



## **House Bill 1414 (2020) Response and Evaluation Report for Case Management of Children in Foster Care**

---



**October 2024**

**Reporting Period: April 1 through June 30, 2024**



**Published by:**  
Children's Division  
October 2024

**Contact Information:**  
[CD.RESPONSEEVALTEAM@dss.mo.gov](mailto:CD.RESPONSEEVALTEAM@dss.mo.gov)

## Table of Contents

<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>HB1414 Metric Reporting Timeline</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>Evaluation Tool and Metrics (13 CSR 35-35.100)</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Foster Care Case Management Dashboard (HB1414, Section 210.122 Performance Data Indicators)</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Child and Family Service Review (CFSR)</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Foster Care Case Management Agency Codes</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>Standardized Stakeholder Feedback Tool</b> .....	<b>6</b>
Standardized Stakeholder Survey Responses .....	7
<b>FCCM Contract Expansion</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>Phase I (Reporting Period: April 1 to June 30, 2024)</b> .....	<b>10</b>
A. Safety Domain: Caseworker Monthly Visits with Children in Foster Care .....	10
B. Safety Domain: Victimization in Foster Care.....	18
C. Well-Being Domain: Parent Visits with Child .....	25
D. Well-Being Domain: Medical Exam Completion (HCY/EPSTD) .....	34
E. Permanency Domain: Worker Visits with Parent .....	41
F. Permanency Domain: Reentry into Foster Care .....	48
G. Service Domain: Average Number of Workers Per Child in Care (Less than 12 Months and 12+ Months).....	55
<b>Phase II (Reporting Period: April 1 to June 30, 2024)</b> .....	<b>67</b>
H. Well-Being Domain: Placement Category/Residential Type .....	67
I. Well-Being Domain: Case Managers and Supervisors Trauma Trained/Informed.....	90
J. Permanency Domain: Timely Achievement of Child’s Court Approved Plan.....	90
K. Service Domain: Effective Ratio of Supervisors to Supervision of Case Managers.....	105
L. Service Domain: Cases Returned to CD for Catastrophic Costs/Court Order.....	107
<b>Technical Assistance</b> .....	<b>107</b>
<b>Conclusion</b> .....	<b>107</b>

## Introduction

In 2020, the Missouri General Assembly and the Governor enacted **House Bill 1414** into law. This law requires the establishment of a Response and Evaluation (R&E) Team to review and evaluate foster care case management in Missouri with the goal of implementing objective metrics to measure the quality of services for children in foster care. The Children's Division, in conjunction with the Response and Evaluation Team, is required to develop and implement a standard report as outlined in Section 210.112 RSMo. and 13 CSR 35-35.100. The report is intended to share and analyze the data from processes outlined in the statute and the regulation and to report lessons learned from that data. The regulation requires all metrics and performance measures be designed to take into consideration the following factors:

- That caseloads of Foster Care Case Management Case Managers are capped; and
- That Foster Care Case Management Contracted Agencies may return cases to the Children's Division for case management due to catastrophic costs or a court order.

Implementation of HB1414 was broken into three phases. The metrics outlined in the chart below are directly from regulation 13 CSR 35-35.100. The Response and Evaluation Team determined which metrics from this regulation would be included in each phase and to utilize existing federal benchmarks and definitions, when available and appropriate. When those did not exist, the Response and Evaluation Team determined how to define those measures. The regulation directs the Response and Evaluation Team to continuously evaluate the most appropriate way to assess outcomes in child welfare.

### HB1414 Metric Reporting Timeline

*Safety Domain (Sa), Well-Being Domain (W), Permanency Domain (P), Service Domain (Sv)*

<b>Phase 1 (October 2022)</b>	<b>Phase 2 (October 2023)</b>	<b>Phase 3 (October 2024)</b>
1. Worker/Child visits (Sa)	1. Residential (W)	1. Sentinel Events (Sa) and Timely Reporting of Sentinel Events (Sv)
2. Reports of Child Abuse/Neglect in Foster Care (Sa)	2. Case Managers/Sups trauma trained/informed (W)	2. Education (W)
3. Parent/Child Visits (W)	3. Timely Achievement of child's court approved permanency plan (P)	3. Stability of Placements (P)
4. Healthy Child/Youth Exams (W)	4. Effective ratio of supervisors to supervision of Case Managers (Sv)	4. Provision of services to meet the needs of older youth (P)
5. Worker/Parent Visits (P)	5. Cases returned to CD for catastrophic costs/court order (Sv)	5. Timely development and implementation of a Social Service Plan to address the reasons why the child is in care (P) and timely development and implementation of primary and concurrent permanency plan (P)
6. Re-Entries into Foster Care (P)		
7. Number of Caseworker Changes (Sv)		

Any other metrics and outcome goals that may be required by law or that Children's Division may decide are appropriate can be added.

Additional information regarding the origins, purpose, and implementation of HB1414, including historical information contained in previous reports, can be found on the [HB1414 Page](#).

## **Evaluation Tool and Metrics**

### **Foster Care Case Management Dashboard**

The Foster Care Case Management Dashboard (FCCMD), available to all case management agencies, will display Missouri's data each month by circuit, case management provider, and county. The data and metrics will apply to both the Children's Division and its contracted case management agencies. Each agency's leadership and quality teams, along with the Children's Division's contracted case management oversight team, will review the data and create improvement plans as indicated.

### **Child and Family Services Review (CFSR)**

In order to comply with the requirements of case evaluation, the Response and Evaluation Team made the determination to utilize the existing Child and Family Services Review process and tools in the collection of information for purposes of HB 1414 evaluation of case management. The CFSR is a federally required process for evaluating child welfare systems nationwide. The Children's Bureau conducts the CFSRs, which are periodic reviews of state child welfare systems, to achieve three goals:

- Ensure conformity with federal child welfare requirements
- Determine what is actually happening to children and families as they are engaged in child welfare services
- Assist states in helping children and families achieve positive outcomes

The CFSR case review includes children in foster care under the age of eighteen, throughout the state, and includes cases managed by Children's Division and Foster Care Case Management (FCCM) agencies. Cases are randomly chosen quarterly following federally approved procedures. The number of foster care cases reviewed each quarter was negotiated and approved by the federal Child and Family Service Review Measurement and Sampling Committee (MASC). The CFSR case review tool assesses 18 items related to safety, permanency, and child and family wellbeing.

Missouri implemented a review process in April 2018 that embraced the standards of the federal Child and Family Services Review. The initial review system was built into the Children's Division's electronic case management system and mirrored all aspects of the federal onsite review instrument (OSRI). In September 2022, Missouri made the transition from their internal system to the federal online monitoring system (OMS).

The CFSR Online Monitoring System (OMS) is a web-based application consisting of the Onsite Review Instrument (OSRI), the Stakeholder Interview Guide (SIG), review and user

management functions for OMS State Administrators, data indicator visualizations, and data analysis reports and tools. The OMS is used for both Children's Bureau-led CFSRs and State-led CFSRs. States can use the OMS for their own continuous quality improvement (CQI) and training/practice purposes.

The Onsite Review Instrument is the federal review tool used to review both foster care and in-home services cases during the onsite review component of the Child and Family Services Reviews. In completing the instrument, reviewers conduct case file reviews and case-related interviews with children, parents, foster parents, caseworkers, and other professionals involved with the child. The instrument is organized into a Face Sheet and three sections. On the Face Sheet, reviewers document general information about a case, such as the type of case. The three sections focus on the outcome domains that form the basis of the Child and Family Services Reviews: safety, permanency, and child and family well-being. For each outcome, reviewers collect information on items related to that outcome.

In addition to data from the OMS, the Children's Bureau provides each state with CFSR Data Profiles. These profiles are produced by the Children's Bureau twice annually, typically in February and August. The profiles contain data relevant to this report. Data for the profiles is pulled from the [Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System](#) (AFCARS) and the [National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System](#) (NCANDS).

AFCARS was established to provide data to assist in policy development and program management. Data can be used by policymakers at the federal, Tribal, and state levels to assess and identify trends related to how many children are in foster care, reasons why they enter, how they exit, and to develop strategies to prevent unnecessary placement into foster care.

The data enables the Children's Bureau to administer the federal title IV-E foster care and adoption assistance programs more effectively. The Children's Bureau and Administration for Children and Families (ACF) use these data sets for several purposes, including:

- Responding to Congressional requests for current data on children in foster care or those who have been adopted;
- Responding to questions and requests from other Federal departments and agencies, including the General Accounting Office (GAO), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the Department of Health and Human Services' Office of Inspector General (OIG), national advocacy organizations, States, Tribes, and other interested organizations;
- Developing short and long-term budget projections;
- Developing trend analyses and short and long-term planning;
- Targeting areas for greater or potential technical assistance efforts, for discretionary service grants, research and evaluation, and regulatory change; and
- Determining and assessing outcomes for children and families.

The National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System (NCANDS) is a voluntary data collection system that gathers information from all 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico about reports of child abuse and neglect. NCANDS was established in response to the Child

Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act (CAPTA) of 1988. The data are used to examine trends in child abuse and neglect across the country, and key findings are published in [Child Welfare Outcomes Reports](#) to Congress and annual [Child Maltreatment reports](#).

## **Foster Care Case Management Agency Codes (FCCM)**

Due to character limits across many data entry and reporting points, each contract held by an FCCM agency is assigned an abbreviated code. For any data that is sorted by agency, these codes will represent the agency which holds the contract. It should be noted that Missouri Alliance for Children and Families (MACF) holds multiple contracts throughout the state.

- 6AW: Missouri Alliance for Children and Families (MACF); Specialized Care Contract
- 6ZA: MO Alliance Permanency Program (MACF)
- 6ZB: Children's Permanency Partnership
- 6ZC: St. Louis Partners
- 6ZO: Crittenton
- 6ZM: Springfield Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZL: Southwest Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZJ: Central Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZK: South Central Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZR: Kansas City Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZS: Southeast Children's Coalition (MACF)
- 6ZV: KVC Missouri (Previously named Great Circle and changed to KVC in 4/2023)

## **Standardized Stakeholder Feedback Tool**

Regulation 13 CSR 13 35-35.100 requires the use of a standardized stakeholder feedback tool annually. Missouri designed the feedback tool in the form of a survey. The purpose of the survey is to collect data from stakeholders pertaining to the quantity, quality, and effectiveness of case management services provided by the Division and its Foster Care Case Management (FCCM) Agencies. Surveys are sent to the following groups:

- Youth in Alternative Care (12+)
- Foster Parents & Resource Parents
- Adoptive Parents
- Parent(s) or Legal Guardian(s) of Children in Care
- Juvenile Officers
- Judges of the Juvenile and/or Family Court

As of March 2024, the Children's Division and FCCM agencies have adopted an electronic survey platform to send and receive survey information. The surveys include rating scale questions and open-ended questions.

Surveys were emailed to stakeholders in June 2024 using email addresses from the electronic case management system (FACES) used by CD and FCCM. Additionally, CD and FCCM staff were provided a survey link to share with any stakeholder which may not have had an email address in FACES. Four hundred sixty-seven (467) surveys were completed by stakeholders.

All survey responses were sent to FCCM Oversight, FCCM Quality Assurance, Children’s Division Circuit Managers, and Children’s Division Regional Field Operations Specialists for local continuous quality improvement conversations.

## Standardized Stakeholder Survey Responses (Reporting Period: June 2024)

Respondents were given the following instructions:

Using the rating scale below, answer which question best shows how you feel. If you had experience with more than one agency, please complete a separate survey for each agency for which you are addressing.

1 = Strongly Disagree                  2 = Disagree                  3 = Agree                  4 = Strongly Agree

Survey results listed as “unable to be displayed” indicates the participant left the survey before the page was displayed.

## Adoptive Parent Survey Responses

Sixty-nine (69) survey responses were received from Adoptive Parents. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. Five surveys were unable to be displayed.

Adoptive Parent Survey Responses							
June 2024							
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	% Positive Responses
1	Prior to adoption, my family understood the established permanency plan.	5	2	8	49	64	89%
2	The case manager clearly communicated the adoption process and timeline of court procedures.	8	6	18	32	64	78%
3	My family understands the adoption plan and staffing process.	6	4	17	37	64	84%
4	The adoption subsidy contract was created with our feedback and our child(ren) future needs in mind.	10	7	16	31	64	73%
5	Overall, I am satisfied with the services provided during the adoption process.	7	6	20	31	64	80%



## Biological Parent Survey Responses

Twenty-six (26) survey responses were received from Biological Parents. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. One survey was unable to be displayed.

Biological Parent Survey Responses							
June 2024							
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	% Positive Responses
1	I know how to contact my agency worker.	3	3	3	16	25	76%
2	I am satisfied with the amount of contact I have with the case manager(s).	7	3	3	12	25	60%
3	I am satisfied with the quality of contact I have with the case manager(s).	7	3	3	12	25	60%
4	My case manager responds to my needs timely.	7	3	3	12	25	60%
5	I have at least monthly visitation with my child(ren).	11	0	1	13	25	56%
6	I have a voice in developing case planning goals and decisions for my family.	10	1	3	11	25	56%
7	I have been able to invite my friends and family supports to have a voice in developing case planning goals and decisions for my family.	12	1	4	8	25	48%
8	I am aware of services and activities in my community for myself and my family.	10	2	5	8	25	52%
9	The case manager(s) working with my family treats me with respect and values my opinions.	5	5	3	12	25	60%
10	The Family Support Team respects my values, beliefs, and traditions.	11	3	1	10	25	44%
11	I have someone, other than my case manager, who I can talk with if I need support or help.	9	2	3	11	25	56%
12	Agency involvement has made a positive impact in my family.	11	2	3	9	25	48%

## Resource Parent Survey Responses

One hundred and eighty (180) survey responses were received from Resource Parents. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. Ten surveys were unable to be displayed.

Resource Parent Survey Responses							
June 2024							
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	% Positive Responses
1	I am aware of how to contact the case manager for daytime and/or after-hour emergencies.	15	12	38	105	170	84%
2	I have clear, open communication with my case manager.	23	29	29	89	170	69%
3	The case manager(s) provided adequate information on the child(ren) placed in my home (i.e. physical/mental health, behaviors, etc.)	29	25	43	73	170	68%
4	The case manager(s) working with the child(ren) in my home treats me with respect and values my opinions.	18	17	39	96	170	79%
5	The case manager(s) working with the child(ren) in my home is respectful of the child's cultural background and specific needs.	8	11	40	111	170	89%
6	The case manager(s) working with the child(ren) in my home assists in accessing resources and referrals needed to meet the child's needs.	18	31	40	81	170	71%
7	I have a voice in the case planning for the child(ren) in my home.	20	36	45	69	170	67%
8	The case manager(s) visits the child(ren) in my home at least once per month.	13	11	26	120	170	86%
9	Home visits and meetings that I am to attend are scheduled with consideration for my family's schedules.	18	21	48	83	170	77%
10	I am informed of court hearings timely.	23	20	39	88	170	75%
11	I have the opportunity to provide information in court hearings.	24	25	42	79	170	71%
12	Overall, I feel supported by agency staff in doing my job.	30	32	41	67	170	64%
13	I would recommend fostering to other people in the community.	24	33	44	69	170	66%



## Older Youth (12+) Survey Responses

One hundred and fourteen (114) survey responses were received from Older Youth. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. Three surveys were unable to be displayed.

	Older Youth (12+) Survey Responses						
	June 2024						
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	% Positive Responses
1	I have a voice in case planning.	15	11	34	51	111	77%
2	In Family Support Team meetings, I <u>am able to</u> share what is important to me.	15	12	26	58	111	76%
3	I understand my case plan.	6	12	27	66	111	84%
4	I know my goals for the future.	7	6	35	63	111	88%
5	My case manager supports me in the achievement of my goals.	11	10	31	59	111	81%
6	I am informed of the date and time of court hearings.	16	13	25	57	111	74%
7	I am heard in court hearings.	21	10	31	49	111	72%
8	My case manager prepares me to speak for myself in court hearings.	24	21	24	42	111	59%
9	I am satisfied with the amount of contact I have with my case manager.	10	16	28	57	111	77%
10	I know how to contact my case manager.	8	3	29	71	111	90%
11	I understand why I have a case manager.	7	5	33	66	111	89%
12	My case manager talks with me about my concerns.	12	12	26	61	111	78%
13	My case manager responds to my needs. For example: accessing resources and providing referrals.	14	9	29	59	111	79%
14	My case manager respects my identity and culture.	6	2	33	70	111	93%
15	I feel safe in my placement.	6	0	20	85	111	95%

## Juvenile Officer Survey Responses

Forty-eight (48) survey responses were received from Juvenile Officers. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. All surveys were able to be displayed.

	Juvenile Officer Survey Responses						
	June 2024						
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total	% Positive Responses
1	Are you notified of Family Support Team meetings two weeks in advance?	7	15	17	9	48	54%
2	Are court reports received prior to court? (A description of the facts pertaining to the case, any updates on progress or lack of progress made towards the safety goals, any relevant changes to the family situation, the recommended permanency and concurrent plan for each child, and any recommendations made by the Family Support Team)	6	12	25	5	48	63%
3	In general, are court reports easy to understand?	6	6	28	8	48	75%
4	Are court reports and other information given to you helpful in preparing for court?	6	8	28	6	48	71%
5	Are case managers familiar with the case at court?	5	9	29	5	48	71%
6	Are case managers prepared and knowledgeable while testifying?	5	12	29	2	48	65%
7	In general, are case managers available within a reasonable amount of time?	5	4	38	1	48	81%
8	Are case managers supportive of their clients?	2	9	33	4	48	77%
9	Do you feel you are able to provide input during Family Support Team meetings?	6	3	19	20	48	81%
10	Do you feel attorneys representing case managers help to move the case to permanency quicker?	15	11	16	6	48	46%
11	Do you feel you are able to provide input during other interactions with the team?	2	4	30	12	48	88%

## Judge Survey Responses

Thirty (30) survey responses were received from Judges. All surveys displayed below were answered in their entirety. All surveys were able to be displayed.

Judge Survey Responses								
April-June 2024								
Question #		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	I have no information	Total	% Positive Responses
1	Has your circuit achieved at least 95% timeliness for required hearings in accordance with Court Operating Rule 23.01?	1	4	8	12	5	30	67%
2	Is transportation offered/available to families to assist them in attending court hearings?	6	5	5	1	13	30	20%
3	Are foster parents, pre-adoptive parents, relative caregivers, and families given an opportunity to be heard during court proceedings?	1	1	6	21	1	30	90%
4	Are families given an opportunity to provide input during treatment planning?	0	0	10	8	12	30	60%
5	Are affidavits, reports, and other paperwork submitted by the case manager to the Court purposeful, specific, factual, and pertinent to the work being done with the family being served?	2	1	14	12	1	30	87%
6	With regard to parents requesting legal representation in accordance with SCR 115.03, is the process to obtain legal representation explained by Court staff in a timely, developmentally appropriate manner, utilizing clear and understandable language?	2	0	5	19	4	30	80%
7	In general, are all parties present and prepared for court hearings?	1	6	9	13	1	30	73%
8	In general, are case managers knowledgeable about the case and helpful during court proceedings?	1	0	16	12	1	30	93%

## FCCM Contract Expansion

It should be noted that during this reporting period, a significant number of cases were transferred to FCCM agencies from CD as a result of an FCCM contract expansion. The expansion occurred in the Kansas City (6ZR, 6ZO), Central (6ZJ), Southeast (6ZS), and St. Louis (6ZA, 6ZB, and 6ZC) regions. Many cases had multiple caseworkers and agencies involved in the case activities needing to be completed.

## Phase I Reporting (Reporting Period: April 1 – June 30, 2024)

### A. Safety Domain: Caseworker Monthly Visits with Children in Foster Care

Children's Division policy states that the caseworker should meet face-to-face with the child a minimum of one time per month with the majority of the visits being in the placement to monitor and assess the safety of the child.

Table 1 below depicts the percentage of children in foster care seen by a worker during the calendar month. This data set is displayed by each county and each agency in the state. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed.

**Table 1: Worker-Child Visits  
Federal Goal 95%**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	97.5%	97.6%	88.1%
Andrew	CD	66.7%	100%	100%
Atchison	CD	100%	100%	100%
Audrain	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Barry	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZV	100%	100%	100%
Barton	CD	94.7%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Bates	CD	100%	100%	100%
Benton	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Bollinger	CD	100%	97.2%	97.2%
Boone	CD	95.4%	98.9%	99.0%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ	98.4%	97.3%	94.0%
Buchanan	CD	74.4%	84.3%	84.1%
Butler	CD	94.3%	97.5%	97.4%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZS	97.3%	94.7%	92.3%
Caldwell	CD	100%	100%	100%
Callaway	CD	100%	98.5%	97.0%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ	100%	98.4%	98.5%
Camden	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZK	100%	100%	100%
Cape Girardeau	CD	96.7%	98.0%	98.6%
Carroll	CD	100%	100%	100%
Carter	CD	100%	100%	100%
Cass	CD	100%	93.5%	90.5%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZO	80.0%	93.0%	90.0%
	6ZR	100%	100%	100%
Cedar	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Chariton	CD	66.7%	83.3%	86.4%
Christian	CD	93.4%	92.4%	90.7%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZM	100%	100%	97.9%
Clark	CD	98.2%	88.9%	97.9%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Clay	CD	86.1%	84.1%	96.6%
Clinton	CD	100%	97.1%	97.2%
Cole	CD	97.7%	93.9%	98.1%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ	97.7%	96.6%	97.7%

Cooper	CD	93.3%	100%	78.6%
Crawford	CD	89.3%	95.1%	81.6%
Dade	CD	100%	100%	100%
Dallas	CD	100%	100%	97.4%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Daviess	CD	96.2%	100%	92.6%
DeKalb	CD	100%	92.0%	96.0%
Dent	CD	80.9%	98.7%	97.3%
Douglas	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Dunklin	CD	98.2%	100%	100%
	6ZS	96.0%	93.2%	91.1%
Franklin	CD	92.6%	91.3%	92.8%
	6AW	100%	100%	90.0%
	6ZA	93.1%	90.0%	86.2%
	6ZC	100%	100%	100%
Gasconade	CD	97.4%	100%	100%
Gentry	CD	100%	100%	100%
Greene	CD	98.7%	98.3%	97.3%
	6AW	100%	93.3%	92.9%
	6ZM	99.1%	92.9%	99.6%
	6ZV	85.2%	95.2%	93.7%
Grundy	CD	100%	100%	100%
Harrison	CD	100%	100%	100%
Henry	CD	95.6%	95.7%	95.2%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Hickory	CD	91.7%	100%	92.3%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Holt	CD	100%	100%	100%
Howard	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ	95.8%	100%	100%
Howell	CD	95.5%	100%	100%
Iron	CD	91.4%	97.2%	75.4%
Jackson	CD	93.8%	95.2%	94.5%
	6AW	97.6%	100%	100%
	6ZO	89.2%	81.1%	87.2%
	6ZR	96.3%	92.7%	94.5%
Jasper	CD	99.6%	99.6%	99.2%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZL	97.5%	95.8%	98.3%
Jefferson	CD	97.7%	98.9%	99.0%
	6AW	87.5%	100%	100%
	6ZA	84.4%	78.5%	97.8%
	6ZB	96.8%	94.8%	94.7%
	6ZC	98.3%	98.3%	98.2%
Johnson	CD	100%	97.6%	95.3%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Knox	CD	100%	91.7%	55.6%
Laclede	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZK	100%	87.5%	98.0%
Lafayette	CD	100%	94.4%	100%

Lawrence	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZV	100%	100%	100%
Lewis	CD	88.2%	94.1%	90.5%
Lincoln	CD	86.4%	79.8%	78.9%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Linn	CD	85.1%	95.0%	92.1%
Livingston	CD	100%	98.1%	100%
Macon	CD	97.5%	100%	90.5%
Madison	CD	98.6%	98.6%	100%
Maries	CD	100%	100%	100%
Marion	CD	78.6%	89.5%	78.9%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
McDonald	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZL	100%	95.2%	100%
Mercer	CD	100%	100%	100%
Miller	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Mississippi	CD	100%	100%	95.2%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Moniteau	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	-----	-----
Monroe	CD	86.7%	90.9%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Montgomery	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Morgan	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
New Madrid	CD	97.9%	97.8%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Newton	CD	100%	96.8%	96.3%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZL	96.1%	95.9%	100%
Nodaway	CD	100%	100%	100%
Oregon	CD	88.9%	100%	100%
Osage	CD	100%	95.0%	100%
Ozark	CD	95.5%	100%	94.1%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Pemiscot	CD	95.7%	92.5%	92.6%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Perry	CD	87.5%	92.0%	100%
Pettis	CD	92.2%	97.5%	83.7%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Phelps	CD	95.6%	88.1%	86.1%
	6ZK	100%	100%	88.1%
Pike	CD	31.8%	47.6%	85.7%
Platte	CD	87.3%	59.4%	74.6%
Polk	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Pulaski	CD	84.8%	89.4%	89.4%
	6ZK	100%	100%	100%
Putnam	CD	100%	100%	100%

Ralls	CD	76.9%	83.3%	84.6%
Randolph	CD	98.0%	98.0%	95.8%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ	97.6%	95.0%	98.8%
Ray	CD	100%	100%	100%
Reynolds	CD	76.9%	91.7%	69.0%
Ripley	CD	94.1%	94.1%	94.4%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZS	100%	100%	100%
Saline	CD	100%	100%	100%
Schuyler	CD	100%	91.7%	100%
Scotland	CD	100%	91.7%	76.9%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Scott	CD	97.7%	100%	87.5%
	6AW	88.9%	100%	100%
Shannon	CD	100%	100%	100%
Shelby	CD	96.7%	93.3%	96.6%
St. Charles	CD	91.7%	97.6%	97.6%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZA	-----	-----	100%
	6ZB	85.1%	95.6%	97.7%
	6ZC	88.7%	100%	96.0%
St. Clair	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
St. Francois	CD	98.4%	98.5%	99.2%
	6ZC	100%	100%	100%
St. Louis City	CD	90.8%	88.5%	81.3%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZA	86.7%	87.5%	92.5%
	6ZB	73.0%	77.9%	87.8%
	6ZC	95.3%	94.5%	98.1%
St. Louis County	CD	88.7%	92.0%	84.3%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZA	86.4%	87.2%	93.5%
	6ZB	79.0%	86.2%	97.4%
	6ZC	93.7%	95.0%	97.2%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	100%	100%	100%
Stoddard	CD	98.0%	96.4%	96.0%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZS	98.4%	98.3%	93.5%
Stone	CD	100%	97.9%	98.0%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZT	100%	-----	-----
	6ZV	100%	100%	100%
Sullivan	CD	93.3%	100%	62.5%
Taney	CD	97.9%	97.1%	85.1%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZV	91.8%	94.6%	94.9%
Texas	CD	94.9%	96.6%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	-----
	6ZK	100%	93.3%	100%
Vernon	CD	100%	91.4%	93.9%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%

Warren	CD	100%	98.7%	100%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Washington	CD	100%	96.6%	96.3%
	6ZB	100%	100%	100%
Wayne	CD	95.7%	95.5%	97.8%
Webster	CD	100%	100%	98.4%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
Worth	CD	-----	-----	-----
Wright	CD	100%	100%	98.7%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 1:

Number of counties that met the benchmark during each month: April (75), May (76), June (73).

