

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Child Advocacy Center of Central Missouri		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$74,312.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form states that 1673 victims will receive 29 services.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	Some sections could use expansion, such as community collaboration.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>9</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>Includes \$228 for meals during travel, does not break down supplies and operations costs by item.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>74</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information

Organization Name:	Child Advocacy Center of Central Missouri					
Project Name:	VOCA					
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers					
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services				
Requested Funding Amount:	\$74,312.00					

Grant Application Reviewer Information

Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith					
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/11/2025					

Application Review Scoring

Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Scoring

Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form states that 1673 victims will receive 29 services.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The application explains what the volunteers do and training requirements. The application does not include recognition or recruitment, other than it appears that PSANes are recruited from a university.

<p>Outcome Measures</p>	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>4</p>		
<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>		
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>The application does not include match. The amount requested for mileage was incorrect. Limited information was provided for travel costs for a conference, but didn't include detail. In addition, there was not a calculation for the number of miles. The narrative stated the remaining funds will be used to attend meetings and trainings, but no specifics were provided on those trainings.</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>							<p>73</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Child Protection Center, Inc.
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$185,435.23

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	24	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Formulas for match were not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>94</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Child Protection Center, Inc.
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$185,435.23

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/26/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The application states that approximately 950 victims were served, but the form states that over 1900 individuals will be served.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>The application states that they will use the 20% cash match, but doesn't specify the amount. Narrative stated that match will be used from operating grants.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>89</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Child Safe of Central Missouri		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$322,313.66		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/18/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct services. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 16-25	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	Not applying for underserved category
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	Training activities are unclear.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

Budget Form	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Budget Narrative	See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	25	\$1.00 typo under ChildFirst EX training expense.
Total Score						94	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Child Safe of Central Missouri		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$322,313.66		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/20/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The number of victims served matches the number of services provided. The number of victims served does not match the narrative.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct services. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 16-25	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	6	Training activities are not clearly identified.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Goals are not provided.

Budget Form	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10		
Budget Narrative	See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	Match is calculated incorrectly.	
Total Score							87	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Children's Center of Southwest Missouri		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victim Services
Requested Funding Amount:	\$951,689.70		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/22/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	In 2024, 969 victims were served. Nearly 2600 services were provided. The form states that 1250 victims will be served and 1250 services will be provided.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 16-25	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	25	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	7	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p> <p>Requested annual salary and benefits, thus making overall total request significantly higher</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>15</p> <p>Narrative uses ranges, such as "typically between" when denoting totals. Therefore, no distinct formulas. Does not include match.</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>							<p>78</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Children's Center of Southwest Missouri		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victim Services
Requested Funding Amount:	\$951,689.70		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/16/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question		Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only	B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form states that 1250 victims will be served and 1250 services will be provided.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description.	Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct services. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population.	B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.	B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 16-25	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	6	The application states that volunteers are recruited, trained, and there are recognition activities, but details are not provided.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

Budget Form	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7			
Budget Narrative	See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	15	<p>The applicant used the annual benefit amount, not 6 month period.</p> <p>Match was not included. Calculations were not provided. Salary ranges and % VOCA ranges were provided. It is unclear how the monthly hours for benefits was calculated. The monthly amount does not match the budget workbook.</p>		
Total Score								76	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Compass Health, Inc. – Children’s Advocacy Center
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$287,787.26

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>		
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Match is calculated incorrectly, falling short of the required amount.</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>							<p>92</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Compass Health, Inc. - Children's Advocacy Center
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$287,787.26
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/16/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Based on the information in the Outcomes section of the proposal, approximately 113.5 victims are served/month, which would equate to approximately 681 victims during the 6 month period.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	24	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The performance measures do not indicate the targets.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Match was included in the narrative, but the amount was calculated incorrectly (less than what is required).</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>89</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Kids' Harbor, Inc.
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$462,733.21

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/26/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	22	This section clearly outlines the services available to victims and the way in which they will be identified for and provided the services at no cost. It could draw a clearer line between the level and amount of staff needed for funding and why.
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	2	A volunteer program is in place but there is no mention of a recruitment strategy or recognition activities. It is unclear that this has been tested and appears to have minimal commitment (complete 40 hours within no particular timeframe so this could be one hour a year, month, week, etc.)
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	It reads as though there is only one performance target - "to determine that programming provided is adequate" but there are questions about staff, procedures, medical exam, etc.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>Rounded the numbers. One salary miscalculation.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Does not provide line item totals. Did not include totals for priority areas (likely child abuse and sexual violence, but not specified anywhere). Does not indicate match in budget narrative.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>76</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information						
Organization Name:	Kids' Harbor, Inc.					
Project Name:	Kids' Harbor, Inc.					
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers					
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims					
Requested Funding Amount:	\$462,733.21					
Grant Application Reviewer Information						
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith					
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/20/2025					

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The narrative states that the organization serves 700 unduplicated victims; however, the form states that over 3000 are served.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	22	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	1	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	2	Narrative does not include recruitment or recognition activities
							Application does not include performance metrics or goals.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>One salary and the total amount were incorrectly calculated. The total should be \$471,255.30; however, the applicant requested a lower amount.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>Match is not included. Calculations are not included in the narrative. Narrative does not include federal priority areas.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>70</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Niles Home for Children
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$276,843.19

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/22/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	24	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>Indirect costs not clearly articulated.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Incorrect match calculation, resulting in match falling short.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>87</p>	<p>Incorrect match calculation, resulting in match falling short.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Niles Home for Children, Inc.		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims		
Requested Funding Amount:	\$276,843.19		

Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith		
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/15/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The narrative states that approximately 350 new victims will be served during the grant period; however, the form states that over 2000 victims will be served.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	The application does not include training activities.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>There was a miscalculation in the benefits section. The total amount requested is less than what can be received.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>17</p>	<p>Match was calculated incorrectly. Rent and utilities should have been listed in Supplies / Operations, not Indirect Costs. Indirect cost calculations were not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>76</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	North Central Missouri Children's Advocacy Center
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$55,007.30

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Indicates more victims served than services provided.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	7	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	16	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	The application does not state additional services that will be provided.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Volunteer waiver

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>9</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Match and federal priority areas were not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>79</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information						
Organization Name:	North Central Missouri Children's Advocacy Center					
Project Name:	VOCA					
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers					
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims					
Requested Funding Amount:	\$55,007.30					
Grant Application Reviewer Information						
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith					
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/16/2025					

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The form indicates that more victims will be served than services provided. The agency description notes that approximately 250 children will be interviewed, but the form states that over 2500 children will be served.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	The application does not state what happens if the needs exceed the organizational capacity. Information about the problem is unclear.
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	15	The application does not state additional services that will be provided.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	7	The application states previous recruitment efforts and the training that would be needed. However, based on the narrative, it cannot be determined that recruitment activities have occurred recently. They do not speak to recognition activities. A waiver was submitted.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Targets are not included.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>The total was correct; however, the budget worksheet was unclear.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>The narrative did not include match and the federal priority areas. There was one calculation error in the narrative.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>65</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	Northwest Children's Advocacy Center (Voices of Courage)				
Project Name:	VOCA				
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims		
Requested Funding Amount:	\$126,741.66				
Grant Application Reviewer Information					
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver				
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/18/2025				

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	Additional information could be included regarding how the added therapists would fill the gaps.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	Could expand on the collaborative relationship with the Universities for their student volunteers/interns. What are the training protocols, hour expectations, and other policies that would be in place under such contracts?
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>24</p>	<p>No match noted. One employee had one penny added to the total in the narrative.</p>
<p>Total Score 88</p>						<p>88</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information				
Organization Name:	Northwest Children's Advocacy Center			
Project Name:	VOCA			
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers			
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$126,741.66			

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/20/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	It appears that due to the nature of the work, volunteers are not often utilized. Lacks detail regarding when there are volunteers.
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	1	The application includes that a survey is utilized, but goals and metrics are not included.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	<p>Internet costs should not be listed as indirect costs.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>83</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Southeast Missouri Network Against Sexual Violence		
Project Name:			
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$189,973.72		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	24	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	<p>Match was calculated incorrectly.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>92</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Southeast Missouri Network Against Sexual Violence		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims		
Requested Funding Amount:	\$189,973.72		
Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith		
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/11/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form states that the provider will serve 1755 individuals; however, the agency description states that the provider assists an average of 550 clients/year.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The application doesn't state goals or what the agency hopes to achieve.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Match was calculated incorrectly.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>89</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Stone County Assistance Team / Lake Area CAC		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$26,900.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/16/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	7	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	16	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	Grant response addresses each component. Answers are to the point but thin.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Waiver

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>No match indicated</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>83</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Stone County Assistance Team / Lake Area CAC		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$26,900.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/16/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	The total number of victims matches the number of services provided.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	18	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>Applicant did not include match. The calculations for the salaries are confusing. The monthly amount of \$4483.34 multiplied by 6 does not total half of the staff member's annual salary.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>81</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	The Child Advocacy Center of Northeast Missouri				
Project Name:	VOCA				
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$293,841.00				

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	22	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	Application lacks recognition activities.
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>24</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>93</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	The Child Advocacy Center of Northeast Missouri						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services			
Requested Funding Amount:	\$293,841.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/10/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	3	It appears that some of the victims served are duplicated and the total number of services are over a multiple year period.
	B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1	Points: 0		
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description.	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	8	
	B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.	24	
	B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.	Points: 6-15	Points: 1-5	Points: 0			
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	4	
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 8-10	Points: 5-7	Points: 0			
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	4	The application does not include recognition activities.
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1			

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>All calculations and descriptions were correct, except for match.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>84</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	The Child Advocacy Center
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$341,220.51

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	Totals are significantly higher than what is noted in the narrative.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	24	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>Differences likely due to rounding.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>25</p>	<p>Requested food for victims receiving services.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>92</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	The Child Advocacy Center
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$341,220.51

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/15/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form indicates that more victims will be served than services provided.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>Calculations were off by less than \$1.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>25</p>	<p>Requested food for victims receiving services.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>92</p>	<p>Requested food for victims receiving services.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	The Curators of the University		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$283,937.80		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/23/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	24	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>There were 3+ computation errors.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>85</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	The Curators of the University		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Child Advocacy Centers	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims		
Requested Funding Amount:	\$283,937.80		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/15/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Based on the narrative, it appears that approximately 1200 victims are served. The form indicates over 3500 will be served.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct services. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 16-25	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	24	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

Budget Form	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services 	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	There were multiple calculation errors.	
Budget Narrative	See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	19	<p>Training includes costs for all staff. Meals do not account for first and last day of travel. Supplies include snacks for youth receiving services and during a graduation ceremony; however, costs were not separated. Annual percentages were used for Guardify and Clinic Tracker. The total and indirect costs were accurate based on what the provider noted, but were incorrect given the above notes.</p>	
Total Score								81