7	17 2	92 91
10	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0	ó	8	34 4	1	1	1	2	17
	RALLS	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	4	3	7	0	0	1	15
11	STCHARLES	46	23	20	0	0	0	31	20	25	30	188	86	469
12	AUDRAIN MONTGOMERY	0 0	5 5	1	1 0	0 0	0 0	52 70	78 27	4 3	8 2	0 1	2 0	151 110
	VARREN	ŏ	10	3	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	57	49	27	0	3	14	163
13	BOONE	22	28	370	0	0	18.8	130	29	249	44	57	41	1,158
		0	0	20	0	0	18	26	48	50	17	12	19	210
14	HOWARD RANDOLPH	0 0	1 7	0 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 79	6 116	7 112	0 23	0 15	3 14	20 369
15	LAFAYETTE	Ő	4	7	1	1	1	23	6	24	3	8	1	79
	SALINE	0	2	3	2	0	0	36	7	16	4	11	1	82
16 17	JACKSON CASS	0	19 12	<u>15</u> 14	0	5	<u>1</u> 0	0	0 231	0 80	0	0	20	60 375
II.	JOHNSON	2 2	12	14 6	0	0	1	25	132	80 23	14 8	0	8	375 207
18	COOPER	0	6	11	Ő	1	ö	29	39	50	3	4	3	146
40	PETTIS	0	0	1	0	0	0	62	10	37	7	9	5	131
19 20	COLE FRANKLIN	3	7	<u>10</u> 8	0	0	2	47 45	22 50	73 45	21 3	3 4	22	196 184
20	GASCONADE	0	ő	2	ó	ő	Ő	24	6	40 9	1	ō	7	49
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	2	0	0	24	33
21	STLOUISCOUNTY	4	15	18	50	13	5	96	329	460	128	28	783	1,92.9
22 23	ST LOUIS CITY JEFFERSON	3	<u>1</u> 18	0 52	0	<u>1</u> 0	8	32 171	<u>175</u> 23	<u>65</u> 134	3	<u>0</u> 4	<u>70</u> 6	358 428
24	MADISON	0	0	2	- Ŭ	0	0	16	23	17	1	0	1	39
	STERANCOIS	1	1	4	0	3	1	109	9	14	0	1	8	151
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	1	6	0 0	0	0	56 27	1	5	1	3	4	77
25	MARIES	0	0	2	0	0	0	<u>37</u> 5	<u>17</u> 0	2 4	0	2	1	62 16
	PHELPS	ŏ	4	9	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	47	5	52	5	45	17	18.4
	PULASKI	0	3	3	0	0	1	109	8	207	5	49	24	409
	TEXAS	0	6	4	0	0	4	138	9	6	14	61	7	249