Number of counties that met the benchmark each month during the reporting period: 58.

Chart 1 depicts the percentage of children in foster care seen by a worker during the calendar month. This data set is displayed by each agency. The federal goal is 95%.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 1:

Number of agencies that met the benchmark during each month: April (8), May (8), June (8).

Six agencies met the benchmark all three months: 6AW, 6ZC, 6ZJ, 6ZK, 6ZL, and 6ZM. This is an increase by three agencies from the previous quarter.

Chart 2 depicts the number of circuits in relation to their percentage of children seen monthly. The data includes children managed by both Children's Division and Foster Care Case Management agencies.




<b>Chart 2: Percentage of Worker Child Visits Grouped by Circuit</b>					
<b>Federal Goal is 95%</b>					
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
	<b>59.0%-70.9%</b>	<b>71.0%-80.9%</b>	<b>81.0%-90.9%</b>	<b>91.0%-94.9%</b>	<b>95% or greater</b>
<b>April</b>	0	3	7	5	31
<b>May</b>	1	1	7	5	32
<b>June</b>	0	3	8	8	27


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 2: More than half of the 46 circuits met or exceeded the goal of 95% for April through June of 2024. All three months in this reporting period have shown improvement in the amount of circuits meeting or exceeding the goal since the previous reporting period.

Charts 3 & 4 depict circuits that met or exceeded the benchmark all three months during the reporting period.




\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Charts 3 & 4: Twenty-three (23) circuits met or exceeded the benchmark for Worker-Child visits in April, May, and June 2024.

Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) Data: Item 14 of the CFSR evaluates frequency and quality of caseworker visits with children in foster care. The purpose of these visits is to ensure the child's safety, permanency, and well-being and to promote achievement of case goals. Chart 5 depicts the 23 cases reviewed this reporting period. This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both CD and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 14 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 5: Sixteen (16) of 23 cases were rated Strength in this area. Common themes included visits conducted at a frequency of at least monthly. In some cases, visits occurred as frequently as two or three times per month; or additional contact between the worker and the child occurred by phone. The visits were of sufficient quality because they included face-to-face

contact with the child, alone, and in the placement setting. The visits were at least 30 minutes to two hours in duration and included observations of the living environment and the child's interactions with other household members. Conversations focused on the child's needs, services, and case planning.

Of the 23 cases reviewed, 7 were rated Area Needing Improvement (ANI) in the frequency and/or quality of the caseworker visits with a child. Factors contributing to a rating of ANI included visits occurring less frequently than monthly, the worker not visiting with the child alone, and not observing the child's environment or interactions with other household members. Three cases were rated ANI because, while the frequency of the visits between the worker and child was sufficient, the quality of the visits was not sufficient to address safety, permanency, wellbeing, and to promote the achievement of case goals. Another case was rated ANI due to a worker only speaking with the child alone in three of the four months being reviewed.

#### Data Analysis Summary for Worker Child Visits:

Fifty-eight (58) counties met the benchmark all three months.

Six (6) agencies met the benchmark all three months.

Twenty-three (23) circuits met the benchmark all three months.

Sixteen (16) of 23 cases reviewed for CFSR received a rating of Strength meaning they met the requirements for both frequency and quality.

### **B. Safety Domain: Victimization in Foster Care**

Victimization in foster care is defined as a child in foster care whom the state has determined to be the victim of abuse or neglect by at least one preponderance of evidence finding. It should be noted that this metric measures a rolling calendar year, thus a report counted in one month will be reflected in subsequent months until the 12-month period has been reached for that report.

Table 2 depicts the Rate of Victimization for each county and each agency in the state during the reporting period. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed. The goal for this measure is 9.06% or less. A lower value is desirable.

**Table 2: Victimization in Foster Care**  
**Goal is 9.06% or Less**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	3.96	4.01	2.03
Andrew	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Atchison	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Audrain	CD	11.78	6.12	6.35
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barry	CD	3.02	3.11	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZV	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barton	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bates	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Benton	CD	8.52	8.24	7.85
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Bollinger	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00		
Boone	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZJ	3.35	3.30	3.25
Buchanan	CD	9.55	6.29	6.24
Butler	CD	2.28	2.39	2.50
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZS	3.17	3.12	11.98
Caldwell	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Callaway	CD	3.97	4.23	4.35
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZJ	12.27	11.70	11.17
Camden	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZK	21.41	42.66	11.17
Cape Girardeau	CD	3.13	3.23	1.66
Carroll	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Carter	CD	19.20	18.56	36.34
Cass	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZO	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZR	5.01	4.91	4.77
Cedar	CD	0.00	0.00	5.02
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chariton	CD	42.95	47.22	46.25
Christian	CD	20.50	17.97	9.22
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZM	6.50	6.52	26.23
Clark	CD	6.19	6.18	6.16
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Clay	CD	1.76	1.77	1.76
Clinton	CD	28.87	29.14	29.71
Cole	CD	12.94	13.59	19.24
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00

	6ZJ	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cooper	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Crawford	CD	2.72	2.78	5.73
Dade	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dallas	CD	7.74	7.77	7.87
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daviess	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
DeKalb	CD	9.15	9.48	9.83
Dent	CD	13.78	13.59	13.56
Douglas	CD	19.63	19.40	12.78
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Dunklin	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZS	0.00	0.00	0.00
Franklin	CD	0.00	0.00	1.64
	6AW	26.58	26.58	26.70
	6ZA	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZC	0.00	0.00	0.00
Gasconade	CD	12.73	12.88	13.06
Gentry	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Greene	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	62.54	62.23	63.31
	6ZL		0.00	0.00
	6ZM	6.06	6.06	7.27
	6ZT	0.00		
	6ZV	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grundy	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Harrison	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Henry	CD	5.30	5.43	5.62
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hickory	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Holt	CD	35.41	34.47	33.09
Howard	CD	41.85	43.13	43.59
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZJ	61.79	53.02	45.78
Howell	CD	0.00	0.00	2.96
Iron	CD	20.59	12.13	11.91
Jackson	CD	2.64	2.23	2.54
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZO	1.44	1.41	1.38
	6ZR	3.28	0.79	1.51
Jasper	CD	4.10	4.14	4.16
	6AW	23.44	23.74	23.72
	6ZL	4.82	2.42	2.42
	6ZR		0.00	
Jefferson	CD	1.88	2.01	2.15
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZA	3.24	3.22	3.23
	6ZB	6.01	5.94	5.92
	6ZC	0.00	0.00	0.00
Johnson	CD	10.68	10.29	10.08
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00

Knox	CD	87.20	88.69	91.16
Laclede	CD	10.26	10.44	14.09
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZK	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lafayette	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lawrence	CD	5.31	5.33	5.39
	6AW	50.45	50.45	50.97
	6ZV	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lewis	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Lincoln	CD	2.55	2.53	5.03
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Linn	CD	25.67	26.24	26.71
Livingston	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Macon	CD	0.00	0.00	5.84
Madison	CD	0.00	0.00	4.63
Maries	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Marion	CD	1.45	1.45	1.45
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
McDonald	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZL	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mercer	CD	373.13	373.13	371.75
Miller	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mississippi	CD	6.30	6.32	6.28
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Moniteau	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Monroe	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Montgomery	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Morgan	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
New Madrid	CD	9.44	9.25	9.16
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Newton	CD	0.00	2.84	2.84
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZL	6.43	6.28	6.17
Nodaway	CD	10.98	10.90	10.79
Oregon	CD	18.83	19.30	19.66
Osage	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ozark	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pemiscot	CD	7.01	7.09	7.09
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Perry	CD	8.91	9.18	9.46
Pettis	CD	3.04	0.00	0.00
	6AW			
Phelps	CD	4.49	1.54	1.62
	6ZK	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pike	CD	10.82	11.07	11.32
Platte	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00

Polk	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pulaski	CD	11.34	7.47	5.54
	6ZK	0.00	0.00	0.00
Putnam	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Ralls	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Randolph	CD	12.52	13.23	17.53
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZJ	19.37	18.20	17.13
Ray	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Reynolds	CD	0.00	0.00	10.70
Ripley	CD	0.00	9.77	10.12
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZS	19.21	18.49	18.30
Saline	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Schuyler	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Scotland	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Scott	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	70.67	74.02	77.73
Shannon	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shelby	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
St. Charles	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZB	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZC	3.74	3.73	3.70
St. Clair	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
St. Francois	CD	2.16	2.21	2.24
	6ZC	0.00	0.00	0.00
St. Louis City	CD	1.37	1.43	2.28
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZA	3.96	4.75	3.22
	6ZB	3.47	3.53	3.54
	6ZC	0.00	0.00	0.00
St. Louis County	CD	1.88	1.96	2.07
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZA	3.96	4.75	3.22
	6ZB	3.47	3.53	3.54
	6ZC	0.00	0.00	2.09
Ste. Genevieve	CD	21.21	20.77	30.02
Stoddard	CD	3.24	3.40	3.59
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZS	0.00	0.00	0.00
Stone	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZT			
	6ZV	17.39	17.80	18.10
Sullivan	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Taney	CD	12.26	14.18	14.71
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZM	0.00	0.00	0.00



	6ZT	0.00		
	6ZV	3.76	3.77	3.78
Texas	CD	11.24	3.91	4.00
	6AW			
	6ZK	0.00	0.00	0.00
Vernon	CD	6.84	6.96	7.03
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Warren	CD	9.86	9.89	9.99
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Washington	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6ZB	4.36	4.23	16.53
Wayne	CD	16.12	16.23	10.92
Webster	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00
Worth	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
Wright	CD	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6AW	0.00	0.00	0.00


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBAM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 2:

Number of counties that met the benchmark each month: April (75), May (78), June (76).

Number of counties that met the benchmark all three months in the reporting period: 69.

Chart 6 depicts victimization in foster care by each agency.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBAM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 6: Eleven agencies met the goal all three months. One agency met the goal two of three months. One agency did not meet the goal any month in the quarter. A 0.00 represents no victimization occurred. It should be noted that 6ZT ceased to be a contracted agency in April of 2023 therefore data does not exist for this agency past April of 2024 for this measure.


Chart 7 depicts the number of circuits in relation to their rate of victimization for children in foster care. This data includes children managed by both Children’s Division and FCCM agencies. For this measure, a lower number is desirable.

<b>Chart 7: Victimization Rates Grouped by Circuit</b>				
<b>Goal is 9.07 or Less (lower is better)</b>				
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>
	<b>20.01-25.30</b>	<b>15.01-20.00</b>	<b>9.08-15.00</b>	<b>0.00-9.07</b>
<b>April</b>	2	1	5	38
<b>May</b>	2	0	4	40
<b>June</b>	2	0	5	39

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBCM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 7: More than 80% of all circuits met the goal for victimization every month.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Chart 8 compares the rate of victimization for children in foster care in Missouri to the rate of victimization for children in foster care nationwide. Risk Standardized Performance (RSP) is used to assess state performance on the CFSR statewide data indicators. RSP is derived from a multi-level statistical model and considers the number of children the state served, the age distribution of these children, and, for one data indicator, the state’s entry rate. It uses risk adjustment to minimize differences in outcomes due to factors the state has little control over and provides a fairer comparison of state performance against the national performance. For more information about how RSP is calculated, please visit [What is National Performance and How is it Calculated](#). The reporting period for this report corresponds to the federal fiscal year, October through September. The goal of 9.07 or less is based on the National Performance of 9.07. A lower value is desirable.



\*Source: MO CFSR 4 Data Profile, August 2023 (Released: August 2024)

HB 1414 (2020) Response and Evaluation Report for Case Management of Children in Foster Care  
October 2024

Analysis of Chart 8: According to the CFSR Data Profile, Missouri remains below the national performance at 5.19.

#### Data Analysis Summary:

Sixty-nine (69) counties met the goal all three months of the reporting period.

Eleven (11) agencies met the goal all three months of the reporting period.

More than 80% of all circuits met the goal for victimization every month.

CFSR Data Profile indicates Missouri's most recent federal fiscal year rate of victimization is 5.19, which is below the national performance of 9.07.

### **C. Well-Being Domain: Parent Visits with Child**

Children's Division policy is to facilitate at least one visit a month for each child for parents that are eligible to receive visits. In some cases, visits are prohibited due to a court order. Neither CD nor FCCM agencies are required to facilitate visits where a court has ordered no visitation to occur.

Table 3 depicts the percentage of parent child visits completed by each county and each agency in the state during the reporting period. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed. The goal established by the R&E Team for this measure is 60%.

**Table 3: Parent/Child Visits  
R&E Agreed-Upon Goal is 60%**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	38.40%	46.28%	59.82%
Andrew	CD	20.00%	16.67%	25.00%
Atchison	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Audrain	CD	40.00%	47.83%	29.03%
	6AW	100%	100%	0.00%
Barry	CD	32.88%	34.78%	33.82%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%	0.00%	5.41%
Barton	CD	7.69%	21.43%	21.43%
	6AW			
Bates	CD	28.95%	22.22%	25.64%
Benton	CD	43.14%	37.25%	29.09%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Bollinger	CD	22.45%	25.49%	43.18%
	6AW			
Boone	CD	25.64%	41.89%	33.33%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	66.67%	68.03%	61.79%
Buchanan	CD	15.75%	13.60%	5.26%
Butler	CD	0.98%	23.64%	21.57%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	7.09%	11.41%	2.04%
Caldwell	CD	2.00%	17.78%	9.30%
Callaway	CD	41.33%	35.29%	65.08%
	6AW	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	53.73%	47.89%	54.41%
Camden	CD	66.67%	55.56%	75.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	22.22%	9.09%	72.73%
Cape Girardeau	CD	16.89%	19.57%	18.80%
Carroll	CD			
Carter	CD	0.00%	23.08%	23.08%
Cass	CD	3.70%	3.33%	0.00%
	6AW	40.00%	40.00%	20.00%
	6ZO	40.96%	27.03%	22.22%
	6ZR	98.28%	93.88%	97.56%
Cedar	CD	34.3%	22.81%	21.82%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Chariton	CD	3.45%	0.00%	0.00%
Christian	CD	18.82%	33.33%	29.69%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	62.50%	65.52%	44.44%
Clark	CD	49.50%	39.19%	40.00%
	6AW			
Clay	CD	49.50%	16.50%	11.88%
Clinton	CD	12.50%	12.82%	11.63%
Cole	CD	27.91%	28.30%	27.59%
	6AW			

	6ZJ	34.44%	45.92%	36.46%
Cooper	CD	15.79%	10.53%	0.00%
Crawford	CD	8.85%	4.50%	4.50%
Dade	CD	50.00%	83.33%	71.43%
Dallas	CD	42.11%	54.55%	48.78%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Daviess	CD	20.00%	14.71%	5.88%
DeKalb	CD	2.50%	5.00%	5.26%
Dent	CD	15.49%	9.09%	21.18%
Douglas	CD	31.25%	41.86%	33.33%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	3.64%	8.33%	12.90%
	6AW			
	6ZS	3.23%	0.00%	8.82%
Franklin	CD	41.25%	22.49%	29.70%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZC	81.82%	83.87%	81.58%
Gasconade	CD	13.95%	36.36%	36.36%
Gentry	CD	10.00%	0.00%	15.38%
Greene	CD	31.38%	45.43%	48.57%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL			
	6ZM	47.21%	22.88%	50.00%
	6ZT			
	6ZV	26.09%	36.99%	37.33%
Grundy	CD	16.67%	13.33%	50.00%
Harrison	CD	83.33%	29.41%	100%
Henry	CD	44.19%	37.50%	34.78%
	6AW			
Hickory	CD	33.33%	54.55%	69.23%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Holt	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Howard	CD	60.00%	81.82%	63.64%
	6AW			
	6ZJ	100%	100%	81.25%
Howell	CD	10.59%	15.58%	12.82%
Iron	CD	3.03%	3.09%	3.16%
Jackson	CD	48.24%	50.70%	48.44%
	6AW	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%
	6ZO	17.93%	24.49%	27.99%
	6ZR	41.28%	45.68%	47.64%
Jasper	CD	54.14%	51.65%	53.82%
	6AW	0.00%	5.56%	5.56%
	6ZL	4.63%	46.39%	62.37%
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	20.51%	15.85%	20.83%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	4.05%	4.35%	10.77%
	6ZB	12.76%	15.20%	14.82%
	6ZC	58.02%	57.61%	51.14%
Johnson	CD	0.84%	0.90%	1.77%
	6AW	40.00%	0.00%	33.33%

Knox	CD	37.50%	33.33%	0.00%
Laclede	CD	53.33%	51.72%	58.24%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	18.00%	26.00%	40.38%
Lafayette	CD	24.00%	18.75%	26.67%
Lawrence	CD	37.04%	45.28%	47.83%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	50.00%	0.00%	21.88%
Lewis	CD	40.00%	34.48%	34.29%
Lincoln	CD	18.75%	16.19%	24.79%
	6AW			
Linn	CD	12.28%	13.46%	7.84%
Livingston	CD	22.86%	36.11%	13.16%
Macon	CD	27.59%	39.66%	35.29%
Madison	CD	12.90%	20.97%	10.71%
Maries	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Marion	CD	14.83%	16.73%	15.42%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
McDonald	CD	20.00%	28.13%	55.17%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	0.00%	20.00%	36.36%
Mercer	CD	100%	100%	100%
Miller	CD	56.25%	55.17%	65.22%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	55.56%	60.00%	55.32%
	6AW			
Moniteau	CD	37.50%	57.14%	28.57%
	6AW			
Monroe	CD	33.33%	54.55%	53.85%
	6AW			
Montgomery	CD	0.00%	44.83%	30.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Morgan	CD	41.77%	57.32%	62.34%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	13.93%	14.66%	7.32%
	6AW			
Newton	CD	27.66%	33.33%	29.76%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%
	6ZL	2.27%	32.43%	64.52%
Nodaway	CD	0.00%	6.12%	9.80%
Oregon	CD	8.33%	5.56%	7.69%
Osage	CD	7.69%	16.67%	16.00%
Ozark	CD	27.27%	75.00%	22.22%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	7.32%	12.50%	12.50%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Perry	CD	18.18%	16.00%	38.10%
Pettis	CD	16.00%	17.89%	18.69%
	6AW			
Phelps	CD	9.50%	7.62%	1.90%
	6ZK	25.00%	32.26%	26.67%
Pike	CD	12.50%	16.67%	15.38%
Platte	CD	34.04%	32.61%	21.65%

Polk	CD	50.91%	50.00%	39.47%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	2.87%	1.55%	3.92%
	6ZK	71.43%	20.83%	71.43%
Putnam	CD	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%
Ralls	CD	14.29%	7.14%	0.00%
Randolph	CD	60.00%	56.52%	46.34%
	6AW			
	6ZJ	70.00%	60.98%	53.19%
Ray	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Ripley	CD	0.00%	14.29%	17.39%
	6AW			
	6ZS	24.32%	16.67%	12.12%
Saline	CD	42.31%	35.00%	31.82%
Schuyler	CD	29.41%	26.67%	13.33%
Scotland	CD	40.00%	31.58%	23.81%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Scott	CD	54.35%	55.29%	46.99%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shannon	CD	12.50%	55.56%	40.00%
Shelby	CD	10.53%	7.89%	32.26%
St. Charles	CD	27.69%	20.15%	29.45%
	6AW	0.00%		
	6ZA			0.00%
	6ZB	3.33%	3.33%	0.00%
	6ZC	27.06%	18.68%	34.88%
St. Clair	CD	42.86%	16.67%	10.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	11.11%	17.36%	7.53%
	6ZC	21.05%	29.41%	29.73%
St. Louis City	CD	0.00%	0.00%	1.82%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	3.36%	5.77%	2.57%
	6ZB	1.57%	0.00%	1.43%
	6ZC	46.15%	51.52%	46.39%
St. Louis County	CD	1.43%	1.05%	3.40%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	4.10%	2.97%	4.20%
	6ZB	0.97%	3.47%	1.55%
	6ZC	22.58%	20.92%	28.47%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	31.71%	7.69%	7.69%
Stoddard	CD	8.47%	13.04%	14.52%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	11.25%	9.33%	12.99%
Stone	CD	8.47%	45.83%	35.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZT			
	6ZV	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%
Sullivan	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Taney	CD	11.45%	16.88%	18.35%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM			



	6ZT			
	6ZV	18.70%	53.09%	52.27%
Texas	CD	21.69%	35.44%	42.65%
	6AW			
	6ZK	0.00%	53.09%	52.27%
Vernon	CD	40.48%	27.91%	40.48%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Warren	CD	18.28%	16.09%	19.10%
	6AW		0.00%	0.00%
Washington	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZB	3.23%	2.20%	3.23%
Wayne	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Webster	CD	55.70%	45.59%	47.06%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	38.82%	58.54%	55.18%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBEM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 3:


Four (4) counties met the benchmark for April: Harrison, Howard, Mercer, and Randolph.

Four (4) counties met the benchmark for May: Dade, Howard, Mercer, and Mississippi.

Five (5) counties met the benchmark for June: Dade, Harrison, Howard, Mercer, and Miller.

Two (2) counties met the benchmark all three months during the reporting period: Howard and Mercer.

Chart 9 depicts the percentage of parent/child visits completed by each agency.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBEM0, April-June 2024

Chart 9 Analysis: Parent/Child Visit data reflects one agency, 6ZL, met the benchmark of 60% for this measure in June.

Chart 10 depicts the number of circuits in relation to their percentage of monthly visits between parents and children. This data includes children managed by both Children's Division and FCCM agencies.

<b>Chart 10: Parent Child Visits Grouped by Circuit</b>						
<b>R&amp;E Goal is 60%</b>						
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>
	<b>0.00%-19.9%</b>	<b>20.0%-29.9%</b>	<b>30.0%-39.9%</b>	<b>40.0%-49.9%</b>	<b>50.0%-59.9%</b>	<b>60% or greater</b>
<b>April</b>	23	4	13	4	1	1
<b>May</b>	20	9	8	6	2	1
<b>June</b>	18	10	7	5	5	1


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBEM0, April-June 2024

Chart 10 Analysis: Only one circuit met the goal of 60% in each month.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Item 8 of the CFSR evaluates frequency and quality of each applicable parent's visits with their child to ensure the child's safety, permanency, and well-being and to promote achievement of case goals. To be applicable for review of this item, each parent must be either a parent from whom the child was removed or who had a pre-existing relationship with the child, and with whom the agency is working toward reunification. Frequency of the visits, or how often they occur, is assessed based on the circumstances of the case, including the child's age and imminence of reunification.

