

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	AdHoc Group Against Crime						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$44,240.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/26/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Form indicates One victim will be served.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	24	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>No Match provided. Budget narrative lacks clarity on the calculations.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>87</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	AdHoc Group Against Crime
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity
Requested Funding Amount:	\$44,240.00
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/25/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The narrative states that 100 individuals will be served with VOCA funds.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The application states that they actively recruit volunteers; however, no details are provided. The application does not address recognition activities.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The application is not clear regarding what information will be collected. Targets are not included.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>Match was not included. Calculations were not provided. The IFB priority areas were not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>72</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Area Resources for Community and Human Services
Project Name:	
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity
Requested Funding Amount:	\$500,000.00

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	Submitted waiver Needs more information

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Totals are correct if the assumption that the VOCA % has already been applied to the salary. Total amount is \$499,999.73. They rounded up to an even \$500,000.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>19</p>	<p>Match is short by \$25,000. Requesting funds to travel out of state to San Francisco. Recommend removing \$20,237 from overall total.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>83</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Area Resources for Community and Human Services
Project Name:	VDA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity
Requested Funding Amount:	\$500,000.00
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	12/12/2028

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	22	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	Submitted a Volunteer Waiver

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>6</p>	<p>The annual costs in the workbook already included the VOCA %, however, the format of the workbook made it appear as if the VOCA % needed to be applied. The travel expenses did not account for first and last day of travel per diem rates.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Match was included; however, it was difficult to determine the total that will be applied - the application stated that there is an annual cost of \$100,000, but the 6-month budget is \$16,666.66. The required amount is approximately \$125,000.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>86</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Central Missouri Foster Care & Adoption Association
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services
Requested Funding Amount:	\$212,500.00

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/30/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	2	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font 	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2-Page Limit 	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 5-Page Limit 	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Several computation errors</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Narrative does not provide much explanation. It is a copy/paste of the instructions and allowances. Does not explain how totals were calculated in the budget form.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>38</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Central Missouri Foster Care & Adoption Association		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$212,500.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/27/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	1	Figures were not provided for the number of services provided. The total number of victims served is not included.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	6	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	The application only includes a bulleted list of the services provided. It does not go into detail of what the services do and does not address community partnerships and additional services.
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	1	The application does not address recruitment, training, or recognition. This section is 2 sentences.
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	This section exceeds the page limits; however, it does provide targets and output and outcome measures.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>There were several errors within the worksheet. The total requested is less than what could be requested.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>The budget narrative does not provide justification for salaries or benefits. The narrative gives a high level overview of the costs needed for supplies and travel, but does not give figures, just an annual amount. Match is not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>30</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Central Missouri Stop Human Trafficking Coalition						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$103,804.24						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/25/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	0	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>94</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Central Missouri Stop Human Trafficking Coalition
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$103,804.24

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/25/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>25</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>96</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Child Abuse Prevention Association						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity			Supportive Services for Victim Services			
Requested Funding Amount:	\$582,284.01						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers no benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Requested funds for full year. Worksheet did not include Travel/Training costs that are noted in the narrative.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>Some narrative benefits annual totals were not transferred as the same amount on the budget form. Benefits and staff do not mirror the budget form. Utilized budget form for final total for 6-month project. Travel and Training indicates for the full year and is not in the overall budget form. Will omit from overall recommended total.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>85</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Child Abuse Prevention Association		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$382,284.01		
Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith		
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/27/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>The totals on the budget workbook and the amount requested were for the year, not prorated by 6 months. The total amount should be \$179,150.67.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>86</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Children's Home Society of Missouri DBA Family Forward
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$186,328.72

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	20	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Match sum dollar amount is not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>87</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Children's Home Society of Missouri d/b/a Family Forward
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$186,328.72

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/1/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>The application provides justification, but does not include calculations. There is a brief description of match, but the total is not provided.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>88</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Comerstones of Care
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$37,889.00
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>The whole budget is miscalculated. It is unclear how totals were calculated.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>Match is short by a little more than \$2000. Some formulas are unclear and do not help explain the budget form.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>73</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Comerstones of Care
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$37,889.00