## Appendix C: Status Offense Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, In-Home	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	VIO Supervision	VI Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
26	CAMDEN	0	13	2	0	0	0	38	8	32	3	13	14	123
	LACLEDE MILLER	0 1	8 0	6 1	0 0	0 0	0	34 59	14 4	13 7	1	5 10	43 16	124 102
	MONITEAU	o	ő	0	ŏ	ŏ	, o	9	5	10	ŏ	1	0	25
27	MORGAN BATES	0	2	3	0	0	0	<u>19</u> 39	<u>10</u> 38	<u>6</u> 9	4	2	3	46
21	HENRY	0	12	3	0	0	0	87	42	4	2	3	1	154
	STCLAIR	0	3	0	0	0	0	24	3	0	0	0	0	30
28	BARTON CEDAR	0 0	4 3	1 7	0 0	0 0	0 0	16 31	8 2	0	0 2	8 3	1	38 59
	DADE	1	1	0	0	0	0	9	1	4	1	2	ĭ	20
29	VERNON JASPER	0	<u>5</u> 32	<u>9</u> 16	0	0	0	<u>20</u> 32	<u>9</u> 73	<u>60</u> 140	<u>15</u> 7	8	3	<u>129</u> 311
30	BENTON	0	<u> </u>	1	0	0	0	12	1	25	3	4	4	50
	DALLAS	0	1	2	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	4	16	36
	HICKORY POLK	0 0	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	0	2 14	0 4	5 30	0 0	4 20	0	11 74
	WEBSTER	0	5	0	0	0	0	17	7	21	1	2	3	56
31 32	GREENE BOLLINGER	15 0	2	0	0	0	0	63 2	53 33	<u>312</u> 0	<u>11</u> 4	12 0	128	596 45
32	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	3	6	ů 0	0	0	23	262	4	6	20	65	389
	PERRY	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	1	0	0	26
33	MISSISSIPPI SCOTT	0 0	1 9	2 31	0 12	0 0	0 0	17 13	7 13	9 61	2 7	5 23	2 26	45 195
34	NEV MADRID	3	0	0	0	0	0	50	16	0	0	6	1	76
35	PEMISCOT DUNKLIN	2	0	<u>0</u> 4	0	0	0	<u>6</u> 10	7	0 64	0	<u>17</u> 0	0	31 99
30	STODDARD	1	2	16	ů 0	0	12	1	17	54	, o	16	8	127
36	BUTLER	4	3	7	0	0	1	52	20	26	0	4	9	126
37	RIPLEY CARTER	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 0	0	0	<u>13</u> 29	<u>1</u> 6	11 0	0	2	2	30
	HOWELL	0	4	14	Ō	1	3	330	126	5	7	16	11	517
	OREGON SHANNON	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	58 31	15 2	6 0	2 2	4 3	2 0	89 38
38	CHRISTIAN	3	21	5	0	0	2	39	36	43	17	13	13	192
39	TANEY BARRY	0	8	2	0	0	3	34 15	24 20	16	6	8	7	93 69
39		1	2	2	0	0	0	15	20	19	1	10	0	- 63 75
	STONE	0	4	1	0	0	0	18	5	7	3	9	13	60
40	MCDONALD NEWTON	0 0	3 7	0 5	0 0	0	0	6 23	0	7 21	0	7 21	9 26	32 106
41	MACON	0	14	8	0	0	0	77	31	3	6	12	3	154
42	SHELBY CRAWFORD	0	<u>6</u> 5	1	0	0	0	<u>10</u> 9	6 48	0	2	1	2	28
42	DENT	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	48	-	4	2	7	28
	IRON	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	49	0	1	0	0	53
	REYNOLDS V AYNE	0	2 6	2 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	4		0	1	0	9
43	CALDWELL	Ö	1	2	Ö	Ö	0	11	2	1	Ö	0	0	17
	CLINTON DAVIESS	0 0	0	0 4	0 0	0 0	0 0	6 5	1	10 0	0 0	0	0	17 16
	DEKALB	0	4	2	0	0	0	3	4	1	0	0	0	14
		1	0	3	1	0	0	13	4	13	0	3	2	40
44	DOUGLAS OZARK	0 0	4 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	7	5 1	2	0 0	1	0	19 6
	VRIGHT	Ō	2	1	0	Ō	1	6	18	4	0	1	0	33
45	LINCOLN PIKE	0 0	8 2	13 1	0 0	0 0	5 0	15 9	37 4	306 2	5 1	4	28 8	421 28
Total	r d'Ma	124	470	879	73	27	287	3,736	2,996	3,562	533	952		15,420