Factors considered in assessing the quality of the child's visits with their parents include, but are not limited to, the duration of visits, whether they took place in a comfortable atmosphere that would encourage interaction, and whether unsupervised visits were allowed to take place in the parent's home.


Chart 11 depicts the percentage of cases that had an overall rating of Strength or Area Needing Improvement (ANI) regarding the child's visits with the mother and father. To receive an overall rating of Strength, all parents identified as applicable for review of this item must have received a Strength rating for both the frequency and quality of their visits with their children. This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both CD and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 8 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 11: Of 21 cases applicable for rating of this item, nine received an overall rating of Strength, indicating that both the frequency and quality were sufficient. Twelve cases were rated ANI. All 12 received that rating, at least in part, due to inadequate frequency of visits with one or both parents. Two cases received an ANI rating due to the agency's lack of assistance with providing parental transportation to and from parent/child visits.

Chart 12 depicts the percentage of cases in which the children's visits with their mother and father were of sufficient frequency or quality to promote continuity in the child's relationships with them. The number of cases applicable for rating frequency and quality can differ. For example, if the child never visited the parent, then the rating would reflect that the visits were not of sufficient frequency and the quality of the visits would not be rated, as there were no visits during which the quality could have been demonstrated. Each bar indicates how many cases were applicable for rating (n) and the percentage of sufficient frequency and quality.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 8 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 12: Twenty cases were applicable for review regarding the frequency of the child's visits with the mother. Of those, 11 were rated Strength and nine were rated ANI. Nine cases were applicable for review of the frequency of the child's visits with the father. Of those, six were rated Strength and three were rated ANI. Factors contributing to a rating of Strength included visits of 2-3 times per week, unrestricted visits supervised by the placement provider, and unrestricted access to other sources of contact such as phone/video calls and text messaging. In most cases with a Strength rating, there was a gradual increase in visitation as the case progressed and safety concerns were rectified. Some factors contributing to ratings of ANI for the frequency of visits included no attempts to alleviate safety concerns which lead to a court ordering no parent/child visitation.

Thirteen cases were applicable for review regarding the quality of the caseworker's visits with the mother. Of those, nine were rated Strength and four were rated ANI. Six cases were applicable for review of the quality of the caseworker's visits with the father. Of those, three were rated Strength and three were rated ANI. Notable factors contributing to ANI ratings regarding the quality of visits included workers not engaging in parent visits and workers not making concerted efforts to address any barriers the parent(s) might have had in attending visits.

#### Data Analysis Summary:

Two counties met the benchmark all three months.

No agencies met the benchmark all three months.

One circuit met the benchmark in April, May, and June.

Nine of 21 cases reviewed for CFSR received a rating of Strength meaning they met the requirements for both frequency and quality.

Parent/Child visits may be underrepresented in the data. Information related to parent/child visitation has been difficult to identify and extract from FACES due to irregularities in the location of documentation in the system.

Barriers to accurate data collections include:

1. There are many options to select a person's relationship with a child and if not entered correctly the visit is not counted in the data.
2. Several steps need to be taken in specific screens in FACES for a parent/child visit to be captured in the data. It is believed that some staff are entering these visits elsewhere in FACES (e.g., as contacts) causing those parent/child visits to be uncounted.

Technical Assistance has been provided from the Quality Assurance teams to Children's Division and Foster Care Case Management staff about how and where to enter the parent/child visits within FACES. This assistance will be ongoing.

Results from the quarterly CFSR case review reflect that the goal of 60% for parent and child visits was not met for mothers or fathers. Visits with fathers was higher in frequency but slightly lower in quality.

#### **D. Well-Being Domain: Medical Exam Completion (HCY)**

Every child is required to have a Healthy Child and Youth Exam (HCY) within 30 days of entering foster care. The HCY exam includes basic vision, hearing and dental screenings. This data could include children who were in care for less than 30 days.

Table 4 depicts the percentage of children who entered care during the reporting period and received an HCY exam within 30 days of entry. If an agency does not have an entry for the month, no data will show. It should be noted that 6AW does not receive new foster care entries and is therefore not included in this measure. This data set is displayed by each county and each agency in the state. The goal established by the R&E Team is 98%.

**Table 4: HCY Exam Completion Within  
30 Days of Entering AC Custody  
R&E Goal is 98%**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	80.00%	100%	100%
Andrew	CD			
Atchison	CD	100%	100%	100%
Audrain	CD	100%	100%	100%
Barry	CD		0.00%	100%
	6ZV		100%	100%
Barton	CD			
Bates	CD	0.00%	100%	
Benton	CD	100%	100%	100%
Bollinger	CD			
Boone	CD	40.00%	88.89%	100%
	6ZJ	100%	100%	100%
Buchanan	CD	0.00%	50.00%	33.33%
Butler	CD	50.00%	33.33%	100%
	6ZS	0.00%	40.00%	71.43%
Caldwell	CD	0.00%	100%	
Callaway	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6ZJ		83.33%	
Camden	CD	100%		100%
	6ZK		0.00%	
Cape Girardeau	CD	87.50%	100%	20.00%
Carroll	CD			
Carter	CD			
Cass	CD	87.50%		
	6ZO		100%	
	6ZR			100%
Cedar	CD			
Chariton	CD		0.00%	
Christian	CD		33.33%	100%
	6ZM		100%	
Clark	CD			
Clay	CD	15.38%	66.67%	50.00%
Clinton	CD		100%	
Cole	CD	66.67%	50.00%	50.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%	100%	83.33%
Cooper	CD			
Crawford	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dade	CD			
Dallas	CD	100%	0.00%	66.67%
Daviess	CD		100%	
DeKalb	CD			
Dent	CD	0.00%		
Douglas	CD		0.00%	
Dunklin	CD	0.00%	80.00%	100%
	6ZS	0.00%	0.00%	100%
Franklin	CD	22.22%	0.00%	66.67%
	6ZA	0.00%		
	6ZC		100%	100%

Gasconade	CD	0.00%	42.86%	
Gentry	CD			
Greene	CD	80.00%	85.71%	89.47%
	6ZL			
	6ZM	88.89%	90.91%	100%
	6ZT			
	6ZV	75.00%	100%	
Grundy	CD	100%	100%	
Harrison	CD	80.00%	100%	
Henry	CD	66.67%	75.00%	50.00%
Hickory	CD		100%	
Holt	CD	0.00%		
Howard	CD	0.00%	0.00%	
	6ZJ	0.00%	25.00%	
Howell	CD	0.00%	62.50%	
Iron	CD	0.00%	0.00%	
Jackson	CD	0.00%	50.00%	
	6ZO	100%	100%	
	6ZR	94.12%	88.24%	56.25%
Jasper	CD	100%	72.73%	69.23%
	6ZL	100%	33.33%	100%
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	0.00%	38.46%	100%
	6ZA			
	6ZB	100%	100%	66.67%
	6ZC	100%	100%	100%
Johnson	CD	50.00%	75.00%	16.67%
Knox	CD			
Laclede	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6ZK		0.00%	
Lafayette	CD	0.00%	100%	66.67%
Lawrence	CD	100%		100%
	6ZV			
Lewis	CD	100%	100%	
Lincoln	CD	0.00%	75.00%	80.00%
Linn	CD		0.00%	
Livingston	CD		25.00%	100%
Macon	CD	0.00%	100%	
Madison	CD	50.00%		
Maries	CD			
Marion	CD	0.00%	33.33%	20.00%
McDonald	CD	0.00%	20.00%	50.00%
	6ZL		100%	20.00%
Mercer	CD			
Miller	CD		0.00%	100%
Mississippi	CD			0.00%
Moniteau	CD			
Monroe	CD			
Montgomery	CD	100%		100%
Morgan	CD	100%		100%
New Madrid	CD		100%	
Newton	CD	35.71%		100%
	6ZL		50.00%	0.00%



Nodaway	CD		16.67%	0.00%
Oregon	CD	0.00%		0.00%
Osage	CD			
Ozark	CD			
Pemiscot	CD	100%	0.00%	66.67%
Perry	CD	100%	0.00%	
Pettis	CD	0.00%	71.43%	0.00%
Phelps	CD	40.00%	0.00%	72.73%
	6ZK			100%
Pike	CD			100%
Platte	CD	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%
Polk	CD		100%	100%
Pulaski	CD	20.00%	60.00%	100%
	6ZK	100%		
Putnam	CD	100%		
Ralls	CD		50.00%	
Randolph	CD	100%	80.00%	
	6ZJ		16.67%	
Ray	CD		0.00%	
Reynolds	CD		0.00%	
Ripley	CD	100%	100%	
	6ZS			100%
Saline	CD		100%	
Schuyler	CD	100%		
Scotland	CD			0.00%
Scott	CD	100%	100%	33.33%
Shannon	CD	0.00%		
Shelby	CD		100%	
St. Charles	CD	100%	100%	100%
	6ZA			
	6ZB	100%		50.00%
	6ZC	100%		
St. Clair	CD	0.00%		100%
St. Francois	CD	100%	100%	57.14%
	6ZC	100%	100%	100%
St. Louis City	CD	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	100%	100%	100%
	6ZB	50.00%	100%	72.73%
	6ZC	100%		80.00%
St. Louis County	CD	25.00%	12.50%	9.52%
	6ZA	30.77%	26.67%	81.82%
	6ZB	100%	50.00%	0.00%
	6ZC	100%	100%	100%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	100%		75.00%
Stoddard	CD	91.67%		
	6ZS	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Stone	CD	75.00%	0.00%	
	6ZT			
	6ZV	100%	100%	100%
Sullivan	CD	0.00%		
Taney	CD		22.22%	0.00%
	6ZM			
	6ZT			

	6ZV	33.33%	100%	100%
Texas	CD		50.00%	33.33%
	6ZK	100%		
Vernon	CD	100%	100%	
Warren	CD		100%	66.67%
Washington	CD			
	6ZB	100%		0.00%
Wayne	CD		42.86%	
Webster	CD			100%
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	14.29%	100%	50.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBFM0, April-June 2024

#### Analysis of Table 4:

Number of counties (including St. Louis City) requiring HCY exams for April: 72.

Number of counties that met the measure for timely HCY exam completion: 26.

Number of counties (including St. Louis City) requiring HCY exams for May: 78.


Number of counties that met the measure for timely HCY exam completion: 28.

Number of counties (including St. Louis City) requiring HCY exams for June: 66.

Number of counties that met the measure for timely HCY exam completion: 24.

Twenty-one counties met the benchmark in all months where HCY exams were required.

Chart 13 depicts the percentage of children, by agency, who entered care during the reporting period and received an HCY exam within 30 days of the entry. It should be noted that 6AW does not receive new foster care entries and is therefore not included in this measure.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBFM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 13: One agency met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period and two agencies met the benchmark two out of the three months during the reporting period.

HB 1414 (2020) Response and Evaluation Report for Case Management of Children in Foster Care  
October 2024

Chart 14 depicts the number of circuits in relation to their percentage of timely HCY completions. This data includes children managed by both Children’s Division and FCCM agencies. It should be noted that not all circuits had new foster care entries requiring HCY exam completion during this reporting period.


<b>Chart 14: HCY Exams Completed Within 30 Days of Entering AC Custody</b>						
<b>Grouped by Circuit</b>						
<b>R&amp;E Goal is 98%</b>						
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>
	<b>0.0%-24.9%</b>	<b>25.0%-39.9%</b>	<b>40.0%-64.9%</b>	<b>65.0%-79.9%</b>	<b>80.0%-97.9%</b>	<b>98% or greater</b>
<b>April</b>	13	4	7	2	8	9
<b>May</b>	4	7	13	5	7	9
<b>June</b>	7	3	7	10	7	6

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBFM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 14: Less than one quarter of the circuits that required HCY exams met the benchmark for timely completion in each month.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Item 17 of the CFSR assesses whether the agency conducted accurate initial and on-going assessments of, and addressed, the physical health needs of the child, including dental needs.

Chart 15 depicts the percentage of cases that had an overall rating of Strength or Area Needing Improvement (ANI). This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both CD and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed.




\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 17 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 15: Of the 23 cases reviewed, 12 cases were rated Strength, indicating all physical health needs, including dental, were assessed, and addressed.

Eleven were rated ANI. Eight of the 11 received the ANI rating, at least in part, due to the agency not assessing the child’s ongoing dental health needs. In four cases, the agency did not provide appropriate oversight of prescription medications.

Item 18 of the CFSR assesses whether the agency addressed the mental/behavioral health needs of the child. Foster care cases are only applicable for an assessment of this item if the child had mental/behavioral health needs, including substance abuse issues.

Chart 16 depicts the percentage of cases that had an overall rating of Strength or Area Needing Improvement (ANI). This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both Children’s Division and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 18 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 16: Of 13 cases applicable for review, 8 cases were rated Strength. Factors contributing to a Strength rating include accurately assessing and addressing the child’s mental/behavioral health needs, providing appropriate services to address identified needs, and providing appropriate oversight of prescription medications. Five cases were rated ANI. Factors contributing to rating of ANI included not assessing behavioral or mental health needs or providing services for identified needs. In 2 cases, the agency did not provide appropriate oversight of prescription medications by discussing medication management during monthly worker/child visits with the placement provider.

#### Data Analysis Summary:

Twenty-one counties met the benchmark in all months where HCY exams were required.

One agency met the benchmark for HCY exams.

Between 6 and 9 circuits met the benchmark for HCY exams.

The quarterly CFSR case review results reflect there is improvement needed in the assessment of physical and mental health needs and the provision of services to meet those needs.

## E. Permanency Domain: Worker Visits with Parent

Children’s Division policy requires at least one worker visit with each parent each month.

Table 5 depicts the percentage of parents of children in foster care that were visited by an agency worker for each month. This data set is displayed by each county and each agency in the state. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed. The goal of 50% was established by the R&E Team.

**Table 5: Worker Parent Visits  
R&E Goal is 50%**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	38.5%	42.6%	30.7%
Andrew	CD	40.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Atchison	CD	33.3%	33.3%	76.0%
Audrain	CD	70.9%	71.9%	63.8%
	6AW	100%	100%	66.7%
Barry	CD	49.1%	48.0%	60.4%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZV	33.9%	22.2%	37.0%
Barton	CD	5.3%	35.0%	50.0%
	6AW	50.0%	50.0%	100%
Bates	CD	36.4%	50.0%	62.2%
Benton	CD	61.4%	35.2%	48.6%
	6AW	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bollinger	CD	45.3%	49.3%	70.0%
	6AW			
Boone	CD	25.9%	28.1%	44.5%
	6AW	18.2%	10.0%	20.0%
	6ZJ	42.0%	39.7%	40.9%
Buchanan	CD	16.4%	22.0%	34.6%
Butler	CD	54.5%	60.0%	54.3%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZS	33.1%	32.3%	33.3%
Caldwell	CD	8.1%	34.4%	39.7%
Callaway	CD	57.7%	51.1%	68.8%
	6AW	66.7%	28.6%	80.0%
	6ZJ	45.3%	51.5%	48.1%
Camden	CD	71.2%	62.5%	76.5%
	6AW	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZK	78.6%	21.4%	80.0%
Cape Girardeau	CD	47.3%	51.3%	53.2%
Carroll	CD	0.0%	100%	100%
Carter	CD	65.4%	62.5%	62.5%
Cass	CD	48.0%	54.3%	52.1%
	6AW	57.1%	40.0%	60.0%
	6ZO	33.6%	26.9%	33.3%

	6ZR	67.6%	61.2%	62.6%
Cedar	CD	44.1%	54.8%	59.6%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chariton	CD	15.6%	29.4%	51.7%
Christian	CD	47.1%	51.8%	53.2%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZM	55.6%	47.9%	64.5%
Clark	CD	49.5%	44.8%	58.3%
	6AW			
Clay	CD	31.0%	44.8%	35.9%
Clinton	CD	30.0%	31.9%	21.6%
Cole	CD	40.0%	27.6%	25.0%
	6AW	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
	6ZJ	26.6%	24.7%	33.6%
Cooper	CD	16.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Crawford	CD	19.1%	34.4%	41.5%
Dade	CD	83.3%	16.7%	71.4%
Dallas	CD	52.5%	40.3%	26.8%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Daviess	CD	41.3%	39.6%	38.3%
DeKalb	CD	10.9%	28.3%	20.5%
Dent	CD	17.4%	39.8%	58.1%
Douglas	CD	49.3%	54.2%	53.8%
	6AW	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Dunklin	CD	46.3%	39.2%	55.4%
	6AW			
	6ZS	22.9%	23.3%	21.8%
Franklin	CD	22.3%	24.3%	24.6%
	6AW	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZA	5.3%	5.3%	0.0%
	6ZC	66.7%	62.1%	69.4%
Gasconade	CD	18.5%	39.2%	53.4%
Gentry	CD	62.5%	46.2%	61.5%
Greene	CD	40.3%	55.5%	49.2%
	6AW	5.6%	16.7%	6.3%
	6ZL			
	6ZM	38.3%	31.5%	43.5%
	6ZT			
	6ZV	28.3%	38.5%	28.6%
Grundy	CD	100%	90.5%	81.0%
Harrison	CD	94.7%	96.2%	84.0%
Henry	CD	40.4%	55.2%	50.9%
	6AW	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Hickory	CD	35.3%	52.9%	63.2%
	6AW	0.0%	100%	100%
Holt	CD	18.8%	72.7%	72.7%
Howard	CD	36.8%	76.0%	87.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZJ	63.6%	54.3%	53.8%
Howell	CD	21.9%	42.4%	41.0%
Iron	CD	26.7%	42.2%	43.9%
Jackson	CD	51.6%	56.6%	43.9%
	6AW	3.3%	6.7%	3.2%

	6ZO	20.4%	20.8%	22.6%
	6ZR	36.4%	32.0%	34.4%
Jasper	CD	47.2%	56.6%	53.6%
	6AW	3.3%	9.5%	14.3%
	6ZL	34.9%	56.6%	46.5%
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	30.3%	45.4%	38.6%
	6AW	18.2%	20.0%	20.0%
	6ZA	23.6%	26.3%	31.6%
	6ZB	33.3%	31.9%	33.6%
	6ZC	71.6%	66.7%	62.2%
Johnson	CD	54.2%	68.6%	55.9%
	6AW	44.4%	18.2%	36.4%
Knox	CD	25.0%	25.0%	16.7%
Laclede	CD	70.1%	68.8%	62.5%
	6AW	30.8%	7.7%	27.3%
	6ZK	25.9%	13.0%	54.4%
Lafayette	CD	48.1%	48.1%	57.7%
Lawrence	CD	34.9%	62.0%	62.3%
	6AW	11.1%	12.5%	12.5%
	6ZV	34.9%	28.6%	41.5%
Lewis	CD	46.4%	32.3%	5.7%
Lincoln	CD	36.7%	37.5%	42.8%
	6AW			
Linn	CD	26.7%	40.0%	45.5%
Livingston	CD	47.1%	53.0%	52.2%
Macon	CD	35.1%	39.7%	54.4%
Madison	CD	60.0%	69.8%	70.9%
Maries	CD	7.1%	50.0%	28.6%
Marion	CD	11.9%	18.2%	12.7%
	6AW	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%
McDonald	CD	43.7%	61.0%	70.5%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZL	42.3%	22.2%	48.1%
Mercer	CD	0.0%	100%	100%
Miller	CD	67.6%	77.1%	77.1%
	6AW	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%
Mississippi	CD	67.1%	61.5%	44.6%
	6AW	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%
Moniteau	CD	37.5%	28.6%	50.0%
	6AW	0.0%		
Monroe	CD	14.3%	40.0%	47.1%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Montgomery	CD	54.1%	62.2%	38.9%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Morgan	CD	59.6%	70.6%	71.6%
	6AW	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%
New Madrid	CD	32.1%	43.0%	56.3%
	6AW			
Newton	CD	44.9%	51.1%	51.3%
	6AW	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
	6ZL	23.8%	9.0%	45.9%
Nodaway	CD	62.8%	54.8%	52.6%

Oregon	CD	4.4%	45.5%	45.2%
Osage	CD	69.7%	65.7%	65.8%
Ozark	CD	50.0%	66.7%	18.2%
	6AW	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
Pemiscot	CD	39.4%	34.0%	31.7%
	6AW	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Perry	CD	27.9%	31.1%	36.2%
Pettis	CD	23.0%	24.6%	32.4%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Phelps	CD	13.2%	18.2%	25.1%
	6ZK	59.1%	54.7%	54.8%
Pike	CD	35.5%	40.7%	44.4%
Platte	CD	48.1%	43.4%	39.6%
Polk	CD	57.4%	61.8%	58.8%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Pulaski	CD	14.7%	40.5%	28.8%
	6ZK	81.4%	67.3%	61.7%
Putnam	CD	68.8%	64.3%	57.1%
Ralls	CD	11.1%	0.0%	5.9%
Randolph	CD	30.3%	46.3%	35.9%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZJ	39.0%	37.4%	39.2%
Ray	CD	30.8%	36.4%	54.5%
Reynolds	CD	12.8%	33.3%	27.0%
Ripley	CD	73.3%	33.3%	41.4%
	6AW			
	6ZS	70.0%	61.8%	54.4%
Saline	CD	54.3%	62.9%	78.4%
Schuyler	CD	52.6%	40.0%	35.0%
Scotland	CD	45.0%	42.1%	52.4%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Scott	CD	56.2%	51.4%	44.3%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Shannon	CD	20.0%	66.7%	10.0%
Shelby	CD	29.2%	21.7%	52.6%
St. Charles	CD	31.9%	31.2%	41.3%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZA			0.0%
	6ZB	14.9%	9.2%	
	6ZC	25.8%	25.7%	22.7%
St. Clair	CD	41.2%	40.0%	35.7%
	6AW	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%
St. Francois	CD	55.4%	61.3%	55.4%
	6ZC	54.2%	27.9%	62.9%
St. Louis City	CD	8.9%	16.7%	13.5%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZA	15.1%	17.4%	9.9%
	6ZB	10.8%	7.6%	12.7%
	6ZC	31.0%	33.6%	24.0%
St. Louis County	CD	12.1%	22.7%	17.6%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%
	6ZA	17.2%	17.9%	19.4%
	6ZB	8.3%	8.4%	19.6%



	6ZC	20.7%	32.5%	18.8%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	66.1%	62.7%	56.1%
Stoddard	CD	37.9%	56.7%	52.6%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZS	34.0%	43.3%	29.4%
Stone	CD	64.5%	65.7%	81.2%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZT	0.0%		
	6ZV	31.3%	25.0%	52.5%
Sullivan	CD	0.0%	7.7%	21.4%
Taney	CD	30.1%	41.3%	40.3%
	6AW	5.0%	20.0%	35.0%
	6ZM			
	6ZT			
	6ZV	51.7%	45.2%	46.3%
Texas	CD	15.3%	50.5%	53.2%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	
	6ZK	15.8%	26.1%	39.1%
Vernon	CD	35.8%	53.6%	53.2%
	6AW	0.0%		
Warren	CD	24.5%	44.1%	36.4%
	6AW	33.3%	20.0%	20.0%
Washington	CD	46.2%	50.0%	40.0%
	6ZB	49.1%	57.5%	43.5%
Wayne	CD	11.8%	21.0%	61.8%
Webster	CD	60.0%	69.1%	72.2%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	64.0%	63.2%	73.3%
	6AW	18.2%	42.9%	16.7%


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

#### Analysis of Table 5:

Number of counties that met the benchmark each month: April (13), May (23), June (35).

Eight counties met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period: Audrain, Carter, Grundy, Harrison, Madison, Nodaway, Osage, and Ste. Genevieve.


Chart 17 depicts circuits that met or exceeded the benchmark for worker parent visits all three months during the reporting period.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 17: Seven of 46 circuits met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period.

Chart 18 depicts the percentage of parents of children in foster care that were visited by each agency.




\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBDM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 18: No agency met the benchmark of 50% in all three months. 6ZK met the benchmark in two of three months during the reporting period.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Item 15 of the CFSR assesses whether the frequency and quality of the worker's visits with each of the parents was sufficient to ensure the safety, permanency, and well-being of the child and promote achievement of case goals.

Chart 19 depicts the percentage of cases where the caseworker's visits with the mother and father were of sufficient frequency or quality. The number of cases applicable for rating of each of these measures can differ. For example, if the agency did not conduct a visit with the parent, the rating would reflect the visits were not of sufficient frequency and the quality would not be rated, as no visits occurred to measure the quality. Each bar indicates how many cases were applicable for rating (n). This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both CD and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 15 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 19: Nineteen cases were applicable for review regarding the frequency of the caseworker's visits with the mother. Of those, 8 were rated Strength and 11 were rated ANI. Eleven were applicable for review of the frequency of the caseworker's visits with the father. Of those, 6 were rated Strength and 5 were rated ANI.