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	The application does not state what recognition activities are practiced. It mentions training, but details are not provided.
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>The totals for the salaries were incorrect based on the salary amounts and rates provided. The total should be \$19,425.69</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The narrative does not provide much detail and does not support the figures that are included in the workbook. Based on the narrative description and tables, it cannot be determined how the amounts were calculated. Match was included, but is incorrect.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>64</p>	<p>64</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	Crime Victim Advocacy Center				
Project Name:	VOCA				
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victims Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services
Requested Funding Amount:	\$253,867.50				

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/1/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 6-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>It seems the VOCA% has been taken out of the salaries already but this is unclear in the budget form.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Calculated match incorrectly but they have plenty to account for required amount.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>90</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	Crime Victim Advocacy Center				
Project Name:	VOCA				
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victims and Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services
Requested Funding Amount:	\$253,867.50				

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/28/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 6-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>All totals were correct; however, the VOCA % was already factored into the annual costs. Therefore, the workbook was misleading. The budget narrative did not explain this.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>The budget narrative did not explain that the % VOCA was applied. Indirect costs included rent, even with rent as a line item in the budget.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>88</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services				
Requested Funding Amount:	\$72,875.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/25/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>Indicating 600/year for outreach materials. Narrative indicates \$50 (12 months).</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Match is short by \$3644 and calculated incorrectly.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>84</p>	<p>Match is short by \$3644 and calculated incorrectly.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Delta Area Economic Opportunity Corporation						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services				
Requested Funding Amount:	\$72,875.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/25/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The form states that over 960 victims will be served; however, the application noted that around 100 victims were served annually in the past three years.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The application includes a plan for how the provider will recruit, train, and recognize volunteers. It does not appear that they currently have volunteers at their organization.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Match was calculated incorrectly, and it appears that they will use volunteer hours; however, it doesn't appear that volunteers are currently utilized.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>79</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Employment Connection						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victim Services					
Requested Funding Amount:	\$250,000.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers no benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	18	Needs a stronger connection/outline for serving victims of crime.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	<p>10</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>21</p>	<p>Did not include match.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>10</p>		<p>87</p>		<p>87</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Employment Connection						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services					
Requested Funding Amount:	\$250,000.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/27/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	3	The number of clients served in 2024 was 291; however, the form states that nearly 1100 clients will be served.
	B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1	Points: 0		
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description.	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.	23	
	B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.	Points: 6-15	Points: 1-5	Points: 0			
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	10	
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 8-10	Points: 5-7	Points: 0			
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	5	
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1			

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>The application does not include match. The narrative provided a high level overview, but not many specifics on costs.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>				<p>85</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Foster Adopt Connect						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$3,073,568.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers no benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Agency Description	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	7	Scored this section as a 7" due to missing information. The VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request for April - December 2025 is not signed or marked as approved.
	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	16	
Project Description	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	
	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	
Outcome Measures							

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Recalculated budget for 6 month time period. Supplies/Ops Calculation incorrect on 9-mo request.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>Does not include names of staff. Combines positions together, such as "PT Behavioral Interventionists, 10 FTE, independence" so it is difficult to determine from where the numbers are derived. Ex: Appears the annual salary of PT and FT Behavioral Interventionist is the same, regardless of FTE. This request is for 9 months, rather than 6 months. Does not include computations. Does not break apart each match total.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>55</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Foster Adopt Connect						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$3,073,568.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Macey Shamberly						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Does not include advocacy, referrals or legal supports
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	It is unclear what the project goals are for the victims.
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	Makes no connection between kinship and victims. Doesn't clarify how demand exceeds capacity. Does not address how they determine crime victimization status.
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	Not enough information. Unsure who performs the work. Unsure about the organizational and program structures.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	Response doesn't mention recognition.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Metrics are not agency wide in scope. Response exceeds page limit.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Several miscalculations</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>No formulas are provided. More justification is needed to explain the expenses. Unsure how the programs are managed and how they operate. Match is not calculated. Sources for a match are not specified. Not all priority areas are reported on. Does not provide all requested information for personnel.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>29</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Foster Care Coalition of Greater St. Louis, Inc.
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$193,424.00