Circuit	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, Ia-Horne	True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	VIO Supervision	Vi Supervision	No Action	Transfer, Other Court	Transfer, Other Agency	Rejected	Total
1	CLARK SCHUYLER	2 0	10 1	0	0	0	0	1 55	16 27	0	0	0	0	29 83
	SCOTLAND	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	55	0	0	1	0	61
2	ADAIR KNOX	0 0	18 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	1 0	1 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	53 5	0 1	75 6
3	LE VIS GRUNDY	0	2	0	0	0	<u>1</u> 0	2	0	0	0	3	<u>1</u> 11	14 26
3	HARRISON	0	4	3	0	0	3	1	9	0	0	9	0	26
	MERCER	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	6
4	ATCHISON	0	5	0	0	0	3	0	<u>1</u>	1	0	4	<u>10</u> 1	24 5
1	GENTRY	Ő	13	6	ŏ	0	ŏ	4	1	ŏ	0	0	ó	24
	HOLT	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	11
	NODA VAY VORTH	0	24 0	9 1	1 0	0 0	0 0	9 1	0 0	1 0	0 0	20 0	7 0	71 2
5	ANDREV	0	4	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
6	BUCHANAN PLATTE	0	<u>25</u> 9	<u>4</u> 2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	27	59 14
7	CLAY	9	32	5	1	0	6	4	1	6	3	65	10.9	241
8	CARROLL	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4
9	CHARITON	0	0	<u>4</u> 2	0	0	0	<u>12</u> 0	0	0	1	2	9	28 20
ľ	LINN	ŏ	10	3	ŏ	ŏ	7	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ó	3	ó	23
10	SULLIVAN	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	2	16
10	MARION MONROE	0	15 3	1	0	0	0	1	0	3 0	0	1	0	25 6
	RALLS	0	1	0	Ō	0	Ō	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	1
11	ST CHARLES AUDRAIN	57 0	42 15	4	0	4	23	0	0	2	3	0	20 5	153 37
12	MONTGOMERY	ŏ	13	ŏ	, o	, o	ŏ	26	1	Õ	1	0	Ő	41
	VARREN	0	23	0	0	0	8	10	4	2	3	50	1	101
13	BOONE CALLAVAY	11 0	92 17	33 5	0 0	0 0	12 27	28 0	5 4	25 10	12 1	7 2	23 12	248 78
14	HOWARD	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	3	1	11
15	RANDOLPH LAFAYETTE	0	<u>35</u> 7	<u>5</u>	0	0	0	<u>17</u> 0	3	72	7	<u>59</u> 0	3	201
10	SALINE	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
16	JACKSON	5	592	415	1	49	13	0	0	0	1	11	73	1,160
17	CASS JOHNSON	0 0	33 22	8 0	0 3	0 7	3 0	6 12	60 42	17 20	2 0	0 0	1	130 109
18	COOPER	0	12	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	19
10		0	20	0	0	0	1	0 18	0 4	2 155	0	3	3	29
19 20	FRANKLIN	0	63	0	1	0	0	0	<u>4</u> 1	0	0	0	0	218 65
	GASCONADE	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
21	OSAGE ST LOUIS COUNTY	23	5 335	0 92	0	0	32	0 55	0 122	0 73	0 51	0	0 182	5 1,219
22	STLOUISCITY	19	291	11	0	4	57	7	80	21	5	1	44	540
23	JEFFERSON	9	280	48	0	1	47	3	0	8	3	0	35	434
24	MADISON ST FRANCOIS	0 4	4 32	0 4	0 0	0 0	0 5	1	0 0	1 0	0 0	1	0 0	7 46
	STEGENEVIEVE	0	3	0	Ō	0	0	o.	0	0	0	0	0	3
25	VASHINGTON	0	35	1	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	5	0	50 32
25	MARIES PHELPS	0 0	4 85	2 4	0 0	0 1	0 1	2 5	0 0	1	1 3	16 119	6 33	32 253
	PULASKI	0	70	2	0	0	11	0	0	3	1	267	11	365
	TEXAS	0	34	1	4	0	3	14	0	5	8	74	7	150