Common themes in cases rated a Strength in frequency included visits conducted at least monthly. In most cases, additional contact occurred regularly by phone or text message. In some instances, no visits were able to be completed with parents, but the case was still rated Strength for frequency because of ongoing diligent efforts to locate and engage the parent(s).

Cases receiving a rating of ANI for the frequency of visits included those occurring less than monthly with few or inconsistent attempts to engage parents in monthly visits.

Seventeen cases were applicable for review regarding the quality of the caseworker's visits with the mother. Of those, 8 were rated Strength and 9 were rated ANI. Seven cases were applicable for review of the quality of the caseworker's visits with the father. Of those, 5 were rated Strength and 2 were rated ANI.

Common themes in cases rated Strength in quality included face-to-face contact in a setting that allowed for open and honest communication. The visits were at least 30 minutes in duration and conversations focused on needs, services, and progress toward case goals.

Common factors contributing to ANI for quality of visits included not meeting with parents in their place of residency and a lack of conversations centered around case planning, service delivery, and goal achievement.

#### Data Analysis Summary:

Eight counties met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period: Audrain, Carter, Grundy, Harrison, Madison, Nodaway, Osage, and Ste. Genevieve.

Seven circuits met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period: Circuit 03, Circuit 04, Circuit 15, Circuit 17, Circuit 24, Circuit 26, and Circuit 30.

No agency met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period.

Quarterly CFSR case review results indicate workers visited with mothers monthly in 42% of the cases and with fathers monthly in 55% of the cases reviewed. The quality of worker visits with mothers (47%) and fathers (71%) was also below the federal goal of 95%.

### F. Permanency Domain: Reentry into Foster Care

Reentry into foster care is identified as foster children who exited care to reunification, guardianship, or placement with a fit and willing relative during a 12-month period and then reentered care within 12 months of their exit date. The reentry is counted for the agency that was assigned the case when it closed in the system. A 0.00% represents that there were no reentries. MO Alliance (6AW) is a specialized case management contract serving children and youth with very complex needs which could contribute to a higher frequency of reentries.

Table 6 depicts children who reentered care in April, May, and June 2024. This table displays reentry information for each county and each agency in the state. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed. The goal is 5.6 % or less. A lower percentage is desirable.

**Table 6: Reentry into Foster Care**  
**Goal is 5.6% or Less**  
**(lower is better)**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	3.0%	6.5%	5.9%
Andrew	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Atchison	CD	0.0%	0.0%	37.5%
Audrain	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Barry	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZV			0.0%

Barton	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Bates	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benton	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Bollinger	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Boone	CD	8.6%	6.5%	6.1%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZJ	3.3%	3.7%	3.6%
Buchanan	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Butler	CD	1.8%	5.3%	13.3%
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZS	7.1%	7.1%	6.3%
Caldwell	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Callaway	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZJ	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Camden	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZK	0.0%	0.0%	
Cape Girardeau	CD	2.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Carroll	CD			
Carter	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cass	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZO	20.0%	16.7%	14.3%
	6ZR	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cedar	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Chariton	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Christian	CD	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZM	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Clark	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Clay	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Clinton	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cole	CD	12.5%	11.5%	12.5%
	6AW	100%	100%	100%
	6ZB	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZJ	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cooper	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Crawford	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZB	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dade	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Dallas	CD	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%
	6AW			
Daviess	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
DeKalb	CD	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
Dent	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Douglas	CD	5.0%	4.8%	19.0%

	6AW			
Dunklin	CD	9.7%	9.7%	10.8%
	6AW	100%	100%	
	6ZS	5.9%	5.0%	4.5%
Franklin	CD	5.0%	4.8%	5.2%
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZC	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gasconade	CD	9.5%	9.1%	11.8%
Gentry	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Greene	CD	3.4%	3.4%	3.6%
	6AW			
	6ZL			
	6ZM	8.7%	8.5%	8.0%
	6ZT	7.7%	0.0%	8.3%
	6ZV			0.0%
Grundy	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Harrison	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Henry	CD	9.8%	10.3%	10.8%
	6AW			
Hickory	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Holt	CD			
Howard	CD	25.0%	20.0%	14.3%
	6AW			
	6ZJ	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Howell	CD	3.8%	3.6%	4.0%
Iron	CD	15.8%	15.8%	15.0%
Jackson	CD	3.2%	2.6%	3.2%
	6AW	0.0%		
	6ZO	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%
	6ZR	4.7%	5.4%	4.9%
Jasper	CD	7.4%	6.6%	6.4%
	6AW			
	6ZL	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	5.1%	5.1%	5.3%
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZB	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZC	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Johnson	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Knox	CD	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Laclede	CD	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZK	28.6%	28.6%	22.2%
Lafayette	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lawrence	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZV			
Lewis	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Lincoln	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Linn	CD	5.6%	5.9%	5.0%
Livingston	CD	15.8%	15.0%	12.5%
Macon	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Madison	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Maries	CD	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Marion	CD	2.6%	2.7%	2.9%
	6AW			0.0%
McDonald	CD	4.4%	4.1%	2.2%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZL	14.3%	25.0%	33.3%
Mercer	CD			
Miller	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Mississippi	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Moniteau	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Monroe	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Montgomery	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Morgan	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			0.0%
New Madrid	CD	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Newton	CD	5.6%	3.8%	2.8%
	6AW			
	6ZL	9.1%	8.7%	8.7%
Nodaway	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Oregon	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Osage	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ozark	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Pemiscot	CD	12.5%	16.0%	14.8%
	6AW			
Perry	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Pettis	CD	5.6%	5.9%	0.0%
	6AW			
Phelps	CD	3.4%	3.7%	0.0%
	6ZK	12.5%	11.8%	0.0%
Pike	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Platte	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Polk	CD	7.4%	7.1%	8.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Pulaski	CD	8.6%	10.5%	10.3%
	6ZK	50.0%	25.0%	20.0%
Putnam	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ralls	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Randolph	CD	5.3%	5.9%	5.0%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	6ZJ	16.7%	14.3%	14.3%
Ray	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Reynolds	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ripley	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Saline	CD	9.1%	7.1%	4.5%
Schuyler	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Scotland	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Scott	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
Shannon	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Shelby	CD	60.0%	33.3%	33.3%
St. Charles	CD	2.8%	0.0%	2.3%
	6AW			
	6ZA			0.0%
	6ZB	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZC	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Clair	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
St. Francois	CD	3.6%	3.4%	3.2%
	6ZC	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
St. Louis City	CD	6.8%	6.6%	7.0%
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZB	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZC	36.4%	30.0%	7.0%
St. Louis County	CD	3.4%	3.4%	1.7%
	6AW			
	6ZA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZB	6.3%	5.7%	5.9%
	6ZC	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZL	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Stoddard	CD	7.7%	6.5%	7.5%
	6AW			
	6ZS	11.1%	11.1%	11.5%
Stone	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			
	6ZT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZV			
Sullivan	CD	8.3%	7.7%	8.3%
Taney	CD	3.8%	4.3%	4.1%
	6AW	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZM	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZT	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6ZV		0.0%	0.0%
Texas	CD	21.2%	18.4%	18.9%
	6AW			
	6ZK	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Vernon	CD	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	6AW			



Warren	CD	26.3%	26.3%	31.3%
	6AW			
Washington	CD	5.6%	5.3%	5.0%
	6ZB	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Wayne	CD	2.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Webster	CD	2.9%	2.6%	0.0%
	6AW			
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	6.7%	8.3%	0.0%
	6AW			


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBCM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 6:

Number of counties that met the benchmark of 5.6% or less: April (85), May (81), June (83).

Seventy-one counties met the benchmark all three months during the reporting period.

Chart 20 reflects children who reentered care in April, May, and June 2024. A lower percentage is desirable.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBCM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 20: The benchmark was met by 9 of the 14 agencies in all three months of April through June. It should be noted that 6ZV was not in existence prior to April of 2023 thus no data exists for this agency for April of 2024. 6ZV met the benchmark for the two months of available data.

Chart 21 depicts the number of circuits in relation to their percentage of children who reentered foster care. The data includes children managed by both Children's Division and Foster Care Case Management agencies. For this measure, a lower percentage is desirable.


Chart 21: Percentage of Reentry Into Foster Care Grouped by Circuit				
Goal is 5.6% or Less				
	A	B	C	D
	15.1%-44.4%	10.1%-15%	5.7%-10%	0%-5.6%
April	1	1	10	34
May	0	1	10	35
June	0	2	11	33

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBCM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 21: More than half of the 46 circuits met the goal of 5.6% or less reentries in April, May, and June.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Risk Standardized Performance (RSP) is used to assess state performance on the CFSR statewide data indicators. Risk-Standardized Performance (RSP) is derived from a multi-level statistical model and takes into account the number of children the state served, the age distribution of these children, and, for one data indicator, the state's entry rate. It uses risk adjustment to minimize differences in outcomes due to factors over which the state has little control and provides a fairer comparison of state performance against the national performance. For more information about how the RSP is calculated, please visit [What is National Performance and How is it Calculated](#). A lower rate is desirable. This data does not differentiate between Children's Division and FCCM agencies.

Chart 22 compares the rate of reentry into foster care for children in Missouri to the rate of reentry into foster care for children in the nation.



\*Source: MO CFSR 4 Data Profile, August 2023 (Released: February 2024)

Analysis of Chart 22: Missouri's performance continues to exceed national performance.

## Data Analysis Summary:

Seventy-one counties met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period.

Nine agencies met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period.

More than half of the 46 circuits met the benchmark all three months of the reporting period.

CFSR data shows Missouri continues to exceed national performance.

## G. Service Domain: Average Number of Workers Per Child in Care (Less Than 12 Months and 12+ Months)

This measure observes the average number of workers assigned to children who have been in foster care less than 12 months and those in care 12 or more months. There is no federal benchmark for the number of case workers per child and a benchmark has not yet been established by the R&E Team. It should be noted that the average number of workers includes all workers assigned to a case and is not separated between Children's Division and FCCM. The measure is pulled by who is currently case managing the case. For example, if the case had two CD workers and two 6AW workers and the case is currently case managed by 6AW, then the average would be reflected under 6AW.

Table 7 depicts the average number of workers for each child who was in care less than 12 months. This data set is displayed by each county and each agency in the state where data is available. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed.

**Table 7: Average # of Workers  
Per Child in Care <12 Months**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	1.76	2.07	2.33
Andrew	CD			
Atchison	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Audrain	CD	1.13	1.00	1.00
	6AW			2.00
Barry	CD	1.25	1.23	1.21
	6AW			
	6ZT			
	6ZV	2.21	2.39	2.32
Barton	CD	1.37	1.25	1.25
	6AW			
Bates	CD	1.19	1.17	1.07
Benton	CD	1.37	1.26	1.31
	6AW	3.00		3.00
Bollinger	CD	1.11	1.27	1.27
	6AW			
Boone	CD	1.43	1.23	1.16
	6AW			
	6ZJ	1.48	1.73	1.62

Buchanan	CD	2.33	2.11	2.12
Butler	CD	1.28	1.25	1.07
	6AW			
	6ZA			
	6ZS	1.51	1.44	1.55
Caldwell	CD	1.33	1.37	1.37
Callaway	CD	1.05	1.04	1.03
	6AW	2.00		
	6ZJ	2.13	2.15	2.00
Camden	CD	1.55	1.88	1.50
	6AW			
	6ZK	1.25	1.29	1.33
Cape Girardeau	CD	1.52	1.67	1.63
Carroll	CD			
Carter	CD	1.46	1.90	1.90
Cass	CD	1.07	1.23	1.25
	6AW			
	6ZO	1.50	1.74	1.97
	6ZR	1.61	1.11	1.14
Cedar	CD	2.18	1.31	1.31
	6AW			
Chariton	CD	1.75	1.83	1.92
Christian	CD	1.45	1.61	2.04
	6AW			
	6ZM	1.33	1.27	1.64
Clark	CD	1.94	2.00	1.67
	6AW			
Clay	CD	1.13	1.10	1.10
Clinton	CD	1.08	1.13	1.13
Cole	CD	1.38	1.25	1.18
	6AW			
	6ZB			
	6ZJ	2.16	1.96	1.91
Cooper	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Crawford	CD	2.03	1.33	1.27
	6ZB			
Dade	CD	2.33	2.33	2.33
Dallas	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW	2.00		
Daviess	CD	2.00	1.92	1.22
DeKalb	CD	1.80	2.33	2.00
Dent	CD	1.90	1.83	1.73
Douglas	CD	1.79	1.37	1.37
	6AW	2.00		
Dunklin	CD	1.18	1.38	1.19
	6AW			
	6ZS	2.85	2.70	2.54
Franklin	CD	1.30	1.27	1.41
	6AW			
	6ZA	1.57	1.57	1.40
	6ZC	3.17	3.11	2.56
Gasconade	CD	1.07	1.05	1.05
Gentry	CD	1.80	1.50	2.00

Greene	CD	1.50	1.53	1.43
	6AW	3.00	3.00	3.00
	6ZL			
	6ZM	1.63	1.62	1.57
	6ZT			
	6ZV	1.73	1.55	1.69
Grundy	CD	1.00	1.20	1.44
Harrison	CD	1.00	1.25	1.25
Henry	CD	1.17	1.11	1.12
	6AW			
Hickory	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
Holt	CD	1.00	1.00	1.43
Howard	CD	1.78	2.00	2.57
	6AW			
	6ZJ	1.89	1.88	1.43
Howell	CD	1.64	1.48	1.25
Iron	CD	2.21	1.91	1.80
Jackson	CD	1.73	1.91	1.87
	6AW			
	6ZO	1.55	1.58	1.61
	6ZR	1.87	1.90	1.61
Jasper	CD	1.46	1.43	1.41
	6AW		2.00	2.00
	6ZL	1.86	1.88	1.74
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	1.00	1.05	1.04
	6AW			
	6ZA	1.70	1.45	1.58
	6ZB	1.62	1.49	1.43
	6ZC	1.33	1.32	1.26
Johnson	CD	1.35	1.44	1.40
	6AW	4.00		
Knox	CD	1.00	1.00	2.00
Laclede	CD	1.67	1.67	3.19
	6AW			
	6ZK	2.83	3.19	3.19
Lafayette	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Lawrence	CD	1.33	1.68	1.67
	6AW	2.00	2.00	2.00
	6ZT			
	6ZV	1.93	1.92	1.75
Lewis	CD	1.42	1.21	1.91
Lincoln	CD	1.62	1.89	1.76
	6AW			
Linn	CD	1.67	2.14	2.08
Livingston	CD	1.62	1.79	1.67
Macon	CD	1.53	1.53	2.23
Madison	CD	1.07	1.04	1.19
Maries	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Marion	CD	1.57	1.54	1.55
	6AW			
McDonald	CD	1.54	1.37	1.42

	6AW			
	6ZL	1.50	1.82	1.71
Mercer	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Miller	CD	1.83	2.29	2.29
	6AW			
Mississippi	CD	1.30	1.40	1.25
	6AW			
Moniteau	CD	2.00	2.00	2.00
	6AW			
Monroe	CD	1.17	1.80	1.80
	6AW			
Montgomery	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
Morgan	CD	1.78	2.04	1.84
	6AW			
New Madrid	CD	1.82	1.71	1.70
	6AW			
Newton	CD	1.10	1.08	1.06
	6AW			
	6ZL	2.20	2.19	2.21
Nodaway	CD	1.54	1.47	1.52
Oregon	CD	1.40	1.40	1.33
Osage	CD	1.08	1.08	1.07
Ozark	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
Pemiscot	CD	1.41	1.25	1.24
	6AW			
Perry	CD	1.00	1.18	1.00
Pettis	CD	1.22	1.18	1.16
	6AW			
Phelps	CD	1.52	1.50	1.49
	6ZK	2.69	2.69	2.50
Pike	CD	2.67	2.67	2.25
	6AW			
Platte	CD	1.00	1.00	1.27
Polk	CD	1.06	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
Pulaski	CD	1.58	1.57	1.51
	6ZK	1.15	1.08	1.25
Putnam	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ralls	CD	1.20	1.17	1.00
Randolph	CD	2.00	1.56	1.58
	6AW			
	6ZJ	2.00	1.88	2.14
Ray	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Reynolds	CD	1.33	1.18	1.06
Ripley	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
	6ZS	2.00	1.79	1.69
Saline	CD	1.19	1.19	1.21
Schuyler	CD	2.75	2.75	3.00
Scotland	CD	2.00	2.00	1.75
	6AW			1.75

Scott	CD	1.96	1.83	1.59
	6AW			
Shannon	CD	1.67	1.40	1.50
Shelby	CD	1.33	1.29	1.29
St. Charles	CD	1.27	1.21	1.35
	6AW			
	6ZA			0.0%
	6ZB	1.50	1.36	1.27
	6ZC	2.24	2.32	2.28
St. Clair	CD	1.38	1.38	1.38
	6AW			
St. Francois	CD	1.16	1.23	1.37
	6ZC	1.56	1.70	1.52
St. Louis City	CD	1.37	1.36	1.22
	6AW			
	6ZA	2.41	2.31	2.29
	6ZB	1.70	1.67	1.65
	6ZC	1.74	1.83	1.76
St. Louis County	CD	1.48	1.36	1.32
	6AW			
	6ZA	2.28	2.27	2.19
	6ZB	1.84	1.93	1.89
	6ZC	1.65	1.56	1.65
	6ZL			
Ste. Genevieve	CD	1.00	1.06	1.05
Stoddard	CD	1.67	1.46	1.34
	6AW			
	6ZS	2.02	2.02	1.98
Stone	CD	1.31	1.62	1.62
	6AW			
	6ZT			
	6ZV	1.83	1.83	2.00
Sullivan	CD	1.67	1.00	2.33
Taney	CD	1.10	1.13	1.19
	6AW	2.00	2.00	2.00
	6ZM			
	6ZT			
	6ZV	1.67	1.74	1.73
Texas	CD	1.20	1.06	1.54
	6AW			
	6ZK	1.13	2.13	2.13
Vernon	CD	1.69	1.69	1.64
	6AW			
Warren	CD	1.08	1.04	1.04
	6AW		3.00	3.00
Washington	CD	1.00	1.33	1.33
	6ZB	2.00	2.37	2.32
Wayne	CD	1.29	1.52	1.57
Webster	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
	6AW			
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	1.33	1.00	1.29

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 7:

Average number of workers for children who were in foster care less than 12 months: April (1 to 4), May (1 to 3.19), June (1 to 3.19).


Chart 23 depicts the average number of workers for children in care less than 12 months. This data set is grouped by circuit and includes both Children's Division and FCCM information together.

<b>Chart 23: Average # of Workers Per Child in Care &lt;12 Months Grouped by Circuit</b>				
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>
	<b>3.0-4.0</b>	<b>2.1-3.0</b>	<b>1.1-2.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>April</b>	0	5	38	3
<b>May</b>	0	5	38	3
<b>June</b>	0	3	41	2

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 23: The majority of circuits have an average of 1.1-2.0 workers for children in care less than 12 months.

Chart 24 depicts the average number of workers for agencies for children who were in care less than 12 months. A lower number is desirable for this measure.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024



Analysis of Chart 24: Agencies averaged anywhere from 1.42 to 2.44 workers for children in foster care less than 12 months.

Table 8 depicts the average number of workers for children who were in care 12 months or more. This data set is displayed by each county and each agency in the state. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed.

**Table 8: Average # of Workers Per  
Child in Care 12+ Months**

County	Agency	April	May	June
Adair	CD	3.28	3.56	3.29
Andrew	CD	2.33	2.67	2.67
Atchison	CD	3.00	3.00	2.00
Audrain	CD	3.50	3.48	3.30
	6AW	2.67	2.67	2.67
Barry	CD	3.26	3.32	3.42
	6AW	6.00	6.00	5.75
	6ZT			
	6ZV	5.06	5.38	5.47
Barton	CD	2.06	2.20	2.29
	6AW	2.00	2.00	2.00
Bates	CD	1.55	1.64	1.50
Benton	CD	2.69	2.80	2.35
	6AW	6.50	6.50	6.50
Bollinger	CD	3.27	3.27	3.60
	6AW			
Boone	CD	4.18	4.07	4.13
	6AW	7.79	7.79	7.79
	6ZJ	5.26	5.30	4.92
Buchanan	CD	3.80	3.80	3.73
Butler	CD	3.87	4.16	4.02
	6AW	9.33	9.33	9.50
	6ZA			
	6ZS	3.48	4.16	3.74
Caldwell	CD	2.41	2.38	2.38
Callaway	CD	5.70	5.70	5.63
	6AW	6.50	5.86	5.80
	6ZJ	3.91	4.20	4.22
Camden	CD	3.68	3.48	3.55
	6AW	6.33	6.33	6.33
	6ZK	2.00	2.14	2.14
Cape Girardeau	CD	3.48	3.52	3.57
Carroll	CD	2.00	2.00	2.00
Carter	CD	6.00	3.50	3.80
Cass	CD	3.88	3.63	3.44
	6AW	4.75	3.50	3.75
	6ZO	3.63	3.70	3.76
	6ZR	4.24	3.67	3.60
Cedar	CD	3.55	3.81	3.81
	6AW	8.00	8.00	9.00

Chariton	CD	3.56	4.31	4.54
Christian	CD	3.78	4.23	4.44
	6AW	9.00	9.00	9.00
	6ZM	4.20	4.18	4.08
Clark	CD	3.92	3.79	3.89
	6AW	16.00	16.00	16.00
Clay	CD	3.43	3.47	3.34
Clinton	CD	3.44	3.17	3.18
Cole	CD	3.60	3.81	3.80
	6AW	7.00	7.00	7.00
	6ZB			
	6ZJ	4.92	5.10	5.18
Cooper	CD	2.82	2.23	2.23
Crawford	CD	3.64	3.66	3.45
	6ZB			
Dade	CD	3.25	2.00	2.00
Dallas	CD	2.73	2.73	2.73
	6AW	4.40	4.00	4.17
Daviess	CD	2.73	2.73	2.94
DeKalb	CD	3.35	3.18	3.18
Dent	CD	4.91	4.91	4.54
Douglas	CD	2.92	2.74	2.74
	6AW	3.67	3.25	2.33
Dunklin	CD	2.04	2.10	2.14
	6AW			
	6ZS	3.65	4.04	2.33
Franklin	CD	2.74	2.64	2.66
	6AW	8.20	8.20	8.20
	6ZA	3.91	3.91	4.29
	6ZC	5.69	5.61	5.62
Gasconade	CD	2.14	2.13	2.00
Gentry	CD	2.67	2.38	2.40
Greene	CD	2.94	2.95	2.87
	6AW	5.93	5.93	6.00
	6ZL			
	6ZM	3.77	3.68	3.83
	6ZT			
	6ZV	5.11	4.86	4.91
Grundy	CD	1.80	2.00	1.80
Harrison	CD	2.25	2.25	2.25
Henry	CD	2.32	2.28	2.28
	6AW	4.00	4.33	4.33
Hickory	CD	2.88	2.88	2.67
	6AW	6.50	6.50	6.50
Holt	CD	1.17	1.25	1.25
Howard	CD	1.69	1.69	1.80
	6AW	13.00	13.00	13.00
	6ZJ	3.83	3.83	4.00
Howell	CD	4.56	4.60	4.85
Iron	CD	5.42	5.29	4.74
Jackson	CD	5.70	5.69	6.01
	6AW	8.07	8.40	8.44
	6ZO	4.11	4.12	4.13