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/25/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Totals were not provided.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Targets are not included.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>The budget narrative was in the format of a budget workbook. The total amount was incorrect, however, it was less than what it could have been. The amount on this worksheet did not match the budget narrative worksheet.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The budget narrative served like the budget workbook. It showed the staff members, the annual amounts, and the % VOCA. There was not a description or justification. Calculations were not provided. Federal priority areas were not included. Match was not included.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>61</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Foster Care Coalition of Greater St. Louis						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$193,424.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Notes 225 for emergency medical care, but 0 for victims served. 2500 for services but 0 for total services.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Information needed for number of volunteers/hours, training and recognition.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Calculations indicate "Annual Salary" but calculations seem to be broken down to the 6-month grant cycle.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>The form titled "narrative" appears to be the budget form. Cannot locate a narrative that includes calculations and justifications. No indication as to how benefits totals were formulated. Categories and priority areas not indicated.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>63</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information				
Organization Name:	GIA Community Development Corp.			
Project Name:	VOCA			
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services			
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$184,978.00			

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/30/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font 	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2-Page Limit 	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 5-Page Limit 	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	21	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>9</p>	<p>Di Minimis calculation unclear</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>Narrative only notes "regional training" and the content of training (crisis intervention and case management) but does not specify the name of the training. Includes unallowable costs.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>89</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	GIA Community Development Center						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity		Supportive Services for Victim Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$184,978.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/28/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)		Comments				
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The number of clients exceeds the number of clients listed in the narrative.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	18	The application includes the services that are provided, but it does not address additional services or what happens when the agency is at full capacity.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>There were computation errors in travel, supplies, and indirect costs</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>The application includes food for support groups and community awareness. The cost of food for community awareness was removed in the reviewer's total; however, could not be removed for support groups as it was included in the total amount for that line item.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>76</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Healing Action Network
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$138,275.35

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/1/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	20	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	Missing recognition
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>19</p>	<p>Unclear on benefit calculations. Calculated 6-month personnel total incorrectly, making overall sum wrong. De minimis calculated incorrectly. Both requests are under allowable total based on calculations provided. Will keep recommended total as requested. No match indicated.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>81</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Healing Action Network
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$138,275.35
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/28/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The form indicates that 776 survivors use these services; however, the narrative states that 75 clients use the services.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	21	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>Indirect costs calculation errors. The workbook doesn't include a total amount requested.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>The narrative does not include match. The federal priority areas and total award amount are not included in the budget narrative or budget worksheet.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>79</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	IppurLife Inc.		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$175,848.73		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font 	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2-Page Limit 	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 5-Page Limit 	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	20	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>Benefit calculations are a bit vague. Does not indicate trainings are in state. Narrative indicates "trash removal services approx. \$200 per quarter for a total of \$6800 annually." Recommend \$400 for trash removal. After closer examination, it appears they included \$400 for trash removal, not what was noted in narrative. Confusing but calculation seems accurate.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>85</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	IppourLife, Inc.		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$175,848.73		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/25/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The number of services and clients equal each other.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	It is unclear how a youth is referred to the program.
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	18	The application does not speak much to outside services, should they be needed. But, it does state that they collaborate with other organizations, particularly to nominate to this program.
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>19</p>	<p>Match was not included. It is unclear why rent, utilities, and trash was cut by 50%.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>82</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	KC Mothers in Charge		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$104,408.55		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	1	Numbers of victims served seems extremely high based on narrative.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	0	Missing
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	Numbers of clients served and examples are not noted.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>Minor miscalculation on supplies/operations, making overall total incorrect as well. Request is lower than amount that could be requested based on information provided.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>21</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>73</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	KC Mothers in Charge		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$104,408.55		
Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith		
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/30/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The total number of clients served and services are the same. The number of clients appears to be high based on the narrative.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	0	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	18	The applicant did not submit this section.
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>There were errors in supplies and benefits. The supplies were reduced by 50%, but of the annual cost, the VOCA % was not applied.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>The budget includes costs for food for support groups and other group events, which is not allowable. However, some of these costs were incorporated with allowable costs, so they could not be removed. HRA and training costs were not itemized, therefore, the reviewer couldn't determine if the annual amounts were correct.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>66</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	Missouri Alliance for Children and Families				
Project Name:	VDAC				
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$96,812.84				

Grant Application Reviewer Information					
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver				
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025				