## Appendix D: Abuse, Neglect and Custody Referral Outcomes by Circuit and County

26	County	Miscode	True, Out-of-Home	True, Ia-Home	, True, No Services	Not True	Dismissed	S VIO Supervision	6 VI Supervision	, No Action	Transfer, Other Court	22 Transfer, Other Agency	, Rejected	
26	CAMDEN LACLEDE	0 0	18 59	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 1	46 61	19 23	15 5	0 1		6	
	MILLER	0	31	1	0	0	0	30	24	10	1		2	
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0 0	3 19	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 4	11 6	7	20 16	0 0	0	6 12	
27	BATES	0	6	0	0	0	1	36	2	13	1		0	
	HENRY ST CLAIR	0	25 5	2 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	58 19	51 0	4	2	9 3	0	
28	BARTON	4	13	4	0	0	0	110	1	28	0	3	6	6 16 9
	CEDAR DADE	1	2 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	5 2	0	48 15	0	19 6	3	
	VERNON	0	15	6	Ō	0	Ő	63	0	33	1	9	4	13
29 30	JASPER BENTON	0	133	<u>26</u> 0	0	0	22	2	<u>1</u>	<u>262</u> 2	3	0 25	2	
	DALLAS	0	3	0	Ō	0	0	2	0	1	1	54	5	5 66
	HICKORY POLK	0 0	3 20	0 4	0 0	0	0 0	1	0	3 5	0	18 137	2	
	WEBSTER	0	14	0	Ō	0	0	27	0	37	1	11	15	5 105
31 32	GREENE BOLLINGER	4	332 47	9	1	3	23	7	0	26 0	<u>5</u> 0	<u>155</u> 0	28	
52	CAPE GIRARDEAU	Ő	76	5	0	0	0	Ő	1	0	1		17	
33	PERRY MISSISSIPPI	0	23	0	0	0	8	0	20	0	1	1	<u>6</u> 23	
33	SCOTT	0	4 23	21	4	0	0	2	3 7	0	0	4	23	
34	NEV MADRID	2	23	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	17	1	1 47
35	PEMISCOT DUNKLIN	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u> 356	0	22	242	
	STODDARD	1	99	9	1	0	22	0	20	12	7	152	78	
36	BUTLER RIPLEY	4 0	28 11	1 0	0 0	0 0	19 1	4 0	0	17 7	4	32 6	12 8	
37	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	) 4
	HOVELL OREGON	0	44 4	1 5	1 0	3 3	0 0	47	0	0	0	31 1	4	
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (
38	CHRISTIAN TANEY	7 5	50 76	2 5	1 0	0 0	0 17	1	0 0	3 0	7	36 20	37	
39	BARRY	0	64	2	0	0	1	3	3	14	0	36	5	
	LA WRENCE STONE	1	40 30	1	0 0	0 0	2 5	9 3	2	6 5	0	23 35	1	
40	MCDONALD	4	55	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	11		1 75
41	NEWTON	<u>12</u> 0	<u>125</u> 27	9	2	1	<u>15</u> 2	2	0	1	0	<u>34</u> 33	5	- 600
41	MACON SHELBY	0	4	4 0	0	0	2	0	8 0	2	0		46 31	
42	CRAVFORD	0	26	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	) 29
	DENT IRON	0	4 12	2 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	2 0	0	0	3	3 17	
	REYNOLDS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	) 2
43	CALD VELL	0	5	2	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	CLINTON	Ō	6	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	) 6
	DAVIESS DEKALB	0 0	4	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 0	0	0 3	0	0	0	
	LIVINGSTON	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3 0	0	U 6	1	
44	DOUGLAS	0	5	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	OZARK VRIGHT	0	4 13	0 0	0 0	0 0	2 9	0	0	0 4	0	1	0	
45	LINCOLN	2	37	28	3	1	16	1	1	346	0	5	28	3 468
Total	PIKE	2 196	31 4,180	3 853	5 140	0 226	480	2 886	0 837	1,765	0 160	0 1,909	9	54 12,957

	Cou						Priva	ite	Pub	lic							
	Res. C	are	D M	H	C I	)	DY	S	Relat	i ve	Ager	ıcy	Agen	ıcy	O th	er	
Circuit	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Total
1	0	0%	0	0%	17	74%	1	4%	0	0%	5	22%	0	0%	0	0%	23
2	0	0%	0	0%	35	83%	6	14%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	42
3	0	0%	0	0%	11	79%	3	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14
4	0	0%	0	0%	10	50%	7	35%	2	10%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	20
5	27	54%	0	0%	16	32%	2	4%	0	0%	3	6%	2	4%	0	0%	50
6	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%	8	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10
7	0	0%	0	0%	32	37%	39	45%	3	3%	0	0%	2	2%	11	13%	87
8	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20
9	0	0%	0	0%	3	18%	3	18%	10	59%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
10	0	0%	0	0%	16	42%	20	53%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	38
11	1	1%	0	0%	42	30%	83	60%	11	8%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	138
12	1	3%	1	3%	14	47%	2	7%	6	20%	3	10%	3	10%	0	0%	30
13	0	0%	0	0%	132	75%	40	23%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	176
14	0	0%	0	0%	2	20%	8	80%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10
15	0	0%	0	0%	29	48%	28	47%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	60
16	44	14%	1	0%	55	18%	26	8%	79	25%	4	1%	6	2%	97	31%	312
17	8	14%	3	5%	36	63%	1	2%	6	11%	0	0%	1	2%	2	4%	57
18	0	0%	0	0%	14	42%	18	55%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
19	0	0%	0	0%	16	47%	16	47%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	34
20	1	5%	1	5%	12	63%	3	16%	0	0%	1	5%	1	5%	0	0%	19
21	0	0%	1	0%	294	54%	56	10%	88	16%	0	0%	57	11%	45	8%	541
22	7	1%	0	0%	292	61%	162	34%	12	3%	4	1%	1	0%	2	0%	480
23	3	1%	0	0%	100	23%	109	25%	182	42%	23	5%	18	4%	3	1%	438
24	2	1%	0	0%	58	43%	62	46%	11	8%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	135
25	0	0%	0	0%	173	82%	18	9%	17	8%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	210
26	3	2%	0	0%	117	83%	7	5%	10	7%	2	1%	0	0%	2	1%	141
27	0	0%	0	0%	34	74%	5	11%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	46
28	0	0%	0	0%	36	47%	35	46%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	76
29	10	5%	0	0%	115	63%	25	14%	25	14%	7	4%	0	0%	1	1%	183
30	2	3%	3	4%	33	46%	29	40%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72
31	5	1%	0	0%	275	81%	21	6%	33	10%	3	1%	0	0%	3	1%	340
32	0	0%	0	0%	120	58%	55	27%	25	12%	2	1%	1	0%	4	2%	207
33	1	1%	3	4%	15	22%	42	62%	7	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68
34	0	0%	0	0%	23	77%	5	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	7%	0	0%	30
35	0	0%	0	0%	69	54%	1	1%	53	42%	0	0%	0	0%	4	3%	127
36	2	3%	0	0%	22	35%	23	37%	15	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	62
37	0	0%	0	0%	46	81%	3	5%	2	4%	0	0%	5	9%	1	2%	57
38	0	0%	0	0%	152	83%	30	16%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	183
39	3	2%	0	0%	110	74%	16	11%	15	10%	4	3%	0	0%	1	1%	149
40	0	0%	0	0%	167	81%	23	11%	13	6%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%	206
41	2	3%	0	0%	43	63%	15	22%	4	6%	1	1%	2	3%	1	1%	68
42	0	0%	0	0%	48	75%	4	6%	5	8%	3	5%	2	3%	2	3%	64
43	2	5%	0	0%	13	31%	20	48%	5	12%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	42
44	0	0%	0	0%	24	60%	10	25%	4	10%	1	3%	1	3%	0	0%	40
45	0	0%	0	0%	73	68%	33	31%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	107
Total	126	2%	13	0%	2,944	56%	1,143	22%	666	13%	81	2%	105	2%	184	3%	5,262