	6ZR	5.15	5.24	5.26
Jasper	CD	2.82	2.77	2.74
	6AW	5.15	5.15	5.15
	6ZL	3.70	3.97	3.72
	6ZR			
Jefferson	CD	3.05	3.15	3.17
	6AW	6.88	6.88	5.86
	6ZA	2.91	2.85	3.17
	6ZB	3.28	3.23	3.24
	6ZC	4.00	3.97	3.83
Johnson	CD	2.21	2.03	2.07
	6AW	6.14	6.00	6.00
Knox	CD	4.44	4.09	4.20
Laclede	CD	3.27	3.27	3.22
	6AW	5.43	5.57	5.71
	6ZK	5.37	4.59	4.68
Lafayette	CD	3.09	3.09	3.20
Lawrence	CD	3.18	3.10	3.25
	6AW	4.70	5.13	4.17
	6ZT			
	6ZV	3.25	3.17	3.07
Lewis	CD	4.63	5.20	4.27
Lincoln	CD	2.74	2.64	2.57
	6AW	11.00	11.00	11.00
Linn	CD	3.22	3.61	3.85
Livingston	CD	3.71	3.85	3.73
Macon	CD	4.41	4.27	3.97
Madison	CD	2.51	2.43	2.40
Maries	CD	2.00	2.00	1.33
Marion	CD	2.72	2.72	2.73
	6AW	11.33	11.33	11.33
McDonald	CD	2.37	2.43	2.50
	6AW	6.00	6.00	6.00
	6ZL	3.43	3.57	3.10
Mercer	CD			
Miller	CD	2.71	2.71	2.60
	6AW	5.40	5.60	5.75
Mississippi	CD	3.29	3.35	3.46
	6AW	6.67	6.67	7.00
Moniteau	CD	1.75	2.00	2.00
	6AW	5.00		
Monroe	CD	2.60	2.17	2.14
	6AW	9.00	9.00	9.00
Montgomery	CD	2.04	1.96	1.82
	6AW	7.00	7.00	7.00
Morgan	CD	4.47	3.97	3.94
	6AW	5.50	5.50	5.50
New Madrid	CD	4.17	4.08	4.26
	6AW	8.50	10.00	10.00
Newton	CD	2.25	2.19	2.17
	6AW	6.60	6.60	6.60
	6ZL		5.81	5.64
Nodaway	CD	2.79	2.65	2.63

Oregon	CD	5.29	5.39	5.32
Osage	CD	1.25	1.25	1.25
Ozark	CD	3.06	2.94	3.00
	6AW	3.33	3.33	3.00
Pemiscot	CD	3.16	3.17	3.10
	6AW	6.00	6.00	6.00
Perry	CD	2.42	2.47	2.41
Pettis	CD	2.27	2.34	2.34
	6AW	10.00	10.00	10.00
Phelps	CD	2.95	2.94	2.85
	6ZK	5.81	5.87	5.84
Pike	CD	2.19	2.20	2.20
	6AW			
Platte	CD	1.97	1.97	2.17
Polk	CD	2.32	1.33	1.24
	6AW	2.00	2.00	2.00
Pulaski	CD	3.13	3.08	3.07
	6ZK	3.60	3.88	3.88
Putnam	CD	1.00	1.00	1.00
Ralls	CD	3.38	3.40	3.78
Randolph	CD	4.63	4.59	4.48
	6AW	13.00	13.00	13.00
	6ZJ	3.61	3.77	4.02
Ray	CD	3.17	3.40	3.40
Reynolds	CD	4.56	4.65	4.54
Ripley	CD	3.69	3.22	2.54
	6AW	14.00	14.00	14.00
	6ZS	3.17	3.05	3.05
Saline	CD	4.60	4.60	3.57
Schuyler	CD	1.88	1.88	1.88
Scotland	CD	4.44	5.14	5.38
	6AW	9.00	9.00	10.00
Scott	CD	3.48	3.52	3.44
	6AW	7.56	7.20	7.40
Shannon	CD	6.86	6.86	6.33
Shelby	CD	3.38	3.38	3.22
St. Charles	CD	3.59	3.46	3.66
	6AW	6.00	6.00	4.00
	6ZA			5.00
	6ZB	2.97	2.76	2.76
	6ZC	4.31	4.33	4.47
St. Clair	CD	3.75	3.75	3.75
	6AW	3.60	3.60	3.80
St. Francois	CD	2.71	2.73	2.58
	6ZC	4.03	4.08	4.08
St. Louis City	CD	4.16	4.34	4.33
	6AW	10.00	10.00	13.00
	6ZA	4.92	5.03	5.18
	6ZB	5.12	5.83	5.69
	6ZC	3.69	3.89	3.87
St. Louis County	CD	4.02	3.99	4.12
	6AW	11.33	11.33	11.20
	6ZA	4.66	4.63	4.73

	6ZB	5.08	5.14	5.15
	6ZC	4.58	4.59	4.54
	6ZL			
Ste. Genevieve	CD	2.83	2.83	2.83
Stoddard	CD	4.71	4.71	5.05
	6AW	7.67	7.67	7.67
	6ZS	3.67	3.69	3.44
Stone	CD	3.61	3.95	3.85
	6AW	4.50	4.50	4.50
	6ZT	2.00		
	6ZV	2.90	2.88	2.84
Sullivan	CD	4.19	5.14	5.43
Taney	CD	2.94	2.78	2.59
	6AW	5.65	5.63	5.59
	6ZM			
	6ZT			
	6ZV	4.26	4.05	4.17
Texas	CD	2.41	2.37	2.18
	6AW	7.00		
	6ZK	1.89	2.71	3.00
Vernon	CD	2.22	2.22	2.35
	6AW	4.00	4.00	4.00
Warren	CD	2.43	2.39	2.37
	6AW	6.50	6.50	6.50
Washington	CD	3.29	3.58	3.60
	6ZB	3.37	3.45	3.44
Wayne	CD	3.75	3.59	3.50
Webster	CD	1.98	2.02	1.93
	6AW	2.60	2.50	2.50
Worth	CD			
Wright	CD	2.12	2.14	2.13
	6AW	6.67	6.67	7.25

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 8: For April, May, and June, children in foster care 12 months or more experienced between one and 16 workers.


Chart 25 depicts the average number of workers for children in care 12 months or more. This data set is grouped by circuits and includes both Children's Division and FCCM information together.

<b>Chart 25: Average # of Workers Per Child in Care 12+ Months Grouped by Circuit</b>				
	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>
	<b>4.01-5.10</b>	<b>3.01-4.00</b>	<b>2.01-3.00</b>	<b>1.00-2.00</b>
<b>April</b>	9	25	10	2
<b>May</b>	9	27	8	2
<b>June</b>	11	25	9	1

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 25: The majority of circuits have an average of 3.01-4.00 workers for children in care 12 months or more.

Chart 26 depicts the average number of workers per agency for children in care 12 months or more. A lower number is desirable for this measure.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBBM0, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 26: Agencies averaged anywhere from 2 to 6.68 workers for children in foster care 12 months or more. It should be noted that 6ZT ceased to be an agency in April of 2023 therefore 6ZT data is unavailable for May and June of 2024.

Child and Family Services Review Data: There is no CFSR case review information applicable to this measure. Research does indicate that with each worker change children can experience delays in reaching permanency. The Response and Evaluation Team included this measure to help understand the functioning of the child welfare system in Missouri because continued changes can influence how children and families are served.

## Data Analysis Summary:

Children in care 12 months or less experienced an average of:

- 1-4 workers at the county level
- 1.1-2.0 workers at the circuit level
- 1.42-2.44 workers at the agency level

Children in care 12 months or more experienced an average of:

- 1-16 workers at the county level
- 3.01-4 workers at the circuit level
- 2-6.68 at the agency level

## Phase II Reporting (Reporting Period: April 1, 2024 – June 30, 2024)

### H. Well-Being Domain: Placement Category/Residential Type

This measure depicts the child's primary placement type in foster care. The Response and Evaluation Team will determine the expected performance benchmarks once enough data is collected to establish a reasonable goal.

#### Non-Residential Placement Types

<b>Foster Home</b>	<b>Trial Home Visit</b>
FHO - Foster Home	THV - Trial Home Visit
FHE - Emergency Foster Home	<b>Other</b>
FGH - Foster Family Group Home	JHO - Juvenile Court Home
CFP - Career Foster Parent Home	CTO - Non-licensed court ordered facility
FHB - Behavioral Foster Home	ILA - Independent Living Arrangement
FGB – No longer utilized as a placement type.	MMD - Medical Facility
FGM –No longer utilized as a placement type.	MMH - Mental Health Home
FHM - Medical Foster Home	MMF - Mental Health Facility
<b>Relative Home</b>	MMW - Mental Health Medical Waiver
RHO - Relative Home	DET - Detention
RHB - Behavioral Relative Home	RFT - Residential Facility Transition Placement
RHM - Medical Relative Home	RUN - Runaway
RHU - Unlicensed Relative Home	SCH - School
KHU - Unlicensed Non-Relative Home	UNK - Unknown
KHO - Non-Relative/Kinship Home	TLG - Transitional Living Group Home
KHM - Medical Non-Relative Home	TLP - Transitional Living Placement
KHB - Behavioral Non-Relative Home	TLS - Transitional Living Scattered Site
LGS - Legal Guardianship Subsidy	TLA - Transitional Living Advocate
<b>Adoptive Home</b>	ESP - Emergency shelter placement
ADF - Adoption by foster parent	
ADR - Adoption by relative	
ADO - Adoption by other	
FAH - Foster Adoptive Home	

Tables 9-11 depict a percentage of primary placement types for foster children by each county and each agency. Adoptive Home placement types/codes are used when children are placed in pre-adoptive homes awaiting finalization of their adoption. Additional youth/children are placed in committed homes who have been recognized by the Family Support Team or court as the permanent resource upon the legal termination of parental rights. Those situations are represented in several placement categories for foster and relative homes. Youth on a Trial Home Visit are counted as its own placement category. Beginning in March 2024, Treatment Foster Care is captured in the Relative and Foster Home categories when a sub-placement category of Relative Home or Foster Home is identified. If no sub-placement category is identified, then Treatment Foster Care is captured in residential placement categories.

**Table 9: Placement Types for Foster Children – April 2024**

April 2024		Placement Type					
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Adair	CD	56.56%	22.95%	10.66%	5.74%	4.09%	0.00%
Andrew	CD	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Atchison	CD	78.57%	7.14%	7.14%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%
Audrain	CD	19.44%	66.67%	5.56%	2.77%	5.56%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%
Barry	CD	48.00%	26.67%	10.67%	5.33%	9.33%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	40.00%	60.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	55.56%	36.11%	5.55%	0.00%	2.77%	0.00%
Barton	CD	26.32%	52.63%	10.53%	5.26%	5.26%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Bates	CD	59.26%	22.22%	7.41%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%
Benton	CD	58.14%	13.95%	13.95%	2.33%	11.63%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Bollinger	CD	71.43%	11.43%	8.57%	2.86%	5.71%	0.00%
Boone	CD	41.94%	33.33%	17.20%	2.15%	5.38%	0.00%
	6AW	7.14%	50.00%	7.14%	35.71%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	43.62%	41.49%	7.98%	3.19%	2.66%	0.53%
Buchanan	CD	54.95%	24.18%	13.19%	6.59%	1.10%	0.00%
Butler	CD	36.96%	19.57%	25.00%	7.61%	10.87%	0.00%
	6AW	33.33%	16.67%	33.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	48.21%	29.46%	8.93%	6.25%	7.14%	0.00%
Caldwell	CD	64.71%	29.41%	0.00%	0.00%	5.88%	0.00%
Callaway	CD	46.38%	37.68%	14.49%	1.45%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	42.86%	0.00%	14.29%	42.86%	0.00%
	6ZJ	43.10%	39.66%	3.45%	3.45%	10.34%	0.00%
Camden	CD	21.88%	34.38%	9.38%	9.38%	25.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	75.00%	8.33%	0.00%	8.33%	8.33%	0.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	47.17%	18.24%	13.84%	3.14%	17.61%	0.00%
Carroll	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Carter	CD	31.25%	43.75%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Cass	CD	36.17%	27.66%	19.15%	6.38%	10.64%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%
	6ZR	52.31%	33.85%	3.07%	3.07%	7.69%	0.00%
	6ZO	56.67%	26.67%	0.00%	3.33%	13.33%	0.00%
Cedar	CD	43.86%	22.81%	10.53%	0.00%	22.81%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Chariton	CD	66.67%	28.57%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Christian	CD	45.05%	20.88%	15.38%	9.89%	8.79%	0.00%



April 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Christian	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	54.55%	9.09%	13.64%	2.27%	20.45%	0.00%
Clark	CD	60.00%	21.82%	0.00%	5.45%	12.73%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Clay	CD	52.67%	26.00%	7.33%	4.67%	8.67%	0.66%
Clinton	CD	44.44%	41.67%	2.78%	2.78%	8.33%	0.00%
Cole	CD	30.61%	22.45%	18.37%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%	23.91%	14.13%	7.61%	4.35%	0.00%
Cooper	CD	20.00%	26.67%	6.67%	26.67%	20.00%	0.00%
Crawford	CD	56.98%	17.44%	13.95%	3.49%	8.14%	0.00%
Dade	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dallas	CD	39.47%	55.26%	2.63%	0.00%	2.63%	0.00%
	6AW	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Daviess	CD	53.85%	30.77%	0.00%	11.54%	3.85%	0.00%
DeKalb	CD	40.00%	40.00%	4.00%	8.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Dent	CD	48.65%	22.97%	5.40%	16.22%	5.40%	1.35%
Douglas	CD	38.64%	36.36%	6.82%	4.54%	13.64%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	0.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	49.12%	21.05%	10.53%	3.51%	15.79%	0.00%
	6ZS	35.29%	27.45%	5.88%	5.88%	25.49%	0.00%
Franklin	CD	54.49%	21.56%	8.38%	3.59%	11.98%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	50.00%	0.00%	30.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	33.33%	43.33%	10.00%	10.00%	3.33%	0.00%
	6ZC	38.30%	44.68%	0.00%	4.23%	12.77%	0.00%
Gasconade	CD	57.50%	22.50%	5.00%	5.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Gentry	CD	60.00%	0.00%	10.00%	0.00%	30.00%	0.00%
Greene	CD	44.66%	31.72%	12.94%	3.88%	6.47%	0.32%
	6AW	6.67%	46.67%	40.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	49.17%	34.30%	7.02%	2.89%	6.20%	0.41%
	6ZV	46.15%	38.46%	7.69%	6.15%	1.54%	0.00%
Grundy	CD	75.00%	8.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Harrison	CD	45.45%	45.45%	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Henry	CD	62.50%	22.92%	8.33%	0.00%	6.25%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%
Hickory	CD	66.67%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%
	6AW	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Holt	CD	72.73%	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	18.18%	0.00%
Howard	CD	28.00%	20.00%	8.00%	0.00%	44.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	45.83%	8.33%	12.50%	4.16%	29.17%	0.00%
Howell	CD	24.18%	35.16%	16.48%	12.08%	12.08%	0.00%
Iron	CD	59.15%	12.68%	8.45%	14.08%	5.63%	0.00%
Jackson	CD	36.64%	8.40%	31.30%	2.29%	21.37%	0.00%
	6AW	11.90%	38.10%	38.10%	9.52%	2.38%	0.00%
	6ZO	41.74%	41.12%	3.72%	4.34%	9.09%	0.00%
	6ZR	53.83%	31.98%	6.53%	2.93%	3.60%	1.13%
Jasper	CD	52.71%	25.97%	7.36%	5.04%	8.91%	0.00%
	6AW	15.38%	38.46%	15.38%	30.77%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	49.17%	28.33%	7.50%	9.17%	5.83%	0.00%
Jefferson	CD	40.00%	24.44%	14.44%	3.33%	17.78%	0.00%
	6AW	12.50%	25.00%	50.00%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	57.29%	27.08%	8.33%	4.17%	3.12%	0.00%
	6ZB	58.62%	30.27%	1.92%	3.45%	5.63%	0.38%
	6ZC	59.02%	27.87%	6.56%	3.28%	3.28%	0.00%
Johnson	CD	51.14%	34.09%	4.54%	1.14%	9.09%	0.00%

April 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Johnson	6AW	0.00%	12.50%	50.00%	37.50%	0.00%	0.00%
Knox	CD	33.33%	16.67%	25.00%	8.33%	16.67%	0.00%
Laclede	CD	34.72%	44.44%	4.17%	8.33%	8.33%	0.00%
Laclede	6AW	28.57%	42.86%	0.00%	28.57%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	72.00%	18.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	4.00%
Lafayette	CD	26.32%	26.32%	26.32%	21.05%	0.00%	0.00%
Lawrence	CD	45.28%	18.87%	16.98%	3.77%	15.09%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	73.08%	11.54%	3.85%	7.69%	3.85%	0.00%
Lewis	CD	77.78%	16.67%	0.00%	5.55%	0.00%	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	38.18%	31.82%	7.27%	2.73%	20.00%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Linn	CD	53.19%	31.91%	10.64%	4.26%	0.00%	0.00%
Livingston	CD	44.23%	38.46%	11.54%	1.92%	3.85%	0.00%
Macon	CD	35.00%	37.50%	10.00%	7.50%	10.00%	0.00%
Madison	CD	57.53%	17.81%	5.48%	12.33%	6.85%	0.00%
Maries	CD	71.43%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Marion	CD	44.27%	36.98%	5.73%	8.33%	4.69%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
McDonald	CD	55.56%	15.56%	0.00%	4.44%	24.44%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	50.00%	36.36%	4.55%	9.09%	0.00%	0.00%
Mercer	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Miller	CD	34.78%	43.48%	8.70%	4.35%	8.70%	0.00%
	6AW	40.00%	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	58.33%	18.75%	4.17%	2.08%	16.67%	0.00%
	6AW	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	60.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Monroe	CD	60.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	53.13%	25.00%	3.12%	12.50%	6.25%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Morgan	CD	32.20%	55.93%	5.08%	0.00%	6.78%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	25.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	57.73%	11.34%	10.31%	7.22%	13.40%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Newton	CD	46.00%	16.00%	11.00%	6.00%	19.00%	2.00%
	6AW	0.00%	60.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	50.98%	19.61%	9.80%	15.69%	3.92%	0.00%
Nodaway	CD	58.00%	18.00%	4.00%	2.00%	18.00%	0.00%
Oregon	CD	35.71%	28.57%	25.00%	7.14%	3.57%	0.00%
Osage	CD	45.00%	25.00%	10.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Ozark	CD	59.09%	27.27%	4.54%	0.00%	9.09%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	55.56%	18.06%	12.50%	1.38%	12.50%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	0.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Perry	CD	50.00%	4.17%	16.67%	4.17%	25.00%	0.00%
Pettis	CD	33.33%	39.74%	14.10%	7.69%	5.13%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Phelps	CD	54.60%	22.09%	5.52%	6.13%	11.66%	0.00%
	6ZK	61.36%	18.18%	6.82%	2.27%	11.36%	0.00%
Pike	CD	22.73%	40.91%	4.54%	0.00%	31.82%	0.00%
Platte	CD	68.75%	12.50%	6.25%	3.13%	6.25%	3.13%
Polk	CD	55.00%	40.00%	2.50%	2.50%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%

April 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Pulaski	CD	40.99%	31.06%	8.07%	7.45%	11.80%	0.62%
	6ZK	58.06%	22.58%	3.23%	9.68%	6.45%	0.00%
Putnam	CD	33.33%	11.11%	22.22%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%
Ralls	CD	46.15%	15.38%	0.00%	30.77%	7.69%	0.00%
Randolph	CD	18.37%	34.69%	24.49%	14.29%	8.16%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%	32.56%	5.81%	11.63%	0.00%	0.00%
Ray	CD	14.29%	42.86%	14.29%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	73.08%	7.69%	15.38%	3.85%	0.00%	0.00%
Ripley	CD	55.00%	25.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	56.76%	27.03%	2.70%	5.40%	8.11%	0.00%
Saline	CD	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	15.00%	10.00%	0.00%
Schuyler	CD	66.67%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
Scotland	CD	50.00%	14.29%	14.29%	21.43%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Scott	CD	56.98%	16.28%	5.81%	3.49%	17.44%	0.00%
	6AW	22.22%	33.33%	11.11%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
Shannon	CD	25.00%	41.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shelby	CD	36.67%	33.33%	13.33%	6.67%	10.00%	3.22%
St. Charles	CD	41.13%	42.74%	10.48%	1.61%	3.22%	0.80%
	6AW	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZB	31.91%	44.68%	3.38%	6.38%	8.51%	2.13%
	6ZC	46.58%	47.95%	1.37%	4.11%	0.00%	0.00%
St. Clair	CD	33.33%	41.67%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	40.00%	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	52.34%	25.78%	16.06%	5.47%	2.34%	0.00%
	6ZC	45.90%	40.98%	3.28%	4.92%	4.92%	0.00%
St. Louis City	CD	43.87%	30.04%	13.04%	6.32%	5.93%	0.79%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	53.33%	33.33%	9.33%	2.67%	1.33%	0.00%
	6ZB	51.75%	35.09%	7.02%	2.63%	3.51%	0.00%
	6ZC	42.48%	40.71%	11.50%	3.54%	0.88%	0.88%
St. Louis County	CD	46.95%	31.73%	14.47%	3.30%	3.04%	0.51%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	58.12%	31.29%	7.53%	1.41%	1.65%	0.00%
	6ZB	53.99%	31.90%	11.04%	0.00%	3.07%	0.00%
	6ZC	46.15%	37.76%	8.39%	4.20%	3.49%	0.00%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	30.77%	38.46%	12.82%	7.69%	10.26%	0.00%
Stoddard	CD	33.33%	26.98%	12.70%	17.46%	9.52%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	41.94%	20.97%	9.68%	6.45%	20.97%	0.00%
Stone	CD	53.70%	25.93%	5.55%	1.85%	12.96%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	14.81%	55.56%	3.70%	0.00%	25.93%	0.00%
Sullivan	CD	62.50%	37.50%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Taney	CD	32.41%	42.76%	11.03%	6.21%	7.59%	0.00%
	6AW	11.11%	50.00%	33.33%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	34.21%	56.58%	1.32%	5.26%	2.63%	0.00%
Texas	CD	20.34%	49.15%	13.56%	10.17%	6.78%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	86.67%	6.67%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Vernon	CD	50.00%	28.95%	10.53%	2.63%	7.89%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Warren	CD	56.47%	28.24%	5.88%	3.53%	5.88%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%

April 2024		Placement Type					
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Washington	CD	32.35%	23.53%	29.41%	2.94%	11.76%	0.00%
	6ZB	68.42%	18.42%	1.32%	7.89%	3.95%	0.00%
Wayne	CD	43.48%	15.22%	17.39%	19.57%	4.35%	0.00%
Webster	CD	36.76%	42.65%	14.71%	2.94%	2.94%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	20.00%	40.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Worth	CD						
Wright	CD	58.82%	17.65%	9.41%	4.71%	9.41%	0.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 09MAY24

**Table 10: Placement Types for Foster Children – May 2024**

May 2024		Placement Type					
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Adair	CD	55.12%	24.41%	9.45%	4.72%	6.30%	0.00%
Andrew	CD	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Atchison	CD	80.00%	6.67%	6.67%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%
Audrain	CD	24.39%	60.98%	7.32%	2.44%	4.88%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
Barry	CD	49.30%	26.76%	12.68%	4.22%	7.04%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	54.55%	39.39%	3.03%	3.03%	0.00%	0.00%
Barton	CD	27.78%	50.00%	11.11%	5.55%	5.55%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Bates	CD	67.86%	17.86%	10.71%	0.00%	3.57%	0.00%
Benton	CD	55.56%	11.11%	15.56%	2.22%	15.56%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Bollinger	CD	66.67%	11.11%	8.33%	2.77%	11.11%	0.00%
Boone	CD	47.00%	27.00%	14.00%	3.00%	9.00%	0.00%
	6AW	7.14%	57.14%	14.29%	21.43%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	44.57%	41.85%	7.07%	4.89%	1.63%	0.00%
Buchanan	CD	54.95%	24.18%	13.19%	6.59%	1.10%	0.00%
Butler	CD	34.15%	23.17%	28.05%	6.10%	8.54%	0.00%
	6AW	33.33%	16.67%	33.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	46.61%	31.36%	10.17%	6.78%	5.08%	0.00%
Caldwell	CD	54.29%	28.57%	0.00%	0.00%	17.14%	0.00%
Callaway	CD	43.06%	38.89%	15.28%	2.77%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	20.00%	20.00%	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	37.88%	45.45%	3.03%	3.03%	10.61%	0.00%
Camden	CD	33.33%	26.67%	10.00%	6.67%	23.33%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	69.23%	15.38%	0.00%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	46.79%	12.82%	12.82%	2.56%	25.00%	0.00%
Carroll	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Carter	CD	33.33%	46.67%	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Cass	CD	41.30%	19.57%	21.74%	6.52%	10.87%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZR	55.56%	33.33%	3.17%	4.76%	3.17%	0.00%
	6ZO	52.46%	29.51%	0.00%	3.28%	14.75%	0.00%
Cedar	CD	40.00%	21.82%	10.91%	0.00%	27.27%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Chariton	CD	76.00%	12.00%	12.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Christian	CD	46.59%	17.05%	15.91%	9.09%	9.09%	2.27%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	51.43%	20.00%	14.29%	5.71%	8.57%	0.00%