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	8	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	20	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	Needs more information such as number of volunteers, hours, training, and recognition activities.
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Multiple errors</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>		
<p>Total Score</p>							<p>77</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Missouri Alliance for Children and Families						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	Supportive Services for Secondary Victim Services			
Requested Funding Amount:	\$96,812.84						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 6-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	19	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The application does not state how the volunteers are recruited, trained, or recognized. It appears that the volunteers are members of an advisory board.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>10</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>17</p>	<p>Requesting funding for food/refreshments/drinks at the training camp. This is an unallowable cost. Need to deduct \$5000 from total requested. The information regarding travel for conferences/trainings was vague - it did not include location, number of attendees (for some trainings), therefore, it could not be determined if hotel and per diem rates were correct. Match was mentioned, but a specific amount or where funds would be from was not provided.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>						<p>76</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	Mothers Against Drunk Driving		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$134,049.43		
Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver		
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	18	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>There were 2 miscalculations.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>21</p>	<p>Requesting food for victims during candlelight vigil. \$800. Recommend removing.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>82</p>	<p>Requesting food for victims during candlelight vigil. \$800. Recommend removing.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Mothers Against Drunk Driving						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims		Supportive Services for Victim Services				
Requested Funding Amount:	\$134,049.43						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/28/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)		Comments				
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The application indicates that 68 individuals were served in 2024. The form states that approx. 1400 clients will be served.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	Narrative exceeds one page.
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	8	Narrative exceeds the page limit.
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	Exceed page limits. A Volunteer Waiver Request was included, but it appears the agency utilizes volunteers. The waiver was not included in the score - it includes recruitment activities and how volunteers will be utilized.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>The total requested is more than the total of each subcategory added together.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>Applicant is requesting rent as a supply and also requesting the 15% indirect cost rate. It is unclear how the annual cost was determined. The applicant is requesting funding for a vigil, which includes refreshments, which is an unallowable cost. The costs could not be removed as it was combined into one event. The applicant is requesting a waiver for match.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>74</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Safe Connections						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$385,030.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/28/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Unclear on services vs people served
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	22	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>15</p>	<p>Rounding is very inconsistent, creating more than 3 computation errors.</p> <p>Narrative does not illustrate computation formulas.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>78</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Safe Connections						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$385,030.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/25/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	3	The form states that the same number of clients will be served as services provided. The number of clients on the form exceeds the narrative.
	B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1	Points: 0		
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description.	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	8	
	B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Project Description	C. Demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0	18	
	Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	Points: 6-15	Points: 1-5	Points: 0			
Volunteers	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.	4	The application does not address how this program is unique or go into detail regarding how they will support individuals who need additional services.
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 8-10	Points: 5-7	Points: 0			
Outcome Measures	A. Describe how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	4	The application does not state how volunteers are trained.
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1			

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>There was a computation error on the salaries. The applicant also rounded to the nearest dollar, which caused a difference in the totals.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>The budget narrative did not provide calculations used to determine the budgeted amounts. The budget narrative did not include match, although match was included in the workbook. The total match was incorrect.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>71</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	SAWERRA		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Specialized Services for Communities Impacted by Inequity	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$55,838.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The totals in the form are much higher than what the narrative explains.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	20	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	Lacking a great amount of required information. Outlined the biography of each board member.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Did not provide the actual budget form. Tables were embedded in the narrative.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 16-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>16</p>	<p>Need more information on conference travel and training. Recommend removing \$1404 from award since no details are provided. No match indicated. Does not specify priority areas or federal priority areas.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>74</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information			
Organization Name:	SAWERRA		
Project Name:	VOCA		
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services		
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$55,838.00		

Grant Application Reviewer Information			
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith		
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/30/2025		

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	3	The number of clients and services are the same.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	24	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	2	The application does not address recruitment, training, or recognition, but rather focuses on who the volunteers are and the positions they fill.
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>The totals were correct; however, the annual amounts were reduced by the % VOCA therefore, the tables were misleading - indicating the the % to VOCA still needed to be applied.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>12</p>	<p>Federal priority area and match were not included. The justifications for supplies and contractual were vague.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>72</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	School District of Kansas City
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$1,376,086.00
Supportive Services for Victim Services:	

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/26/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers no benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font 	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2-Page Limit 	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	Three year existence and history of the program (not the school) is rather vague
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 5-Page Limit 	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	7	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1-Page Limit 	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	Needs more information, including recognition activities.