	Supervi	ision				Private Public									
	by Court D M H				СІ	)	DYS	Agen	ıcy	Agen	ıcy	O th	er		
Circuit	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Cases	%	Total
1	201	57%	0	0%	102	29%	0	0%	50	14%	0	0%	1	0%	354
2	87	73%	1	1%	3	3%	0	0%	26	22%	2	2%	0	0%	119
3	65	63%	6	6%	21	20%	0	0%	9	9%	2	2%	1	1%	104
4	130	67%	2	1%	13	7%	1	1%	43	22%	3	2%	3	2%	195
5	156	87%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	11	6%	6	3%	4	2%	179
6	132	70%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%	6	3%	4	2%	44	23%	188
7	79	92%	0	0%	4	5%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	1	1%	86
8	104	84%	3	2%	8	6%	0	0%	1	1%	6	5%	2	2%	124
9	19	70%	1	4%	2	7%	0	0%	4	15%	0	0%	1	4%	27
10	166	85%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	17	9%	10	5%	1	1%	196
10	538	91%	0	0%	3	1%	0	0%	12	2%	3	1%	35	6%	591
12	329	78%	14	3%	10	2%	0	0%	59	14%	5	1%	6	1%	423
12	791	97%	0	3 % 0%	27	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	818
13	1	33%	0	0%	0	3 % 0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%	3
14 15	117	33% 79%	0	0% 0%	0	0%	0	0%	0 7	0% 5%	0 17	0% 11%	2 7	67% 5%	3 148
	117		0		90		0		1				363		
16		25%		0%		15%	-	0%		0%	1	0%		60%	603
17	979	84%	16	1%	106	9%	0	0%	19	2%	26	2%	14	1%	1,160
18	149	83%	12	7%	1	1%	0	0%	5	3%	0	0%	12	7%	179
19	110	87%	1	1%	4	3%	0	0%	12	9%	0	0%	0	0%	127
20	336	98%	4	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	342
21	398	76%	2	0%	93	18%	1	0%	10	2%	3	1%	15	3%	522
22	694	88%	0	0%	12	2%	1	0%	48	6%	17	2%	16	2%	788
23	362	96%	0	0%	16	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	378
24	184	67%	3	1%	7	3%	0	0%	41	15%	35	13%	5	2%	275
25	78	89%	0	0%	10	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	88
26	142	55%	2	1%	70	27%	0	0%	32	12%	10	4%	4	2%	260
27	251	81%	9	3%	31	10%	0	0%	18	6%	2	1%	0	0%	311
28	145	75%	1	1%	7	4%	1	1%	38	20%	2	1%	0	0%	194
29	218	63%	2	1%	59	17%	3	1%	35	10%	2	1%	28	8%	347
30	84	97%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	87
31	344	95%	1	0%	8	2%	0	0%	3	1%	4	1%	3	1%	363
32	980	92%	9	1%	16	1%	0	0%	23	2%	33	3%	7	1%	1,068
33	269	73%	6	2%	17	5%	0	0%	52	14%	5	1%	20	5%	369
34	18	95%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	19
35	325	59%	0	0%	196	36%	0	0%	19	3%	8	1%	1	0%	549
36	49	63%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	25	32%	78
37	259	82%	3	1%	7	2%	1	0%	20	6%	11	3%	16	5%	317
38	225	97%	0	0%	7	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	233
39	172	87%	2	1%	13	7%	1	1%	6	3%	1	1%	3	2%	198
40	33	79%	0	0%	9	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42
41	114	81%	2	1%	13	9%	0	0%	7	5%	3	2%	1	1%	140
42	143	73%	9	5%	9	5%	0	0%	11	6%	21	11%	2	1%	195
43	69	96%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	72
43	123	90% 95%	0	0%	0	3 % 0%	0	0%	0 7	5%	0	0%	0	0%	130
44	229	93% 98%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	234
	10,545	98% 80%	113	1%	1,009	2 % 8%	9	0%	656	5%	246	2%	645		13,223