May 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Clark	CD	57.41%	22.22%	0.00%	7.41%	12.96%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Clay	CD	52.60%	27.27%	5.84%	5.19%	9.09%	0.00%
Clinton	CD	47.22%	38.89%	5.56%	2.77%	5.56%	0.00%
Cole	CD	35.85%	20.75%	18.87%	13.21%	11.32%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%	20.00%	11.11%	11.11%	7.78%	0.00%
Cooper	CD	14.29%	28.57%	0.00%	35.71%	21.43%	0.00%
Crawford	CD	55.81%	17.44%	15.12%	4.65%	6.98%	0.00%
Dade	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dallas	CD	41.03%	51.28%	2.56%	2.56%	2.56%	0.00%
	6AW	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Daviess	CD	51.85%	25.93%	0.00%	14.81%	7.41%	0.00%
DeKalb	CD	36.00%	32.00%	8.00%	16.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Dent	CD	50.67%	21.33%	5.33%	17.33%	5.33%	0.00%
Douglas	CD	30.43%	43.48%	8.70%	4.35%	13.04%	0.00%
	6AW	33.33%	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	59.62%	21.15%	11.54%	3.85%	3.85%	0.00%
	6ZS	41.30%	32.61%	10.87%	4.35%	10.87%	0.00%
Franklin	CD	56.07%	18.50%	8.67%	4.62%	12.14%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	50.00%	10.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	33.33%	40.00%	10.00%	10.00%	3.33%	0.00%
	6ZC	33.33%	47.62%	2.38%	4.76%	9.52%	2.38%
Gasconade	CD	65.12%	20.93%	4.65%	2.32%	6.98%	0.00%
Gentry	CD	85.71%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Greene	CD	44.22%	30.36%	13.86%	3.30%	7.92%	0.33%
	6AW	6.67%	46.67%	40.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	49.38%	36.21%	7.82%	2.88%	3.70%	0.00%
	6ZV	50.00%	33.82%	4.41%	8.82%	2.94%	0.00%
Grundy	CD	85.71%	7.14%	7.14%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Harrison	CD	62.50%	31.25%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Henry	CD	60.00%	22.00%	8.00%	2.00%	4.00%	4.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%
Hickory	CD	61.54%	23.08%	0.00%	0.00%	15.38%	0.00%
	6AW	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Holt	CD	72.73%	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	18.18%	0.00%
Howard	CD	24.14%	17.24%	10.34%	0.00%	48.28%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	59.09%	9.09%	13.64%	9.09%	9.09%	0.00%
Howell	CD	22.22%	40.74%	19.75%	16.05%	1.23%	0.00%
Iron	CD	60.00%	14.67%	8.00%	10.67%	6.67%	0.00%
Jackson	CD	30.53%	11.45%	31.30%	0.76%	25.19%	0.76%
	6AW	16.67%	40.48%	30.95%	7.14%	4.76%	0.00%
	6ZO	39.83%	40.89%	4.03%	3.81%	11.54%	0.00%
	6ZR	56.32%	28.97%	6.44%	2.99%	4.37%	0.92%
Jasper	CD	52.01%	24.91%	7.33%	4.76%	10.99%	0.00%
	6AW	14.29%	35.71%	14.29%	35.71%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	41.80%	31.97%	9.02%	9.84%	5.74%	1.64%
Jefferson	CD	46.60%	18.45%	13.59%	2.91%	18.45%	0.00%
	6AW	14.29%	14.29%	57.14%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	53.76%	26.88%	8.60%	4.30%	6.45%	0.00%
	6ZB	54.65%	33.33%	1.94%	2.71%	7.36%	0.38%
	6ZC	61.67%	25.00%	5.00%	5.00%	3.33%	0.00%
Johnson	CD	51.76%	32.94%	4.71%	0.00%	10.59%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	22.22%	33.33%	33.33%	11.11%	0.00%
Knox	CD	41.67%	8.33%	25.00%	8.33%	16.67%	0.00%

May 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Laclede	CD	33.33%	45.83%	4.17%	6.94%	9.72%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	71.43%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	74.00%	20.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	0.00%
Lafayette	CD	26.32%	21.05%	31.58%	21.05%	0.00%	0.00%
Lawrence	CD	42.59%	24.07%	12.96%	3.70%	16.67%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	25.00%	37.50%	37.50%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	76.00%	12.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	0.00%
Lewis	CD	78.95%	5.26%	10.53%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	42.06%	31.78%	6.54%	3.74%	15.89%	0.00%
Linn	CD	58.54%	26.83%	12.20%	0.00%	2.43%	0.00%
Livingston	CD	48.21%	35.71%	10.71%	1.78%	3.57%	0.00%
Macon	CD	34.15%	39.02%	14.63%	4.88%	7.32%	0.00%
Madison	CD	54.17%	18.06%	5.55%	12.50%	9.72%	0.00%
Maries	CD	71.43%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Marion	CD	44.04%	36.79%	6.74%	7.25%	5.18%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
McDonald	CD	55.81%	13.95%	2.33%	2.33%	25.58%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	47.83%	30.43%	8.70%	8.70%	0.00%	4.35%
Mercer	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Miller	CD	34.78%	43.48%	8.70%	4.35%	8.70%	0.00%
	6AW	40.00%	40.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	59.09%	20.45%	4.55%	2.27%	13.64%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	40.00%	40.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Monroe	CD	72.73%	27.27%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	53.33%	23.33%	3.33%	13.33%	6.67%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Morgan	CD	31.03%	56.90%	5.17%	0.00%	6.90%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	25.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	58.76%	14.43%	7.22%	7.22%	12.37%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Newton	CD	42.55%	14.89%	8.51%	7.45%	23.40%	3.19%
	6AW	20.00%	40.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	52.94%	17.65%	7.84%	17.65%	3.92%	0.00%
Nodaway	CD	52.73%	20.00%	7.27%	1.82%	18.18%	0.00%
Oregon	CD	29.63%	25.93%	29.63%	7.41%	7.41%	0.00%
Osage	CD	50.00%	20.00%	5.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Ozark	CD	66.67%	23.81%	4.76%	0.00%	4.76%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	53.42%	16.44%	10.96%	1.37%	17.81%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Perry	CD	46.15%	11.54%	15.38%	3.85%	23.08%	0.00%
Pettis	CD	38.37%	30.23%	17.44%	4.65%	8.14%	1.16%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Phelps	CD	57.32%	20.12%	5.49%	6.10%	10.98%	0.00%
	6ZK	69.77%	16.28%	6.98%	2.33%	4.65%	0.00%
Pike	CD	23.81%	42.86%	4.76%	0.00%	28.57%	0.00%
Platte	CD	58.57%	18.57%	7.14%	2.86%	10.00%	2.86%
Polk	CD	48.72%	35.90%	5.13%	2.56%	7.69%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	37.13%	28.74%	10.18%	7.19%	16.77%	0.00%
	6ZK	62.07%	27.59%	3.45%	6.90%	0.00%	0.00%
Putnam	CD	50.00%	12.50%	25.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%
Ralls	CD	42.86%	28.57%	7.14%	21.43%	0.00%	0.00%

May 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Randolph	CD	22.22%	40.74%	22.22%	9.26%	5.56%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	39.29%	36.90%	9.52%	13.10%	1.19%	0.00%
Ray	CD	14.29%	42.86%	28.57%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	70.83%	8.33%	16.67%	4.17%	0.00%	0.00%
Ripley	CD	68.42%	15.79%	10.53%	0.00%	5.26%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	58.82%	14.71%	8.82%	0.00%	17.65%	0.00%
Saline	CD	52.38%	23.81%	4.76%	9.52%	9.52%	0.00%
Schuyler	CD	58.33%	8.33%	8.33%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Scotland	CD	50.00%	16.67%	8.33%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Scotland	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Scott	CD	54.32%	17.28%	7.41%	3.70%	17.28%	0.00%
Scott	6AW	20.00%	50.00%	10.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shannon	CD	33.33%	22.22%	44.44%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shelby	CD	35.48%	29.03%	9.68%	12.90%	9.68%	3.23%
St. Charles	CD	44.09%	40.94%	8.66%	1.57%	4.72%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZB	37.78%	40.00%	6.67%	6.67%	8.89%	0.00%
	6ZC	46.05%	46.05%	2.63%	3.95%	1.32%	0.00%
St. Clair	CD	27.27%	36.36%	36.36%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	40.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	51.52%	28.03%	12.88%	3.03%	4.54%	0.00%
	6ZC	41.38%	41.38%	3.45%	6.90%	6.90%	0.00%
St. Louis City	CD	46.41%	28.27%	14.77%	4.64%	5.49%	0.42%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	52.92%	35.40%	7.90%	2.75%	1.03%	0.00%
	6ZB	46.83%	41.27%	5.55%	1.59%	4.76%	0.00%
	6ZC	40.00%	42.73%	10.00%	4.54%	2.73%	0.00%
St. Louis County	CD	44.02%	30.79%	17.30%	4.58%	3.05%	0.25%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	56.71%	30.09%	9.03%	1.85%	1.85%	0.46%
	6ZB	54.72%	31.45%	8.81%	0.63%	4.40%	0.00%
	6ZC	45.21%	36.30%	10.27%	4.11%	3.42%	0.68%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	27.50%	37.50%	12.50%	7.50%	15.00%	0.00%
Stoddard	CD	41.07%	26.79%	16.07%	8.93%	7.14%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	40.98%	19.67%	11.48%	8.20%	19.67%	0.00%
Stone	CD	53.06%	26.53%	8.16%	2.04%	10.20%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	22.22%	48.15%	7.41%	0.00%	22.22%	0.00%
Sullivan	CD	56.25%	37.50%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Taney	CD	34.48%	42.76%	9.66%	4.14%	8.97%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	44.44%	5.56%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	30.67%	54.67%	2.67%	6.67%	5.33%	0.00%
Texas	CD	19.35%	51.61%	11.29%	11.29%	6.45%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	86.67%	6.67%	0.00%	6.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Vernon	CD	55.88%	23.53%	11.76%	2.94%	5.88%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Warren	CD	56.25%	30.00%	6.25%	3.75%	3.75%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	60.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Washington	CD	34.48%	10.34%	37.93%	3.45%	13.79%	0.00%
	6ZB	64.86%	20.27%	1.35%	8.11%	5.40%	0.00%
Wayne	CD	52.94%	11.76%	15.69%	13.73%	5.88%	0.00%
Webster	CD	30.77%	38.46%	13.85%	3.08%	13.85%	0.00%

May 2024		Placement Type					
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Webster	6AW	0.00%	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Worth	CD						
Wright	CD	50.00%	19.51%	8.54%	6.10%	15.85%	0.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 10JUN24

**Table 11: Placement Types for Foster Children – June 2024**

June 2024		Placement Type					
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Adair	CD	56.67%	23.33%	8.33%	4.16%	7.50%	0.00%
Andrew	CD	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Atchison	CD	75.00%	15.00%	5.00%	0.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Audrain	CD	28.21%	53.85%	15.38%	2.56%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	75.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Barry	CD	46.97%	30.30%	10.61%	4.54%	7.58%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	57.58%	33.33%	3.03%	0.00%	6.06%	0.00%
Barton	CD	27.78%	50.00%	11.11%	5.55%	5.55%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%
Bates	CD	66.67%	18.52%	11.11%	0.00%	3.70%	0.00%
Benton	CD	57.78%	11.11%	11.11%	4.44%	15.56%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%	66.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Bollinger	CD	69.44%	8.33%	11.11%	0.00%	11.11%	0.00%
Boone	CD	46.00%	31.00%	16.00%	3.00%	4.00%	0.00%
	6AW	7.14%	71.43%	0.00%	14.28%	7.14%	0.00%
	6ZJ	45.65%	36.41%	7.61%	6.52%	2.72%	1.08%
Buchanan	CD	52.75%	24.18%	10.99%	8.79%	2.19%	1.09%
Butler	CD	38.55%	25.30%	26.51%	4.82%	4.82%	0.00%
	6AW	16.67%	33.33%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	49.59%	28.93%	8.26%	6.61%	6.61%	0.00%
Caldwell	CD	52.94%	23.53%	2.94%	0.00%	20.59%	0.00%
Callaway	CD	42.86%	37.14%	15.71%	2.86%	1.43%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	37.88%	46.97%	1.51%	3.03%	10.61%	0.00%
Camden	CD	44.12%	26.47%	5.88%	5.88%	17.65%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	66.67%	16.67%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	47.37%	13.82%	15.13%	3.94%	19.74%	0.00%
Carroll	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Carter	CD	33.33%	46.67%	0.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Cass	CD	42.86%	19.05%	21.43%	11.90%	4.76%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	0.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZR	52.38%	28.57%	4.76%	9.52%	4.76%	0.00%
	6ZO	51.67%	26.67%	0.00%	1.66%	20.00%	0.00%
Cedar	CD	40.74%	22.22%	11.11%	0.00%	25.93%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Chariton	CD	59.09%	13.64%	13.64%	0.00%	13.64%	0.00%
Christian	CD	44.00%	18.67%	18.67%	9.33%	9.33%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	45.65%	19.57%	13.04%	6.52%	15.22%	0.00%
Clark	CD	48.00%	26.00%	0.00%	10.00%	14.00%	2.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Clay	CD	51.61%	27.74%	5.81%	5.81%	9.03%	0.67%
Clinton	CD	47.22%	38.89%	2.77%	5.56%	5.56%	0.00%



June 2024	Placement Type						
	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Cole	CD	31.58%	28.07%	17.54%	12.28%	10.53%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%	17.02%	8.51%	13.83%	10.64%	0.00%
Cooper	CD	20.00%	26.67%	6.67%	26.67%	20.00%	0.00%
Crawford	CD	56.41%	20.51%	14.10%	5.13%	3.85%	0.00%
Dade	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Dallas	CD	48.72%	43.59%	2.56%	2.56%	2.56%	0.00%
	6AW	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
Daviess	CD	55.56%	25.93%	3.70%	7.41%	7.41%	0.00%
DeKalb	CD	44.00%	36.00%	0.00%	12.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Dent	CD	43.84%	19.18%	9.59%	16.44%	10.96%	0.00%
Douglas	CD	28.89%	40.00%	4.44%	8.89%	17.78%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	56.60%	18.87%	11.32%	5.66%	7.55%	0.00%
	6ZS	46.94%	26.53%	10.20%	4.08%	12.24%	0.00%
Franklin	CD	55.81%	20.35%	8.14%	4.65%	11.05%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	50.00%	10.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	34.48%	41.38%	10.34%	10.34%	3.45%	0.00%
	6ZC	28.89%	51.11%	6.67%	2.22%	11.11%	0.00%
Gasconade	CD	65.00%	17.50%	7.50%	2.50%	7.50%	0.00%
Gentry	CD	85.71%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Greene	CD	44.19%	31.94%	13.55%	2.90%	7.10%	0.32%
	6AW	7.14%	42.86%	42.86%	7.14%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZM	45.57%	34.60%	8.86%	4.22%	6.75%	0.00%
	6ZV	44.44%	36.51%	6.35%	4.76%	7.94%	0.00%
Grundy	CD	84.62%	7.69%	7.69%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Harrison	CD	62.50%	25.00%	6.25%	0.00%	6.25%	0.00%
Henry	CD	50.00%	36.36%	6.82%	2.27%	4.55%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%
Hickory	CD	69.23%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%	15.38%	0.00%
	6AW	50.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Holt	CD	72.73%	0.00%	0.00%	9.09%	18.18%	0.00%
Howard	CD	31.03%	13.79%	13.79%	3.45%	37.93%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	61.90%	14.29%	14.29%	4.76%	4.76%	0.00%
Howell	CD	24.44%	35.56%	16.67%	16.67%	6.67%	0.00%
Iron	CD	62.32%	13.04%	7.25%	13.04%	4.35%	0.00%
Jackson	CD	31.30%	12.17%	36.52%	0.87%	18.26%	0.87%
	6AW	14.29%	50.00%	26.19%	4.76%	4.76%	0.00%
	6ZO	41.21%	40.17%	3.77%	3.97%	10.88%	0.00%
	6ZR	55.66%	26.79%	6.47%	3.46%	7.16%	0.46%
Jasper	CD	51.85%	26.30%	6.67%	4.44%	10.00%	0.74%
	6AW	14.29%	35.71%	14.29%	35.81%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	43.33%	32.50%	8.33%	10.00%	5.83%	0.00%
Jefferson	CD	47.57%	20.39%	14.56%	0.97%	16.50%	0.00%
	6AW	14.29%	14.29%	71.43%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	56.04%	25.27%	7.69%	5.49%	5.49%	0.00%
	6ZB	55.65%	32.66%	1.61%	4.43%	5.65%	0.00%
	6ZC	57.63%	30.51%	3.39%	5.08%	3.39%	0.00%
Johnson	CD	51.69%	32.58%	4.49%	0.00%	11.24%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	22.22%	33.33%	33.33%	11.11%	0.00%
Knox	CD	55.56%	11.11%	22.22%	11.11%	0.00%	0.00%
Laclede	CD	38.36%	42.47%	6.85%	4.10%	8.22%	0.00%
	6AW	16.67%	66.67%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZK	68.00%	20.00%	4.00%	2.00%	4.00%	2.00%
Lafayette	CD	38.10%	19.05%	23.81%	19.05%	0.00%	0.00%

June 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Lawrence	CD	38.78%	22.45%	16.33%	4.08%	18.37%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	14.29%	42.86%	42.86%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	76.00%	12.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	0.00%
Lewis	CD	80.95%	4.76%	9.52%	4.76%	0.00%	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	45.22%	31.30%	5.22%	5.22%	13.04%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Linn	CD	52.63%	28.95%	15.79%	0.00%	2.63%	0.00%
Livingston	CD	40.35%	42.11%	10.53%	1.75%	5.26%	0.00%
Macon	CD	33.33%	40.48%	7.14%	11.90%	7.14%	0.00%
Madison	CD	55.38%	16.92%	6.15%	13.85%	7.69%	0.00%
Maries	CD	71.43%	14.29%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Marion	CD	47.18%	34.87%	7.18%	5.64%	5.13%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%
McDonald	CD	59.46%	21.62%	2.70%	2.70%	13.51%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	40.74%	40.74%	7.41%	7.41%	3.70%	0.00%
Mercer	CD	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Miller	CD	28.57%	33.33%	9.52%	4.76%	23.81%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	64.29%	19.05%	2.38%	2.38%	11.90%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	20.00%	40.00%	0.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%
Monroe	CD	75.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	48.28%	24.14%	6.90%	13.79%	6.90%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Morgan	CD	30.36%	58.93%	5.56%	0.00%	5.56%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	25.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	62.22%	12.22%	7.78%	7.78%	10.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Newton	CD	45.24%	17.86%	8.33%	7.14%	19.05%	2.38%
	6AW	0.00%	60.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZL	47.92%	22.92%	6.25%	18.75%	4.16%	0.00%
Nodaway	CD	57.14%	16.07%	7.14%	1.79%	17.86%	0.00%
Oregon	CD	29.63%	25.93%	29.63%	7.41%	7.41%	0.00%
Osage	CD	42.86%	19.05%	4.76%	0.00%	33.33%	0.00%
Ozark	CD	64.71%	29.41%	5.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	56.34%	18.31%	9.86%	1.41%	14.08%	0.00%
	6AW	25.00%	0.00%	25.00%	25.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Perry	CD	57.69%	0.00%	15.38%	3.85%	23.08%	0.00%
Pettis	CD	38.20%	31.46%	19.10%	2.25%	8.99%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Phelps	CD	54.55%	18.18%	7.27%	3.64%	15.15%	1.21%
	6ZK	59.57%	21.28%	8.51%	2.13%	8.51%	0.00%
Pike	CD	30.43%	39.13%	4.35%	0.00%	26.09%	0.00%
Platte	CD	57.97%	17.39%	8.70%	2.90%	10.14%	2.90%
Polk	CD	43.24%	35.14%	2.70%	5.41%	13.51%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	41.61%	26.71%	10.56%	6.21%	14.91%	0.00%
	6ZK	64.29%	28.57%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%	0.00%
Putnam	CD	50.00%	12.50%	25.00%	0.00%	12.50%	0.00%
Ralls	CD	53.85%	23.08%	7.69%	15.38%	0.00%	0.00%
Randolph	CD	27.08%	43.75%	16.67%	8.33%	4.16%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZJ	35.29%	36.47%	8.24%	15.29%	4.71%	0.00%

June 2024	Placement Type						
County	Agency	Relative Home	Foster Home	Other	Residential	Trial Home Visit	Adoptive Home
Ray	CD	16.67%	50.00%	16.67%	0.00%	16.67%	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	72.41%	10.34%	13.79%	3.45%	0.00%	0.00%
Ripley	CD	72.22%	16.67%	11.11%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	60.00%	14.29%	8.57%	0.00%	17.14%	0.00%
Saline	CD	52.38%	28.57%	4.76%	4.76%	9.52%	0.00%
Schuyler	CD	58.33%	16.67%	0.00%	0.00%	25.00%	0.00%
Scotland	CD	56.25%	18.75%	12.50%	12.50%	0.00%	0.00%
Scotland	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Scott	CD	48.75%	18.75%	7.50%	3.75%	21.25%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	40.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shannon	CD	40.00%	20.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Shelby	CD	37.93%	34.48%	6.90%	10.34%	10.34%	0.00%
St. Charles	CD	44.85%	41.91%	8.09%	1.47%	3.68%	0.00%
	6AW	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZB	33.33%	45.83%	6.25%	6.25%	8.33%	0.00%
	6ZC	45.33%	45.33%	5.33%	1.33%	1.33%	1.33%
St. Clair	CD	25.00%	33.33%	33.33%	0.00%	8.33%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%	40.00%	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	54.68%	24.46%	12.95%	2.88%	5.03%	0.00%
	6ZC	42.37%	44.07%	6.78%	3.39%	3.39%	0.00%
St. Louis City	CD	43.91%	27.83%	16.52%	5.21%	6.08%	0.43%
	6AW	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	53.17%	35.56%	7.75%	2.81%	0.70%	0.00%
	6ZB	47.76%	42.54%	5.22%	1.49%	2.98%	0.00%
	6ZC	39.64%	44.14%	8.11%	3.60%	4.50%	0.00%
St. Louis County	CD	43.41%	28.78%	19.02%	4.14%	4.14%	0.49%
	6AW	0.00%	20.00%	40.00%	40.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZA	56.75%	30.21%	8.92%	1.37%	2.52%	0.23%
	6ZB	51.30%	33.12%	9.09%	0.65%	5.19%	0.65%
	6ZC	43.24%	39.86%	6.76%	4.73%	5.40%	0.00%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	30.95%	35.71%	9.52%	11.90%	11.90%	0.00%
Stoddard	CD	42.00%	32.00%	18.00%	8.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	66.67%	33.33%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZS	37.50%	20.31%	9.38%	10.94%	21.88%	0.00%
Stone	CD	53.06%	20.41%	6.12%	2.04%	18.37%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	24.00%	56.00%	12.00%	0.00%	8.00%	0.00%
Sullivan	CD	62.50%	31.25%	6.25%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Taney	CD	36.91%	40.27%	10.74%	4.03%	8.05%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	57.89%	36.84%	5.26%	0.00%	0.00%
	6ZV	31.65%	50.63%	3.80%	7.59%	6.33%	0.00%
Texas	CD	24.56%	47.37%	14.04%	8.77%	5.26%	0.00%
	6ZK	85.71%	7.14%	0.00%	7.14%	0.00%	0.00%
Vernon	CD	54.55%	24.24%	12.12%	3.03%	6.06%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	0.00%	100%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Warren	CD	56.79%	29.63%	4.94%	4.94%	3.70%	0.00%
	6AW	20.00%	60.00%	0.00%	20.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Washington	CD	37.04%	7.41%	40.74%	3.70%	11.11%	0.00%
	6ZB	64.86%	18.92%	2.70%	8.11%	5.41%	0.00%
Wayne	CD	51.11%	11.11%	15.56%	15.56%	6.67%	0.00%
Webster	CD	32.84%	34.33%	13.43%	2.98%	16.42%	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%	25.00%	50.00%	25.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Wright	CD	57.50%	18.75%	7.50%	2.50%	13.75%	0.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 10JUL24

Analysis of Tables 9-11: The majority of placements for foster children occur in either a Relative Home or Foster Home with Relative Home placements occurring more frequently than Foster Home placements. All agencies are making efforts to place children with relatives. 6AW is a specialized contract that serves children with higher behavioral needs. This population sometimes lacks stability and can frequently change placements.

### Residential Placement Types

FBR – Family Based Residential	RFI – Residential Facility Infant Placement
RF2 – Residential Level 2 – (Moderate Need)	RFP - Residential Facility Placement
RF3 – Residential Level 3 (Severe Need)	RFH – Residential Foster Home
RF4 – Residential Level 4 (Intensive Need)	RST - Residential Sex Trafficking Facility
PRTF – Psychiatric Residential Treatment Facility	RFT - Residential Facility Transition Placement
RFE – Residential Facility Emergency Placement	RFM - Residential Facility Maternity Placement
RFA – Residential Treatment Facility	

Tables 12-14 depict the percentages of residential placement types for children placed in residential settings. This information is displayed by each county and each agency. Additional information about each placement type can be found in the [Child Welfare Manual](#).