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>Personnel total is off by a little more than \$800 but their ask is less than sum total.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 18-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>22</p>	<p>Missing salary narrative for CW.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 18-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>82</p>	<p>Missing salary narrative for CW.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	School District of Kansas City, Missouri						
Project Name:	VOCA						
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services					
Requested Funding Amount:	\$1,376,086.00						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith						
Date Grant Review Completed:	9/25/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Scoring							
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	5	
	B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1	Points: 0		
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description.	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population.	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.	9	
	Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	Points: 8-10	Points: 6-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.	24	
	Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	Points: 6-15	Points: 1-5	Points: 0			
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	4	
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 8-10	Points: 5-7	Points: 1-4	Points: 0		
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.	5	The application does not address recognition activities.
	Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	Points: 4-5	Points: 2-3	Points: 1	Points: 0		

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>The total requested was the annual amount. The total for the grant should be \$668,043.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	<p>Salaries - missed one description of a Trauma Sensitive School Clinician. Match was calculated incorrectly, but the total calculated match was more than the required amount.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>86</p>	<p></p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	The Children's Place
Project Name:	VOCAC
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$316,809.04

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The form indicates more people served than services provided.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	22	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 5-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	4	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Most 6-month salary totals are miscalculated.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>19</p>	<p>It is unclear how salary totals were formulated.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>80</p>	<p>It is unclear how salary totals were formulated.</p>

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	The Children's Place
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$316,809.04
Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/2/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	Based on the narrative, it is unclear how many children participate in VOCA services per year. The form states that only 192 services will be provided, which is less than the total number of victims served.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full-time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>The totals and the 6 month salaries are incorrect.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>Calculations were not provided. It is unclear how the 6 month salary was calculated. The amount requested was less than what could have been requested.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>84</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information				
Organization Name:	The Victim Center, Inc.			
Project Name:	VOCA			
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services			
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims	
Requested Funding Amount:	\$346,034.77			

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver
Date Grant Review Completed:	10/1/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	3	The form indicates that more people are served than services are provided.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	23	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity iii. Supportive Services for victim services iv. Direct Services to secondary victims v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>8</p>	<p>Form missing a few calculations that are noted in the narrative, such as PTFICA benefits. Need to use the narrative to understand why some costs are not divided into 6 months.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>91</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information					
Organization Name:	The Victim Center				
Project Name:	VOCA				
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services				
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims	Supportive Services for Victim Services	Direct Services to Secondary Victims		
Requested Funding Amount:	\$346,034.77				

Grant Application Reviewer Information					
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith				
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025				

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	9	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 6-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>There were a few line items where the amount was either not cut in half (6 month period) or the % VOCA was not applied. The total grant award should be \$339,284.33.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>23</p>	
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 10-25</p>		<p>Points: 1-5</p>		<p>91</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions							
Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.							
Application Information							
Organization Name:	Young Women's Christian Association						
Project Name:							
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services						
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims						
Requested Funding Amount:	\$376,439.76						
Grant Application Reviewer Information							
Grant Reviewer Name:	Diane Haver						
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/29/2025						
Application Review Scoring							
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments					
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrolment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A						
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A						
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A						
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A						
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A						
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A						
Application Question	Response Requirements	Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory	Score	Justification
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only. B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period. C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	0	The form was missing from the application.
Agency Description	A. Provide an agency description. Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font	The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographics served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Service Population	A. Identify needs for primary population. B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status. C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances. Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit	The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 5-7	The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Project Description	A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application. B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims. C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services. D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members. Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response. Points: 6-15	The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-5	The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response. Points: 0	23	
Volunteers	A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 8-10	The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating. Points: 5-7	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1-4	The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	10	
Outcome Measures	A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services. Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit	The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response. Points: 4-5	The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response. Points: 2-3	The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response. Points: 1	The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response. Points: 0	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Salary - Calculated Crisis Intervention Advocate incorrectly (didn't reduce to 6 months and their 88 is incorrect). Did not reduce Director to 6 months. Benefits - Did not reduce same two staff by 6 months and miscalculated based on 12 months. Calculated indirect cost incorrectly. More than 3 computation errors.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>Does not show computations which is needed because it appears two salaries and benefits were not reduced by 6 months, but unsure without the computations noted in narrative. The narrative does not indicate how mileage calculations were formulated. Does not indicate breakdown of contracted security. Does not indicated match sum.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 0</p>		<p>66</p>	

MO DSS VOCA Proposal Scoring Instructions

Grant Review Instructions: Complete one scoring matrix per application. Add comments justifying your score.