## Appendix G: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County

C irc u it	County	M is c e lla n e o u s	People	P roperty	Peace Disturbance	D rugs	Status	Total
1	C LAR K	41	8	10	0	0	38	97
	SCHUYLER	83	1	1	0	0	11	9
	SCOTLAND	75	3	5	3	0	37	12
2	ADAIR	13 1	57	54	7	11	245	50
	KNOX	9	0	0	0	0	9	1
	LEWIS	28	22	31	7	4	148	24
3	GRUNDY	50	42	36	5	0	42	17 :
	HARRISON	37	13	15	2	0	18	85
	MERCER	8	4	6	0	0	3	2
	PUTNAM	26	3	12	1	0	8	50
4	ATCHISON	15	11	10	0	0	27	63
	GENTRY	37	4	14	2	1	19	71
	HOLT	20	6	5	0	0	16	4
	NODAWAY	82	11	34	2	2	97	228
	WORTH	5	2	0	0	0	12	19
5	ANDREW	9	21	18		2	33	94
5	BUCHANAN	172	142	222	152	39	276	1,003
6	PLATTE	65	63	117	10	48	48	35
7	CLAY	451	248	497	95	119	57	1,467
8	CARROLL	18	11	35	6	2	51	1,40
0	RAY	87	90	33 77	23	26	75	378
9	CHARITON	23	2	7	0	20	36	7(
9	LINN	23 41	19	13	0	2 6	270	
					0			349
10	SULLIVAN	31	6 39	21	35	8	92	158
10	MARION			48		10		353
	MONROE	22	14	24	1	1	17	79
	RALLS	6	5	9	5	2	15	42
11	ST CHARLES	687	365	784	147	223	469	2,675
12	AUDRAIN	100	45	69	14	16	15 1	395
	MONTGOMERY	55	16	19	0	3	110	203
	WARREN	150	61	76	3	16	163	469
13	BOONE	437	352	480	170	66	1,158	2,663
	CALLAWAY	196	79	114	22	33	2 10	654
14	HOWARD	14	12	10	0	3	20	59
	R ANDOLP H	274	107	113	97	7	369	967
15	LAFAYETTE	64	82	118	16	15	79	374
	SALINE	52	66	97	16	8	82	32
16	J A C KS O N	1,848	548	831	81	14 1	60	3,509
17	CASS	3 19	195	221	98	80	375	1,288
	JOHNSON	168	65	69	17	10	207	536
18	COOPER	51	47	94	17	8	146	363
	PETTIS	12.2	112	187	35	19	13 1	606
19	COLE	337	192	180	69	42	196	1,016
20	FRANKLIN	239	127	270	35	62	184	917
	GASCONADE	34	52	44	7	10	49	19 6
	OSAGE	13	12	10	1	1	33	70
21	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3,694	1,706	3,471	4 19	473	1,929	11,692
22	ST LOUIS CITY	1,156	843	1,498	108	154	358	4,117
23	JEFFERSON	786	470	441	47	13.8	428	2,310
24	MADISON	27	33	22	2	3	39	12
	STFRANCOIS	159	286	137	18	22	15 1	77
	STE GENEVIEVE	21	50	45	12	20	77	22:
	WASHINGTON	74	75	20	8	5	62	24
25	MARIES	35	5	15	0	2	16	7:
	P HELP S	287	10 3	103	6	17	184	70
	P ULASKI	421	103	78	8	17	409	1,049
								53
	TEXAS	184	46	47	3	9	249	