**Table 12: Residential Placement Types  
for Foster Children – April 2024**

April 2024		Residential Placement Type							
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Adair	CD			6		1			
Audrain	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
Barry	CD			3	1				
Barton	CD			1					
Benton	CD			1					
	6AW			2					
Bollinger	CD			1					
Boone	CD				1		1		
	6AW			2	1	2			
	6ZJ		3	3					
Buchanan	CD					6			
Butler	CD			3	1	3			
	6AW				1				
	6ZS		4	1	1	1			
Callaway	CD			1					
	6AW				1				
	6ZJ			2					
Camden	CD			2	1				
	6ZK			1					
Cape Girardeau	CD		2	3					
Cass	CD			3					
	6AW			1		1			
	6ZR			1	1				
	6ZO		1	1					
Christian	CD			6	1	2			
	6ZM		1						
Clark	CD			2		1			
Clay	CD		1	2		2	2		
Clinton	CD			1					

April 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Cole	CD		1	4		2			
	6AW				1				
	6ZJ		1	2		3	1		
Cooper	CD		1	3					
Crawford	CD		2			1			
Dallas	6AW				1				
Daviess	CD		2				1		
DeKalb	CD			1		1			
Dent	CD		5	3		3	1		
Douglas	CD					2			
	6AW			1					
Dunklin	CD			2					
	6ZS			2			1		
Franklin	CD		2	2	1		1		
	6AW			2		1			
	6ZA			2		1			
	6ZC		1	1					
Gasconade	CD		2						
Greene	CD			1	4	6	1		
	6AW			1					
	6ZM			5	1	1			
	6ZV			2		2			
Henry	6AW		1						
Holt	CD				1				
Howard	6AW			1					
	6ZJ			1					
Howell	CD		4	2	1	4			
Iron	CD		1	7		2			
Jackson	CD			1	2				
	6AW			1	2				1
	6ZO			13	4	4			
	6ZR		1	9	1	1			1
Jasper	CD		2	6	2	3			
	6AW		2		1		1		
	6ZL		1	7	2		1		
Jefferson	CD			1	1	1			
	6AW			1					
	6ZA			3			1		
	6ZB		2	6		1			
	6ZC			1			1		
Johnson	CD				1				
	6AW		1	1	1				
Knox	CD		1						
Laclede	CD			2	1	2		1	
	6AW				1	1			
	6ZK						1		
Lafayette	CD		1	2	1				
Lawrence	CD			1	1				
	6AW			2	1				
	6ZV			2					
Lewis	CD					1			
Lincoln	CD		1	1		1			
Linn	CD					2			
Livingston	CD				1				
Macon	CD		1	1					1
Madison	CD		4	4	1	1			
Marion	CD		5	7	2	1	1		

April 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Marion	6AW			2					
McDonald	CD			2					
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			1		1			
Miller	CD			1					
Mississippi	CD			1					
Montgomery	CD		1	2		1			
	6AW			1					
New Madrid	CD			4		4	1		
Newton	CD			4	2				
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			4	2	1	1		
Nodaway	CD			1					
Oregon	CD			1		1			
Pemiscot	CD			1					
	6AW					1			
Perry	CD				1				
Pettis	CD			5		1			
Phelps	CD		2	7		1			
	6ZK			1					
Platte	CD			2					
Polk	CD			1					
	6AW			2					
Pulaski	CD		1	9	1		1		
	6ZK			2	1				
Ralls	CD			1	1	1	1		
Randolph	CD		1	2	1	2	1		
	6ZJ		2	5	1	2			
Ray	CD		1						
Reynolds	CD			1					
Ripley	6ZS			2					
Saline	CD			2		1			
Scotland	CD			1	1	1			
Scott	CD		1	1		1			
	6AW			1	1	1			
Shelby	CD			1		1			
St. Charles	CD			1		1			
	6ZB			1	1	1			
	6ZC		1		1		1		
St. Francois	CD		2	2		2	1		
	6ZC		1		1	1			
St. Louis City	CD		2	9	1	4			
	6ZA			6			1		1
	6ZB			2					1
	6ZC			2	2				
St. Louis County	CD			6		7			
	6ZA			1	3	2			
	6ZC		1	2		1	2		
Ste. Genevieve	CD		2	1					
Stoddard	CD		1	2		8			
	6ZS			2	1	1			
Stone	CD			1					
	6AW			1	1				
Taney	CD		3	1	1	4			
	6AW			1					
	6ZV			2			1	1	
Texas	CD			3	2	1			

April 2024		Residential Placement Type							
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Texas	6ZK					1			
Vernon	CD			1					
	6AW						1		
Warren	CD			1		2			
	6AW				1				
Washington	CD				1				
	6ZB	1	2	2		1			
Wayne	CD		3	4	2				
Webster	CD		1	1					
	6AW					1			
Wright	CD			2		2			

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 09MAY24

**Table 13: Residential Placement Types  
for Foster Children – May 2024**

May 2024		Residential Placement Type							
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Adair	CD			5		1			
Audrain	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
Barry	CD			3					
	6ZV						1		
Barton	CD			1					
Benton	CD			1					
	6AW			2					
Bollinger	CD			1					
Boone	CD				1		2		
	6AW			2		1			
	6ZJ		3	4	1		1		
Buchanan	CD			1		5			
Butler	CD		1	3	1				
	6AW				1				
	6ZS		4	1	1	2			
Callaway	CD		1	1					
	6AW				1	1			
	6ZJ			2					
Camden	CD			2					
	6ZK			1			1		
Cape Girardeau	CD		2	1			1		
Cass	CD			3					
	6AW			1		1			
	6ZR			1	1	1			
	6ZO			2					
Christian	CD			7		1			
	6ZM						2		
Clark	CD			2		2			
Clay	CD		1	2	1	1	2		1
Clinton	CD		1						
Cole	CD		2	4		1			
	6AW				1				
	6ZJ		1	3	1	4	1		
Cooper	CD		1	4					
Crawford	CD		1			2	1		
Dallas	CD					1			
	6AW				1				
Daviess	CD		2			1	1		

May 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
DeKalb	CD			1		3			
Dent	CD		5	4		3	1		
Douglas	CD					1	1		
	6AW			1					
Dunklin	CD			2					
	6ZS			2					
Franklin	CD		2	2	1	1	2		
	6AW			1			1		
Franklin	6ZA			2		1			
	6ZC		1	1					
Gasconade	CD		1						
Greene	CD			2	4	3	1		
	6AW			1					
	6ZM			5	1	1			
	6ZV			2		4			
Henry	6AW				1				
Holt	CD				1				
Howard	6AW			1					
	6ZJ		1			1			
Howell	CD		3	2	1	7			
Iron	CD			7		1			
Jackson	CD				1				
	6AW			1					2
	6ZO			11	3	4			
	6ZR		1	8	1	2			1
Jasper	CD		2	5	1	4	1		
	6AW		2	1	1		1		
	6ZL		1	7	2	1	1		
Jefferson	CD			1	1	1			
	6AW			1					
	6ZA			3			1		
	6ZB		1	4		1	1		
	6ZC					1	2		
Johnson	6AW			1	1	1			
Knox	CD		1						
Laclede	CD			2	1	2			
	6AW				1				
	6ZK						1		
Lafayette	CD		1	2		1			
Lawrence	CD			1	1				
	6AW			2	1				
	6ZV			1					
Lewis	CD					1			
Lincoln	CD		2	1		1			
Livingston	CD					1			
Macon	CD		1	1					
Madison	CD		4	4	1	1			
Marion	CD		4	7	2		1		
	6AW			2					
McDonald	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			2					
Miller	CD			1					
Mississippi	CD			1					
Moniteau	CD					1			
Montgomery	CD		1	2		1			
	6AW		1						



May 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
New Madrid	CD			3		4			
Newton	CD			4	2	1			
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			5	2	1	1		
Nodaway	CD			1					
Oregon	CD			1		1			
Pemiscot	CD			1					
Pemiscot	6AW			1		1			
Perry	CD				1				
Pettis	CD			4					
Phelps	CD		2	5		3			
	6ZK			1					
Platte	CD			2					
Polk	CD			1					
	6AW			2					
Pulaski	CD		1	9	1		1		
	6ZK			2					
Ralls	CD			1	1		1		
Randolph	CD		1	3			1		
	6ZJ		3	4		3	1		
Reynolds	CD			1					
Saline	CD			1		1			
Scotland	CD			1	1	1			
Scott	CD			2		1			
	6AW			2					
Shelby	CD		1	2		1			
St. Charles	CD		1	1					
	6ZB			2	1				
	6ZC		1		1		1		
St. Francois	CD		2	1			1		
	6ZC		1		1	2			
St. Louis City	CD		2	6	1	2			
	6ZA			6		1			1
	6ZB			1		1			
	6ZC			2	2	1			
St. Louis County	CD			8		8	1		1
	6ZA			1	2	5			
	6ZB					1			
	6ZC		1	2		1	2		
Ste. Genevieve	CD		2	1					
Stoddard	CD		1	1	1	2			
	6ZS			1		4			
Stone	CD			1					
	6AW			1	1				
Taney	CD		1	1	1	3			
	6AW			1					
	6ZV			2		2	1		
Texas	CD			4	1	1	1		
	6ZK					1			
Vernon	CD			1					
Warren	CD			2		1			
	6AW				1				
Washington	CD				1				
	6ZB	1	1	2		2			
Wayne	CD		1	4	2				
Webster	CD		1	1					
	6AW					1			

May 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Wright	CD			2		3			
	6AW					1			

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 10JUN24

**Table 14: Residential Placement Types  
for Foster Children – June 2024**

June 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Adair	CD			3		2			
Audrain	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
Barry	CD			3					
Barton	CD			1					
Benton	CD			2					
	6AW			2					
Boone	CD				1		2		
	6AW			1	1				
	6ZJ		3	5	1	1	2		
Buchanan	CD			1		7			
Butler	CD		1	3					
	6ZS		5	1	1	1			
Callaway	CD		1	1					
	6AW				1	1			
	6ZJ			2					
Camden	CD			2					
	6ZK			1			1		
Cape Girardeau	CD		1	1		1	3		
Cass	CD		1	2		2			
	6AW			1					
	6ZR			3		2	1		
	6ZO			1					
Christian	CD			7					
	6ZM					1	2		
Clark	CD			1		4			
Clay	CD		1	2	1		4		1
Clinton	CD		1				1		
Cole	CD		2	5					
	6AW				1				
	6ZJ		1	3	1	8			
Cooper	CD			4					
Crawford	CD		1			2	1		
Dallas	CD					1			
	6AW				1				
Daviess	CD		1	1					
DeKalb	CD			1		2			
Dent	CD		5	3		2	2		
Douglas	CD					3	1		
	6AW			1					
Dunklin	CD			2		1			
	6ZS			2					
Franklin	CD		2	2	1	1	2		
	6AW			1			1		
	6ZA			2		1			
	6ZC		1						
Gasconade	CD		1						

June 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Greene	CD			2	2	4	1		
	6AW			1					
	6ZM			6	1	3			
	6ZV			2		1			
Henry	6AW				1				
Holt	CD				1				
Howard	CD		1						
	6AW			1					
	6ZJ					1			
Howell	CD		3	2	1	9			
Iron	CD		1	6		2			
Jackson	CD						1		
	6AW			1					1
	6ZO		1	11	4	1	2		
	6ZR		1	7	1	4			2
Jasper	CD		2	6		3	1		
	6AW		2	1	1		1		
	6ZL		1	7	2	1	1		
Jefferson	CD					1			
	6ZA			4			1		
	6ZB		1	5		4	1		
	6ZC					1	2		
Johnson	6AW			1	1	1			
Knox	CD		1						
Laclede	CD			2	1				
	6AW				1				
	6ZK						1		
Lafayette	CD		1	3					
Lawrence	CD			1	1				
	6AW			2	1				
	6ZV			1					
Lewis	CD					1			
Lincoln	CD		2	2		2			
Livingston	CD					1			
Macon	CD		2	3					
Madison	CD		3	4	1		1		
Marion	CD		2	6	2		1		
McDonald	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			2					
Miller	CD			1					
Mississippi	CD			1					
Moniteau	CD			1					
Monroe	6AW					1			
Montgomery	CD		1	2		1			
New Madrid	CD			3		4			
Newton	CD			5		1			
	6AW			1					
	6ZL			5	2	1	1		
Nodaway	CD			1					
Oregon	CD			1		1			
Pemiscot	CD			1					
	6AW			1					
Perry	CD				1				
Pettis	CD			2					
Phelps	CD			4		2			
	6ZK			1					

June 2024	Residential Placement Type								
County	Agency	RF2	RF3	RF4	PRTF	RFE	RFA	RFH	RFM
Platte	CD			1		1			
Polk	CD			1			1		
	6AW			2					
Pulaski	CD		1	7	1		1		
	6ZK			2					
Ralls	CD			1			1		
Randolph	CD			3	1				
	6ZJ		3	5	1	4			
Reynolds	CD			1					
Saline	CD				1				
Scotland	CD			2					
Scott	CD			2		1			
	6AW			2					
Shelby	CD		1	2					
St. Charles	CD		1	1					
	6ZB			2	1				
	6ZC						1		
St. Francois	CD		2	2					
	6ZC		1			1			
St. Louis City	CD		2	6	1	3			
	6ZA			6		1			1
	6ZB			1		1			
	6ZC			1	2	1			
St. Louis County	CD			7		8	2		
	6AW				2				
	6ZA			1	2	3			
	6ZB						1		
	6ZC		1	2		2	2		
Ste. Genevieve	CD		2	2	1				
Stoddard	CD		1	1	1	1			
	6ZS			1		6			
Stone	CD			1					
	6AW			1	1				
Taney	CD			1	1	4			
	6AW			1					
	6ZV			2		3	1		
Texas	CD			4			1		
	6ZK					1			
Vernon	CD			1					
Warren	CD			2		2			
	6AW				1				
Washington	CD						1		
	6ZB	1	1	2		2			
Wayne	CD		1	3	2	1			
Webster	CD		1	1					
	6AW					1			
Wright	CD			2					
	6AW					1			


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBGM0 JIRA=5054 10JUL24

Analysis of Tables 12-14: Most children who are receiving residential services are in a Level 4 placement. As defined in the Child Welfare Manual, a Level 4 placement is “an extended placement resource for children requiring active, coordinated, and professional intervention in a highly structured and secure environment. Such children will have demonstrated an inability to function in any less restrictive setting. This level is indicated for children who have a significant

emotional and/or psychiatric need. These children present a chronic runaway risk and typically present a history of impulsivity, intensify of behavioral problems, significant family issues, self-destructive behaviors, etc. Residential Treatment agencies should provide reunification services, work with the family, community-based services, schools, etc. as a part of the therapeutic services provided. They present a chronic runaway risk. They also typically present a history of showing rage, including physical aggression”.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Item 10 of the CFSR assesses if concerted efforts were made to place the child with relatives. All foster care cases are applicable for rating of this item except for cases in which the child needed specialized care throughout their entire time in foster care, making placement with relatives unsuitable, or situations where the identities of both parents and all relatives are unknown despite documented efforts to identify them.

Chart 27 depicts the percentage of cases where sufficient efforts were made to place with relatives. It should be noted that not all agencies (including CD) have children in custody in all counties during all reporting months. Those agencies will have no data displayed.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 10 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 27: Of 22 cases applicable for rating of this item, 16 cases were rated a Strength. Contributing factors included placement with a relative for the entire period under review and diligent efforts being made to identify, locate, inform, and evaluate both maternal and paternal relatives.

Six cases were rated ANI. Factors contributing to a rating of ANI included failure to provide diligent efforts to identify, locate, inform, or evaluate maternal or paternal relatives.

Data Analysis Summary: When comparing placement types of children in foster care over the past three months, most children are placed in relative placements.

Foster children in residential placements are mostly in a Level 4 placement type. The number of children in residential placements continues to decrease. In April of 2024, 616 Missouri foster

children were placed in residential placements. In June of 2024, that number has decreased to 604.

CFSR data indicates the state is not meeting the federal goal of 95% for concerted efforts made to place children with relatives. However, the Strength data has improved in this area by 13% since the last reporting period.

## I. Well-Being Domain: Case Managers and Supervisors Trauma Trained/Informed

Children's Division and the private Foster Care Case Management agencies do not share a common platform to record and track staff training completion. Great effort has been made to integrate training data however such processes are labor intensive and unreliable. The Office of Administration is implementing a new training tracking system in 2025 which is expected to resolve this issue. It should be noted that all staff are required to complete trauma-informed training within 12 months of their hire date. Staff are being trauma trained despite the difficulty in uniformly tracking and reporting on their completion.

## J. Permanency Domain: Timely Achievement of Child's Court Approved Plan

Timely achievement of a child's court-approved plan is considered permanency. This measure looks at timely permanency (through reunification, adoption, guardianship, or living with a relative) for children in foster care. Table 15 depicts the percentage of children in foster care where permanency is achieved within 12 months of children entering foster care. This data is displayed by each county and each agency. It should be noted that counties with no information available have been excluded from the data set. This number is calculated by dividing the number of children who enter foster care in a 12-month period (denominator) and the number of children in the denominator who are discharged to permanency within 12 months of entering foster care (numerator). The federal goal for this measure is 35.2%.

**Table 15: Permanency in 12 Months  
for Those Entering Care**

April 2024			May 2024			June 2024		
Federal Goal is 35.2%			Federal Goal is 35.2%			Federal Goal is 35.2%		
County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for Those Entering Care	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for Those Entering Care	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for Those Entering Care
Adair	CD	37.33%	Adair	CD	41.56%	Adair	CD	43.04%
Andrew	CD	37.50%	Andrew	CD	25.00%	Andrew	CD	25.00%
Atchison	CD	0.00%	Atchison	CD	100%	Atchison	CD	60.00%
Audrain	CD	42.86%	Audrain	CD	45.16%	Audrain	CD	33.33%
Barry	CD	18.60%	Barry	CD	23.91%	Barry	CD	28.95%
	6ZV	12.50%		6ZV	20.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%		6ZT	100%		6ZV	20.00%
Barton	CD	36.00%	Barton	CD	36.36%	Barton	6ZT	100%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		CD	42.11%

Bates	CD	40.00%
Benton	CD	44.44%
Bollinger	CD	6.25%
Boone	CD	15.15%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	14.58%
Buchanan	CD	33.90%
Butler	CD	34.88%
	6ZS	18.97%
Caldwell	CD	12.50%
Callaway	CD	26.32%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Camden	CD	35.71%
	6ZK	50.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	23.81%
Carter	CD	50.00%
Cass	CD	47.62%
	6ZO	31.58%
	6ZR	0.00%
Cedar	CD	15.63%
Chariton	CD	44.44%
Christian	CD	39.39%
	6ZM	25.00%
Clark	CD	47.06%
Clay	CD	26.87%
Clinton	CD	0.00%
Cole	CD	24.69%
	6AW	100%
	6ZJ	15.38%
	6ZM	0.00%
Cooper	CD	22.22%
Crawford	CD	28.00%
Dade	CD	0.00%
Dallas	CD	26.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	28.57%
DeKalb	CD	17.24%
Dent	CD	36.84%
	6ZJ	0.00%
Douglas	CD	44.00%
Dunklin	CD	67.80%
	6ZS	27.27%
Franklin	CD	15.79%
	6ZA	13.33%
	6ZB	100%
	6ZC	20.00%
Gasconade	CD	29.41%
Gentry	CD	36.36%
Greene	CD	28.80%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	24.44%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	8.70%
Grundy	CD	42.86%
Harrison	CD	50.00%
Henry	CD	27.59%
Hickory	CD	33.33%

Bates	CD	46.15%
Benton	CD	40.00%
Bollinger	CD	15.79%
Boone	CD	17.39%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	15.69%
Buchanan	CD	32.20%
Butler	CD	40.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	15.79%
Caldwell	CD	17.65%
Callaway	CD	40.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	26.67%
Camden	CD	41.67%
	6ZK	50.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	25.68%
Carter	CD	50.00%
Cass	CD	52.63%
	6ZO	30.00%
	6ZR	4.76%
Cedar	CD	15.15%
Chariton	CD	50.00%
Christian	CD	33.85%
	6ZM	26.67%
Clark	CD	37.50%
Clay	CD	29.51%
Clinton	CD	0.00%
Cole	CD	25.88%
	6AW	100%
	6ZJ	14.29%
	6ZM	0.00%
Cooper	CD	40.00%
Crawford	CD	21.57%
Dade	CD	0.00%
Dallas	CD	30.77%
	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	25.00%
DeKalb	CD	20.69%
Dent	CD	35.19%
	6ZJ	0.00%
Douglas	CD	28.00%
Dunklin	CD	62.71%
	6ZS	36.36%
Franklin	CD	14.46%
	6ZA	16.67%
	6ZB	100%
	6ZC	23.53%
Gasconade	CD	31.25%
Gentry	CD	36.36%
Greene	CD	28.19%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	24.42%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	5.00%
Grundy	CD	42.86%
Harrison	CD	42.86%
Henry	CD	32.00%

	6AW	0.00%
Bates	CD	33.33%
Benton	CD	35.00%
Bollinger	CD	17.65%
Boone	CD	22.39%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	15.09%
Buchanan	CD	33.33%
Butler	CD	46.67%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	16.39%
Caldwell	CD	16.67%
Callaway	CD	38.46%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	16.00%
Camden	CD	30.00%
	6ZK	20.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	27.54%
Carter	6ZS	100%
	CD	50.00%
Cass	CD	47.06%
	6ZO	33.33%
	6ZR	4.55%
Cedar	CD	15.63%
Chariton	CD	50.00%
Christian	CD	26.42%
	6ZM	23.53%
Clark	CD	37.50%
Clay	CD	26.67%
Clinton	CD	0.00%
Cole	CD	25.00%
	6AW	100%
	6ZJ	14.29%
	6ZM	0.00%
Cooper	CD	40.00%
Crawford	CD	21.15%
Dade	CD	0.00%
Dallas	CD	50.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	42.86%
DeKalb	CD	20.69%
Dent	CD	29.09%
	6ZJ	0.00%
Douglas	CD	29.17%
Dunklin	CD	62.07%
	6ZS	36.36%
Franklin	CD	10.34%
	6ZA	16.67%
	6ZB	100%
	6ZC	20.00%
Gasconade	CD	13.33%
Gentry	CD	40.00%
Greene	CD	30.34%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	23.81%
	6ZV	0.00%
Grundy	CD	42.86%
Harrison	CD	42.86%
Henry	CD	29.17%

Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	20.00%
	6ZJ	20.00%
Howell	CD	12.24%
Iron	CD	45.83%
Jackson	CD	42.80%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	16.57%
	6ZR	11.72%
Jasper	CD	11.03%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	14.29%
Jefferson	CD	15.38%
	6ZA	5.13%
	6ZB	23.03%
	6ZC	0.00%
Johnson	CD	38.46%
	6AW	0.00%
Knox	CD	75.00%
Laclede	CD	33.33%
	6ZK	37.50%
Lafayette	CD	29.41%
Lawrence	CD	22.22%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	23.08%
Lewis	CD	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	30.43%
Linn	CD	43.75%
Livingston	CD	33.33%
Macon	CD	26.32%
Madison	CD	5.26%
Maries	CD	100%
Marion	CD	14.63%
McDonald	CD	55.71%
	6ZL	40.00%
Miller	CD	22.22%
Mississippi	CD	16.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	0.00%
Monroe	CD	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	21.43%
Morgan	CD	17.39%
New Madrid	CD	28.21%
Newton	CD	51.38%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	59.09%
Nodaway	CD	50.00%
Oregon	CD	30.77%
Osage	CD	0.00%
Ozark	CD	62.50%
Pemiscot	CD	22.45%
Perry	CD	45.45%
Pettis	CD	36.73%
Phelps	CD	38.64%
	6ZK	38.46%
Pike	CD	40.00%
Platte	CD	23.91%
Polk	CD	41.94%
	6AW	0.00%