Application Information	
Organization Name:	Young Women's Christian Association of Metropolitan St. Louis
Project Name:	VOCA
Allocation Category:	Multiple Services
Priority Area:	Direct Services to Victims
Requested Funding Amount:	\$376,439.76

Grant Application Reviewer Information	
Grant Reviewer Name:	Kate Smith
Date Grant Review Completed:	8/26/2025

Application Review Scoring		
Form	Form Complete (Yes / No)	Comments
Exhibit 1: Business Entity Certification, Enrollment Documentation, and Affidavit of Work Authorization	N/A	
Exhibit 2: Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion Lower Tier Covered Transactions	N/A	
Exhibit 3: Registration of Business Name (if applicable) with the MO Secretary of State	N/A	
Exhibit 4: Anti-Discrimination Against Israel Act Certification	N/A	
Exhibit 5: Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Data Form	N/A	
VOCA Volunteer Waiver Request	N/A	

Application Question	Response Requirements	Scoring				Score	Justification
		Distinctive	Satisfactory	Limited	Unsatisfactory		
VOCA Service DATA Spreadsheet - Exhibit 7.2	<p>A. Check the services you provide for the requested VOCA-funding project only</p> <p>B. Include the projected number of VOCA-funded services for the requested project period.</p> <p>C. Include the total number of victims and secondary victims for the requested project period.</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The estimate of numbers is reasonable and has a clear tie to the problem stated in the application. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The response provides a finite and reasonable estimate of the number of each type of victim services that will be offered. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is unreasonable. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The estimate of numbers served is missing or is unreasonable. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	0	The form was not provided.
Agency Description	<p>A. Provide an agency description.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1 Page - Single spaced - 12-point font</p>	<p>The summary is very clear and concise. Background information includes a history of the agency, current programs, the demographic served, and where services are provided. The application clearly describes at least three (3) years of effective, direct services to victims. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The summary is clear and concise. Background information includes a brief history of the agency and current programs. The application clearly describes at least (1) year of effective, direct service. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The summary is clear or concise. Background information is included, but lacks detail on history of the agency and current programs. The response meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Background information is limited or not included. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Service Population	<p>A. Identify needs for primary population.</p> <p>B. Explain how you determine crime victimization status.</p> <p>C. If demand for services exceeds organizational capacity, explain the need and circumstances.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 2-Page Limit</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear and compelling. Information about the problem is clear and concise. The response provides a clear process for establishing victimization status. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained and justified. The project exceeds the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is clear. Information about the problem is clear and concise. Needs that exceed organizational capacity are clearly explained. The response meets all requirements but offers few significant benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The victims' need statement is somewhat unclear. Information about the problem is unclear and/or is not presented in a concise manner. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The summary is neither clear nor concise. Information about the needs are neither clear nor concise. The response lacks detail in most, if not all areas. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	9	
Project Description	<p>A. Describe how victims will access the services described in this application.</p> <p>B. Identify any additional services the agency makes available to victims.</p> <p>C. Explain how you collaborate with other community organizations to ensure you are not duplicating services.</p> <p>D. If applying for underserved category, how do you plan to reach underserved community members.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 5-Page Limit</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a wide variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly defines how and to whom services will be provided. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. Aspects of the proposed project are unique, and services do not overlap with other similar services provided in close proximity. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. If applying for underserved category, clear and concise description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The application clearly describes the services every victim will be offered. The application describes a variety of additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application clearly identifies existing services and any services that will be new. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. If applying for underserved category, adequate description of how program will ensure that community will access services. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The application is somewhat unclear in the description of the services every victim will be offered. The application describes few additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services, but the additional services are limited in scope. The application provides little information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application provides little information about whether the services are existing or new. The application provides limited information about the capacity and offers little information about how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. If applying for underserved category, unclear description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The application is unclear in the description of services every victim will be offered. The application describes no additional services that are available if a victim has additional needs beyond the minimum services. The application provides no information about how and to whom services will be provided. The application does not identify whether the services are new or existing. The application provides very little information about the capacity or how the agency handles situations when the facility is at full capacity. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. If applying for underserved category, no description of how program will ensure that community will be able to access services. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	24	
Volunteers	<p>A. Include an unduplicated count of annual number of volunteers and volunteer hours.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan promises significant benefits and has a proven track record of success. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 8-10</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program planned practices are comprehensive to meet the needs of the clients they are serving with community volunteer commitment and includes recruitment, training, and recognition activities. The plan is untested but has a high potential for success. Confidence in the response. An application with an approved waiver for volunteers shall receive a satisfactory rating.</p> <p>Points: 6-7</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency maintains a limited community volunteer commitment and includes only two of the three: recruitment, training or recognition activities. The training and retention plan lacks significant benefits, has not been tested, and has limited potential for success. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-4</p>	<p>The agency's volunteer program practices are not comprehensive. The agency lacks recruitment strategies, provides little to no training or offers very few or no recognition activities. The training and retention plans lack most, if not all, significant benefits, has not been tested and has little potential for success. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	10	
Outcome Measures	<p>A. Description must include number of clients served and examples of positive outcomes which were achieved through those services.</p> <p>Other Criteria: - 1-Page Limit</p>	<p>The outcome measures are clear, comprehensive and agency wide in scope. The performance targets are clearly and concisely stated. The example provided relates directly to and includes all of the performance metrics described. The response provides assurance the project will exceed the overall goals of the program/funding. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 4-5</p>	<p>The performance metrics are clear, agency wide in scope or relate directly to the application. The performance targets are included. The example provided has relevance to the metrics described. The response meets all requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements for the program/funding. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 2-3</p>	<p>The performance metrics are limited in scope with some direct relationship to the application. The example provided has little relevance to the metrics described. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1</p>	<p>The performance metrics are very limited in scope with no direct relationship to the application. No example of metrics was included in the application. The application meets few if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	5	