## Appendix G: Type of Referrals by Circuit and County (cont.)

C irc u it	County	M is c e lla n e o u s	People	P ro perty	Peace Disturbance	D rugs	Status	T o ta
26	CAMDEN	195	59	76	38	29	123	52
	LACLEDE	221	63	40	8	9	124	40
	MILLER	13 1	76	29	5	13	10 2	3:
	MONITEAU	63	18	16	3	4	25	Ľ
	MORGAN	92	26	46	3	6	46	2
27	BATES	108	24	60	6	2	95	29
	HENRY	182	39	46	6	16	154	44
	STCLAR	40	18	11	1	4	30	10
28	BARTON	299	50	30	18	3	38	43
20	CEDAR	97	27	26	10	1	59	22
	DADE	32	6	3	4	3	20	
	VERNON	215	83	68	19	1	129	5
29	JASPER	585	201	295	79	60	311	1,5
30	BENTON	50	12	33	4	15	50	1,5
0		94	27	41	4 11	9	36	
	DALLAS							2
	HIC KOR Y	25	10	12	3	4	11	6
	P OLK	2 17	68	74	25	17	74	47
	WEBSTER	127	65	56	24	24	56	35
31	GREENE	780	614	661	28	157	596	2,83
32	BOLLINGER	85	28	20	3	6	45	18
	CAPEGIRARDEAU	645	129	202	69	40	389	1,47
	PERRY	103	35	21	25	6	26	2
33	M IS S IS S IP P I	70	81	45	47	12	45	30
	SCOTT	196	124	110	54	24	195	7(
34	NEWMADRID	66	19	50	47	11	76	20
	PEMISCOT	9	26	36	7	5	31	1
35	D UN KLIN	956	55	117	32	13	99	1,27
	STODDARD	490	71	52	30	15	127	78
36	BUTLER	163	139	132	27	22	126	60
	R IP LE Y	41	34	32	8	7	30	15
37	CARTER	7	6	10	1	0	37	
	HOWELL	178	35	90	11	6	5 17	83
	OREGON	23	13	22	0	1	89	1
	SHANNON	5	3	1	4	3	38	:
38	CHRISTIAN	2 17	87	174	4	51	192	7:
	TANEY	172	98	125	2	35	93	5
39	BARRY	163	39	65	5	14	69	3:
	LAWRENCE	107	64	95	3	8	75	35
	STONE	14.8	51	47	10	16	60	3
10	MCDONALD	101	31	27	13	10	32	2
	NEWTON	288	102	162	34	24	106	7
41	MACON	183	33	77	15	6	154	4
	SHELBY	54	9	27	1	0	28	+
12	CRAWFORD	49	57	40	7	18	67	2
4	DENT	49 35	37	40 17	8	3	28	2
	RON	52	13	24	1	2	53	1
	REYNOLDS	5	17	15	8	2	9	
2	WAYNE	17	1	4	0	2	9	
3	CALDWELL	19	14	7	2	0	17	
	CLINTON	21	13	22	3	3	17	
	DAVIESS	12	8	2	1	2	16	
	DE KALB	9	8	16	0	4	14	
	LIVINGSTON	37	23	30	3	5	40	
4	DOUGLAS	22	7	14	15	3	19	
	OZARK	10	11	8	4	1	6	
	WRIGHT	53	45	22	11	10	33	
5	LINCOLN	527	64	139	12	10	421	1,1
	P IKE	99	31	30	3	4	28	1
fotal		22,696	10,255	14,736	2,668	2,689	15,420	68,46