<p>Budget Form</p>	<p>A. Identify total staffing to be funded by VOCA (names, job titles, full time/part time, existing/new). Narrative must include a description of activities and relevant priority areas.</p> <p>B. Provide a narrative to show costs are justified, reasonable and allowable.</p> <p>C. Identify all computations used to arrive at requested line-item amounts in the narrative.</p> <p>D. Identify total funds requested for all budget categories - Child Abuse, Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, and Underserved.</p> <p>E. Include total funds requested for each priority area.</p> <p>I. Direct Services to victims</p> <p>ii. Specialized Services for communities impacted by inequity</p> <p>iii. Supportive Services for victim services</p> <p>iv. Direct Services to secondary victims</p> <p>v. Supportive Services for secondary victim services</p>	<p>Budget spreadsheet correctly completed with no computation errors.</p> <p>Points: 10</p>	<p>1-2 computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 7</p>	<p>3 or more computation errors on budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 3</p>	<p>Incomplete or missing budget spreadsheet.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>There was an error in salary and benefits, which caused an error in the indirect costs and the final total. The total requested is less than the total amount that could be requested.</p>
<p>Budget Narrative</p>	<p>See Budget Narrative Tab for instructions</p>	<p>The budget narrative is clear and concise. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and all line-item calculations correct and equal the category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Very high confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 10-25</p>	<p>The budget narrative is somewhat clear but elements are confusing. All requested costs in the application are justified, reasonable and allowable. Budget narrative is properly categorized and few errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount been included in the narrative. The services proposed are reasonable in scope and delivery and offer significant benefit to victims beyond the stated requirements. Confidence in the response.</p> <p>Points: 6-15</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of reasonable and justified costs, some costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets some of the requirements but offers few benefits beyond the minimal requirements. Little confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 1-5</p>	<p>The budget narrative is unclear in the description of costs and lacks justification. Identified costs are not allowable. Budget narrative is not properly categorized and errors in line-item calculations and/or category totals. All computations used to arrive at requested amount have not been included in the narrative. The application meets few, if any of the requirements. No confidence in response.</p> <p>Points: 0</p>	<p>18</p>	<p>The narrative did not provide detail for costs except for salaries. Ways in which match would be obtained were included, but it did not state how much match was needed.</p>
<p>Total Score</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>Points: 16-25</p>		<p>82</p>	