#### Appendix H: Referrals by Type, Level and Circuit

Cirsuit	Felony-A	Felon <b>g</b> -B	Felony-C	Felon <b>y</b> -D	Other Felbing	Misdemeanor-A	Misdeme anor-B	Misdemeanor-C	Other Misdemeanor	Juvenile	Infraction	Ordinance	Other	Total
1 2	0	0	1	1	1	11	14	10	3	261	2	10	2	316
	1	3	19	5	2	86	35	56	26	519	5	6	0	763
3	1	0	12	12	0	76	20	36	14	156	4	0	0	331
4	0	1	8	10	0	57	26	22	21	289	0	0	0	434
5	1	12	56	18	0	245	170	121	18	415	20	20	1	1,097
6 7	1 7	8 41	28 94	5 33	2 12	140 534	31 124	42 180	16 67	68 324	7 23	3	0	351
												24	4	1,467
8 9	5	2	25	9	3	92	64 19	<u>90</u> 8	25	179 461	4	4	0	501 577
10	0	6	37	10	2	42 56	47	50	37	220	9	0	0	474
11	12	31	163	90	20	942	336	112	138	755	19	57	0	2,675
12	2	3	41	36	4	164	41	76	39	63.5	9	17	0	1,067
13	7	34	122	41	4	559	378	332	72	1,739	25	4	0	3,317
14	ó	0	16	1	ō	111	142	102	8	639	7	ō	ŏ	1,026
15	2	8	77	45	9	14.5	82	81	29	204	5	8	ŏ	69.5
16	79	97	399	82	79	656	182	179	30	1,719	7	ŏ	ŏ	3,509
17	10	9	109	45	2	277	124	162	30	897	23	136	Ő	1,824
18	2	3	73	37	4	165	13.5	105	23	346	30	46	ŏ	969
19	4	5	50	31	3	195	113	142	20	442	7	4	ŏ	1,016
20	8	5	87	44	5	199	204	14.5	61	402	17	5	1	1,183
21	79	233	1,029	313	92	3,172	1,203	80.9	200	4,452	78	32	0	11,692
22	73	104	432	123	209	1,278	286	457	19	1,046	39	51	0	4,117
23	16	14	143	117	5	476	203	307	71	934	8	16	0	2,310
24	5	13	50	50	5	281	95	302	50	494	13	10	0	1,368
24 25	2	15	71	46	9	217	90	177	27	1,680	12	14	0	2,360
26	2	6	72	25	3	185	140	187	29	999	9	32	0	1,689
27	2	5	34	14	0	85	41	56	48	550	2	5	0	842
28	3	7	47	21	6	107	54	115	48	788	24	21	0	1,241
29	1	13	52	30	3	322	112	134	43	806	3	12	0	1,531
30	3	7	95	32	1	158	125	150	45	646	12	0	0	1,274
31	6	30	186	45	5	733	215	308	81	1,190	37	0	0	2,836
32	5	13	65	33	6	643	127	155	57	724	25	24	0	1,877
33	4	6	84	17	9	158	94	152	45	391	5	38	0	1,003
34	1	6	34	5	0	71	58	29	13		1	3	0	383
35	2	7	67	47	2	155	112	49	35	1,558	12	11	0	2,057
36	1	1	62	17	0	144	80	130	4	316	4	2	0	761
37	0	6	34	18	1	93	57	29	13	849	0	0	0	1,100
38	11	8	8.5	36	6	340	85	64	45	550	18	2	0	1,250
39	1	3	65	13	1	172	92	125	39	52.2	5	1	0	1,039
40	8	7	48	5	18	158	108	77	31	441	24	7	0	932
41	0	8	38	18	3	65	34	14	5	401	1	0	0	587
42	0	5	30	37	2	102	42	60	22	272	2	19	0	593
43	1	6	25	25	0	78	25	30	11	138	4	25	0	368
44	3	3	25	8	1	62	33	36	8	104	3	8	0	294
45	1	10	63	20	3	109	68	45	28	1,007	4	10	0	1,368
Total	372	805	4,370	1,676	542	14,116	5,866	6,048	1,711	31,690	572	688	8	68,464

NOTE: Juvenile Referrals include Status, Abuse/Neglect/Custody, Court Order Violation, and Municipal Referrals.

#### Appendix I: Number of Juveniles Certified to Adult Court by Circuit, Race and Gender

Because not all circuits and counties use unique individual identification numbers, and because two separate circuits might prosecute the same child using different IDs, a true statewide count of the number of children certified cannot be accurately found. The numbers on this table have been unduplicated to represent individual children within the circuit.

				rican-					
	Caucasian			erican		ther	Unl		
Circuit	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Total
1 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
13	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
16	2	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	9
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
10	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	3	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	28
22	0	0	17	Ő	Ő	0	0	Ő	17
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	Ő	0	0	Ő	0
25	0	0	0	0 0	Ő	0	0	0 0	0
26	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0
20	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0
28	Ő	0	ů 0	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	Ő	0
29	2	0	Ő	Ő	Ő	0	Ő	Ő	2
30	1	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	Ő	1
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	6
34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
38	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
42	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Total	29	2	53	0	4	0	0	0	88

NOTE: The totals on this table have been unduplicated by youth within the circuit; therefore, totals will not match other tables or appendices found in this report.