	6AW	0.00%
Hickory	CD	33.33%
Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	20.00%
	6ZJ	20.00%
Howell	CD	18.18%
Iron	CD	38.24%
Jackson	CD	40.57%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	16.48%
	6ZR	14.69%
Jasper	CD	11.45%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	16.67%
Jefferson	CD	19.44%
	6ZA	6.25%
	6ZB	21.09%
	6ZC	3.70%
Johnson	CD	39.22%
	6AW	0.00%
Knox	CD	66.67%
Laclede	CD	27.78%
	6ZK	33.33%
Lafayette	CD	28.57%
Lawrence	CD	19.51%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	25.00%
Lewis	CD	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	40.00%
Linn	CD	38.46%
Livingston	CD	15.38%
Macon	CD	33.33%
Madison	CD	5.56%
Maries	CD	100%
Marion	CD	15.00%
McDonald	CD	54.69%
	6ZL	22.22%
Miller	CD	22.22%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	4.55%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	0.00%
Monroe	CD	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	23.08%
Morgan	CD	17.39%
New Madrid	CD	25.81%
Newton	CD	59.62%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	59.09%
Nodaway	CD	47.73%
Oregon	CD	25.00%
Osage	CD	0.00%
Ozark	CD	62.50%
Pemiscot	CD	26.09%
Perry	CD	33.33%
Pettis	CD	37.25%
Phelps	CD	38.04%
	6ZK	35.71%
Pike	CD	36.36%

	6AW	0.00%
Hickory	CD	33.33%
Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	22.22%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Howell	CD	20.37%
Iron	CD	36.11%
Jackson	CD	42.35%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	18.60%
	6ZR	16.05%
Jasper	CD	12.10%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	16.67%
Jefferson	CD	22.06%
	6ZA	8.57%
	6ZB	21.83%
	6ZC	3.45%
Johnson	CD	42.59%
	6AW	0.00%
Knox	CD	80.00%
Laclede	CD	20.00%
	6ZK	27.27%
Lafayette	CD	42.86%
Lawrence	CD	20.45%
	6ZT	10.00%
	6ZV	10.00%
Lewis	CD	0.00%
Lincoln	CD	35.71%
Linn	CD	40.00%
Livingston	CD	15.00%
Macon	CD	33.33%
Madison	CD	6.25%
Maries	CD	100%
Marion	CD	14.29%
McDonald	CD	53.33%
	6ZL	33.33%
Miller	CD	28.57%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	5.26%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	37.50%
Monroe	CD	0.00%
Montgomery	CD	37.50%
Morgan	CD	22.22%
New Madrid	CD	38.89%
Newton	CD	59.60%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	52.38%
Nodaway	CD	47.62%
Oregon	CD	25.00%
Osage	CD	14.29%
Ozark	CD	57.14%
Pemiscot	CD	21.95%
Perry	CD	36.36%
Pettis	CD	32.69%
Phelps	CD	36.84%
	6ZK	30.00%
Pike	CD	44.44%



Pulaski	CD	24.76%
	6ZK	31.25%
Putnam	CD	60.00%
Ralls	CD	40.00%
Randolph	CD	35.14%
	6ZJ	19.35%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	44.44%
Ripley	CD	33.33%
	6ZS	5.56%
Saline	CD	61.54%
Schuyler	CD	0.00%
Scotland	CD	0.00%
Scott	CD	20.37%
Shannon	CD	66.67%
Shelby	CD	5.56%
St. Charles	CD	22.92%
	6ZB	0.00%
	6ZC	6.25%
St. Clair	CD	100%
St. Francois	CD	30.56%
	6ZC	19.35%
St. Louis City	CD	23.93%
	6ZA	3.66%
	6ZB	16.22%
	6ZC	7.14%
St. Louis County	CD	21.59%
	6ZA	8.26%
	6ZB	11.67%
	6ZC	8.70%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	16.67%
Stoddard	CD	60.75%
	6ZS	50.00%
Stone	CD	30.95%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	5.56%
Sullivan	CD	12.50%
Taney	CD	30.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	9.52%
	6ZK	66.67%
Vernon	CD	18.18%
Warren	CD	18.18%
Washington	CD	14.29%
	6ZB	10.34%
Wayne	CD	51.43%
Webster	CD	29.03%
	6AW	0.00%
Worth	CD	0.00%
Wright	CD	31.25%

Platte	CD	27.50%
Polk	CD	41.38%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	23.20%
	6ZK	31.25%
Putnam	CD	60.00%
Ralls	CD	50.00%
Randolph	CD	36.84%
	6ZJ	16.67%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	42.11%
Ripley	CD	33.33%
	6ZS	6.67%
Saline	CD	66.67%
Schuyler	CD	0.00%
Scotland	CD	0.00%
Scott	CD	22.45%
Shannon	CD	50.00%
Shelby	CD	5.00%
St. Charles	CD	22.45%
	6ZB	0.00%
	6ZC	7.14%
St. Clair	CD	100%
St. Francois	CD	25.61%
	6ZC	20.69%
St. Louis City	CD	25.51%
	6ZA	2.11%
	6ZB	14.29%
	6ZC	8.82%
St. Louis County	CD	22.78%
	6ZA	4.76%
	6ZB	11.86%
	6ZC	9.30%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	21.43%
Stoddard	CD	64.08%
	6ZS	44.44%
Stone	CD	25.64%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	6.25%
Sullivan	CD	12.50%
Taney	CD	29.27%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	7.14%
	6ZK	66.67%
Vernon	CD	19.05%
Warren	CD	14.29%
Washington	CD	11.11%
	6ZB	8.57%
Wayne	CD	51.43%
Webster	CD	31.41%
	6AW	0.00%
Worth	CD	0.00%
Wright	CD	32.26%

Platte	CD	27.50%
Polk	CD	35.71%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	27.12%
	6ZK	31.25%
Putnam	CD	60.00%
Ralls	CD	42.86%
Randolph	CD	40.00%
	6ZJ	16.67%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	26.67%
Ripley	CD	36.36%
	6ZS	6.67%
Saline	CD	76.19%
Schuyler	CD	0.00%
Scotland	CD	0.00%
Scott	CD	24.39%
Shannon	CD	75.00%
Shelby	CD	5.00%
St. Charles	CD	26.00%
	6ZA	0.00%
	6ZB	0.00%
	6ZC	7.41%
St. Clair	CD	100%
St. Francois	CD	26.67%
	6ZC	20.00%
St. Louis City	CD	28.41%
	6ZA	2.06%
	6ZB	14.63%
	6ZC	19.51%
St. Louis County	CD	26.42%
	6ZA	4.48%
	6ZB	16.18%
	6ZC	2.94%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	15.38%
Stoddard	CD	67.00%
	6ZS	44.44%
Stone	CD	30.56%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	7.69%
Sullivan	CD	16.67%
Taney	CD	29.27%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	11.43%
Texas	CD	4.35%
	6ZK	80.00%
Vernon	CD	22.22%
Warren	CD	15.38%
Washington	CD	25.00%
	6ZB	11.76%
Wayne	CD	51.85%
Webster	CD	44.74%
	6AW	0.00%
Worth	CD	0.00%
Wright	CD	31.25%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

Analysis of Table 15:

Number of counties that met the benchmark for timely permanency within 12 months: April (31), May (32), June (30).

Of 114 counties and St. Louis City, 23 counties met the benchmark of timely permanency for those entering care within 12 months all three months of the reporting period.

Table 16 depicts timely permanency for children who have been in foster care for at least 12 months and not more than 23 months. This data is displayed by each county and each agency. This number is calculated by dividing the number of children in foster care on the first day of a 12-month period who had been in foster care continuously between 12 and 23 months (denominator) by the number of children in the denominator who discharged to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period (numerator). The federal goal for this measure is 43.8%.

**Table 16: Permanency in 12 Months for Those in Care 12-23 Months**

April 2024			May 2024			June 2024		
Federal Goal is 43.8%			Federal Goal is 43.8%			Federal Goal is 43.8%		
County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 12-23 Months	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 12-23 Months	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 12-23 Months
Adair	CD	42.42%	Adair	CD	40.54%	Adair	CD	47.62%
Atchison	CD	75.00%	Atchison	CD	75.00%	Atchison	CD	100%
Audrain	CD	72.73%	Audrain	CD	62.50%	Audrain	CD	62.50%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
Barry	CD	40.91%	Barry	CD	52.94%	Barry	CD	61.54%
	6AW	25.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%		6ZV	0.00%		6ZV	0.00%
	6ZT	33.33%		6ZT	100%		6ZT	100%
Barton	CD	46.15%	Barton	CD	40.00%	Barton	CD	65.00%
Bates	CD	25.00%	Bates	CD	33.33%	Bates	CD	60.00%
Benton	CD	-----	Benton	CD	0.00%	Benton	CD	40.00%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
Bollinger	CD	50.00%	Bollinger	CD	50.00%	Bollinger	CD	38.46%
Boone	CD	52.21%	Boone	CD	53.17%	Boone	CD	54.62%
	6ZJ	18.18%		6ZJ	23.81%		6ZJ	24.00%
Buchanan	CD	33.90%	Buchanan	CD	0.00%	Buchanan	CD	50.00%
Butler	CD	34.88%	Butler	CD	71.70%	Butler	CD	66.67%
	6ZS	18.97%		CD	0.00%		6ZS	0.00%
Caldwell	CD	0.00%	Caldwell	CD	60.87%	Caldwell	CD	0.00%
Callaway	CD	54.17%	Callaway	6ZJ	0.00%	Callaway	CD	66.67%
	6AW	0.00%		CD	54.55%		CD	60.00%
	6ZJ	0.00%	Camden	6AW	33.33%		6AW	33.33%
Camden	CD	33.33%		6ZR	0.00%		6ZR	0.00%
	6AW	33.33%	Cape Girardeau	CD	38.55%	Cape Girardeau	CD	40.63%
Cape Girardeau	CD	47.83%		CD	50.00%		CD	50.00%
Carter	CD	0.00%	Cass	CD	27.78%	Cass	CD	33.33%
Cass	CD	26.67%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%		6ZO	28.57%		6ZO	16.67%
	6ZO	30.00%		6ZR	20.00%		6ZR	0.00%

	6ZR	20.00%
Cedar	CD	33.33%
Chariton	CD	80.00%
Christian	CD	53.45%
	6ZM	50.00%
Clark	CD	41.67%
Clay	CD	48.48%
Clinton	CD	0.00%
Cole	CD	60.98%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	40.00%
Cooper	CD	66.67%
Crawford	CD	77.78%
Dade	CD	66.67%
Dallas	CD	50.00%
Daviess	CD	25.00%
DeKalb	CD	65.63%
Dent	CD	61.54%
Douglas	CD	50.00%
Dunklin	CD	79.17%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	66.67%
Franklin	CD	59.32%
	6ZA	25.00%
	6ZC	22.22%
Gasconade	CD	91.67%
Gentry	CD	100%
Greene	CD	55.73%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	66.67%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	12.50%
Grundy	CD	0.00%
Harrison	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	53.13%
Hickory	CD	33.33%
Howard	CD	75.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Howell	CD	78.57%
Iron	CD	100%
Jackson	CD	58.13%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	18.75%
	6ZR	21.28%
Jasper	CD	60.17%
	6ZL	50.00%
Jefferson	CD	51.18%
	6ZA	23.53%
	6ZB	47.62%
	6ZC	44.44%
Johnson	CD	72.22%
Knox	CD	0.00%
Laclede	CD	44.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZK	0.00%
Lafayette	CD	33.33%
Lawrence	CD	63.89%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%

Cedar	CD	33.33%
Chariton	CD	77.78%
Christian	CD	71.70%
	6ZM	42.86%
Clark	CD	30.77%
Clay	CD	44.12%
Clinton	CD	25.00%
Cole	CD	52.78%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	40.00%
Cooper	CD	81.82%
Crawford	CD	75.00%
Dade	CD	0.00%
Dallas	CD	57.14%
Daviess	CD	25.00%
DeKalb	CD	69.70%
Dent	CD	65.85%
Douglas	CD	60.00%
Dunklin	CD	90.91%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	66.67%
Franklin	CD	68.57%
	6ZA	0.00%
	6ZC	27.27%
Gasconade	CD	91.67%
Gentry	CD	100%
Greene	CD	49.73%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	75.00%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	0.00%
Grundy	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	48.65%
Hickory	CD	75.00%
Howard	CD	100%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Howell	CD	73.33%
Iron	CD	100%
Jackson	CD	57.99%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	24.07%
Jasper	CD	56.76%
	6ZL	53.85%
Jefferson	CD	68.46%
	6ZA	31.25%
	6ZB	39.13%
Johnson	CD	72.97%
	6ZC	44.44%
Knox	CD	0.00%
Laclede	CD	50.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZK	0.00%
Lafayette	CD	20.00%
Lawrence	CD	57.14%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%
Lewis	CD	83.33%
Lincoln	CD	69.77%

Cedar	CD	33.33%
Chariton	CD	77.78%
Christian	CD	66.67%
	6ZM	42.86%
Clark	CD	26.67%
Clay	CD	48.39%
Clinton	CD	23.53%
Cole	CD	48.72%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Cooper	CD	80.00%
Crawford	CD	62.50%
Dade	CD	0.00%
Dallas	CD	57.14%
Daviess	CD	25.00%
DeKalb	CD	70.00%
Dent	CD	63.27%
Douglas	CD	75.00%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	66.67%
Dunklin	CD	81.25%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	66.67%
Franklin	CD	67.44%
	6ZA	0.00%
	6ZC	27.27%
Gasconade	CD	100%
Gentry	CD	100%
Greene	CD	49.73%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	70.59%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	0.00%
Grundy	CD	50.00%
Harrison	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	42.11%
Hickory	CD	75.00%
Howard	CD	100%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Howell	CD	45.45%
Iron	CD	80.00%
Jackson	CD	55.82%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	22.00%
	6ZR	19.64%
Jasper	CD	57.27%
	6ZL	53.85%
Jefferson	CD	70.63%
	6ZA	28.57%
	6ZB	42.86%
	6ZC	36.36%
Johnson	CD	84.85%
Knox	CD	0.00%
Laclede	CD	47.06%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZK	0.00%
Lafayette	CD	20.00%
Lawrence	CD	39.29%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%

Lewis	CD	83.33%
Lincoln	CD	67.57%
Linn	CD	16.67%
Livingston	CD	100%
Macon	CD	60.00%
Madison	CD	60.00%
Maries	CD	50.00%
Marion	CD	26.32%
	6AW	0.00%
McDonald	CD	57.14%
	6AW	100%
	6ZL	0.00%
Miller	CD	20.00%
	6AW	50.00%
Mississippi	CD	33.33%
Moniteau	CD	100%
	6AW	50.00%
Monroe	CD	33.33%
Montgomery	CD	60.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Morgan	CD	58.93%
	6AW	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	52.94%
	6AW	0.00%
Newton	CD	63.41%
	6ZL	40.00%
Nodaway	CD	50.00%
Oregon	CD	0.00%
Osage	CD	80.00%
Pemiscot	CD	45.71%
	6AW	0.00%
Perry	CD	37.50%
Pettis	CD	42.31%
Phelps	CD	48.48%
	6ZK	16.67%
Pike	CD	71.43%
Platte	CD	75.00%
Polk	CD	27.78%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	59.26%
Putnam	CD	0.00%
Ralls	CD	16.67%
Randolph	CD	7.14%
	6AW	100%
	6ZJ	33.33%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	100%
Ripley	CD	91.30%
Saline	CD	33.33%
Schuyler	CD	100%
Scotland	CD	66.67%
Scott	CD	54.05%
	6AW	100%
Shannon	CD	100%
Shelby	CD	22.22%
St. Charles	CD	74.55%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	75.00%
St. Clair	CD	0.00%

Linn	CD	20.00%
Livingston	CD	100%
Macon	CD	44.44%
Madison	CD	60.00%
Maries	CD	40.00%
Marion	CD	28.89%
	6AW	0.00%
	CD	62.50%
McDonald	6AW	100%
	6ZL	0.00%
	CD	0.00%
Miller	6AW	0.00%
	CD	33.33%
Mississippi	CD	66.67%
Moniteau	6AW	50.00%
	CD	25.00%
Monroe	CD	25.00%
Montgomery	CD	66.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Morgan	CD	51.92%
	6AW	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	44.44%
	6AW	0.00%
Newton	CD	67.39%
	6ZL	25.00%
Nodaway	CD	66.67%
Oregon	CD	0.00%
Osage	CD	80.00%
Ozark	CD	66.67%
Pemiscot	CD	52.78%
	6AW	0.00%
Perry	CD	30.00%
Pettis	CD	46.43%
Phelps	CD	37.14%
	6ZK	0.00%
Pike	CD	66.67%
Platte	CD	70.37%
Polk	CD	21.05%
	6AW	50.00%
Pulaski	CD	52.44%
	6ZK	100%
Ralls	CD	16.67%
Randolph	CD	25.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	100%
Ripley	CD	80.00%
Saline	CD	36.36%
Schuyler	CD	100%
Scotland	CD	75.00%
Scott	CD	53.85%
	6AW	20.00%
Shelby	CD	20.00%
St. Charles	CD	67.86%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	75.00%
St. Clair	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	59.09%
	6ZC	0.00%

Lewis	CD	100%
Lincoln	CD	72.50%
Linn	CD	0.00%
Livingston	CD	100%
Macon	CD	40.00%
Madison	CD	60.00%
Maries	CD	40.00%
Marion	CD	27.50%
Marion	6AW	0.00%
McDonald	CD	75.00%
	6AW	100%
	6ZL	0.00%
Miller	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	66.67%
Moniteau	CD	66.67%
	6AW	50.00%
Monroe	CD	25.00%
Montgomery	CD	50.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Morgan	CD	56.25%
	6AW	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	55.56%
	6AW	0.00%
Newton	CD	66.67%
	6ZL	55.56%
Nodaway	CD	60.00%
Oregon	CD	0.00%
Osage	CD	80.00%
Ozark	CD	66.67%
Pemiscot	CD	45.16%
	6AW	0.00%
Perry	CD	36.36%
Pettis	CD	51.85%
Phelps	CD	38.89%
	6ZK	28.57%
Pike	CD	75.00%
Platte	CD	73.08%
Polk	CD	25.00%
	6AW	50.00%
Pulaski	CD	56.79%
	6ZK	100%
Ralls	CD	22.22%
Randolph	CD	37.50%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Ray	CD	0.00%
Reynolds	CD	100%
Ripley	CD	82.61%
Saline	CD	31.25%
Schuyler	CD	100%
Scotland	CD	80.00%
Scott	CD	41.67%
	6AW	20.00%
Shelby	CD	22.22%
	6ZA	0.00%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	75.00%
St. Charles	CD	67.27%
St. Clair	6ZC	75.00%
	6ZC	75.00%
	CD	50.00%

	6AW	0.00%		St. Louis City	CD	36.17%			6AW	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	50.00%			6ZA	6.67%		St. Francois	CD	60.87%
	6ZC	0.00%			6ZB	16.67%			6ZC	14.29%
St. Louis City	CD	35.33%			6ZC	33.33%		St. Louis City	CD	33.09%
	6ZA	0.00%		St. Louis County	CD	30.32%			6ZA	5.88%
	6ZB	23.08%			6ZA	31.03%			6ZB	18.75%
	6ZC	41.67%			6ZB	20.59%			6ZC	33.33%
St. Louis County	CD	26.00%			6ZC	6.25%		St. Louis County	CD	33.93%
	6ZA	36.00%			6ZL	100%			6ZA	37.93%
	6ZB	18.75%		Ste. Genevieve	CD	12.50%			6ZB	11.43%
	6ZC	5.56%		Stoddard	CD	83.33%			6ZC	5.88%
	6ZL	100%			6ZS	100%			6ZL	100%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	46.15%		Stone	CD	76.19%		Ste. Genevieve	CD	10.00%
Stoddard	CD	77.78%			6AW	0.00%		Stoddard	CD	76.67%
	6AW	0.00%			6ZV	100%			6ZS	100%
	6ZS	100%		Sullivan	CD	55.56%		Stone	CD	75.00%
Stone	CD	80.00%		Taney	CD	68.89%			6AW	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%			6ZT	100%			6ZT	100%
	6ZT	100%			6ZV	0.00%		Sullivan	CD	60.00%
	6ZV	100%		Texas	CD	35.00%		Taney	CD	66.04%
Sullivan	CD	70.00%		Vernon	CD	25.00%			6ZT	100%
Taney	CD	56.67%		Warren	CD	46.67%			6ZV	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%		Washington	CD	47.06%		Texas	CD	37.50%
Texas	CD	18.75%			6ZB	0.00%			6ZK	100%
Vernon	CD	25.00%		Wayne	CD	60.00%		Vernon	CD	25.00%
Warren	CD	30.43%		Webster	CD	70.37%		Warren	CD	44.83%
Washington	CD	57.14%		Wright	CD	41.86%		Washington	CD	42.11%
	6ZB	0.00%			6AW	0.00%			6ZB	0.00%
Wayne	CD	42.86%						Wayne	CD	72.73%
Webster	CD	71.70%						Webster	CD	67.27%
Wright	CD	50.00%						Wright	CD	41.30%
	6AW	0.00%							6AW	0.00%

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

#### Analysis of Table 16:

Number of counties that met the benchmark for timely permanency within 12-23 months: April (43), May (45), June (46).

Of 114 counties and St. Louis City, 30 counties met the benchmark of timely permanency for those in care 12-23 months all three months of the reporting period.

Table 17 depicts timely permanency for children who have been in foster care for 24 months or more. This data is displayed by each county and each agency. This number is calculated by dividing the number of children in foster care on the first day of a 12-month period who had been in foster care continuously for 24 months or more (denominator) by the number of children in the denominator who discharged to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period (numerator). The federal goal for this measure is 37.3%.

**Table 17: Permanency in 12 Months  
for Those in Care 24+ Months**

April 2024			May 2024			June 2024		
Federal Goal is 37.3%			Federal Goal is 37.3%			Federal Goal is 37.3%		
County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 24+ Months	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 24+ Months	County	Agency	Permanency in 12 Months for those in Care 24+ Months
Adair	CD	28.00%	Adair	CD	20.83%	Adair	CD	25.00%
Atchison	CD	33.33%	Atchison	CD	0.00%	Atchison	CD	0.00%
Audrain	CD	29.17%	Audrain	CD	30.43%	Audrain	CD	27.27%
	6AW	50.00%		6AW	50.00%		6AW	50.00%
Barry	CD	47.83%	Barry	CD	44.00%	Barry	CD	42.31%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%		6ZV	0.00%		6ZV	0.00%
	6ZT	33.33%		6ZT	33.33%		6ZT	33.33%
Barton	CD	50.00%	Barton	CD	75.00%	Barton	CD	50.00%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
Bates	CD	0.00%	Bates	CD	0.00%	Bates	CD	0.00%
Benton	CD	12.50%	Benton	CD	12.50%	Benton	CD	12.50%
Bollinger	CD	61.54%	Bollinger	6AW	0.00%	Bollinger	CD	60.00%
	6AW	0.00%		CD	71.43%		6AW	0.00%
Boone	CD	40.87%	Boone	6AW	0.00%	Boone	CD	41.32%
	6AW	12.50%		CD	41.23%		6AW	14.29%
	6ZJ	12.12%		6AW	12.50%		6ZJ	10.00%
Buchanan	CD	7.14%	Buchanan	6ZJ	9.68%	Buchanan	CD	7.14%
	CD	22.81%		CD	7.14%		CD	30.36%
Butler	6AW	0.00%	Butler	6AW	0.00%	Butler	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	58.33%		6ZS	63.64%		6ZS	63.64%
Caldwell	CD	20.00%	Caldwell	CD	20.00%	Caldwell	CD	20.00%
Callaway	CD	12.50%	Callaway	CD	12.20%	Callaway	CD	16.28%
	6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	16.67%		6ZJ	16.67%		6ZJ	16.67%
Camden	CD	29.41%	Camden	6ZJ	16.67%	Camden	CD	21.05%
	6AW	0.00%		CD	26.32%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZK	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6ZK	0.00%
Cape Girardeau	CD	39.06%	Cape Girardeau	6ZK	0.00%	Cape Girardeau	CD	48.65%
Carroll	CD	0.00%	Cape Girardeau	CD	46.84%	Carroll	CD	0.00%
Carter	CD	0.00%	Carroll	CD	0.00%	Carter	CD	60.00%
Cass	CD	23.08%	Carter	CD	60.00%	Cass	CD	33.33%
	6AW	0.00%	Cass	CD	33.33%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZO	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6ZO	0.00%
	6ZR	0.00%		6ZO	0.00%		6ZR	0.00%
Cedar	CD	25.00%	Cedar	6ZR	0.00%	Cedar	CD	25.00%
	6AW	0.00%		CD	25.00%		6AW	0.00%
Chariton	CD	0.00%	Cedar	6AW	0.00%	Chariton	CD	28.57%
Christian	CD	62.00%	Chariton	CD	28.57%	Christian	CD	56.00%
	6AW	0.00%		CD	58.82%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	0.00%		6AW	0.00%		6ZM	0.00%
Clark	CD	22.22%	Clark	6ZM	0.00%	Clark	CD	0.00%
Clay	CD	42.11%	Clark	CD	0.00%	Clay	CD	47.83%
Clinton	CD	0.00%	Clay	CD	41.86%	Clinton	CD	10.00%
Cole	CD	30.43%	Clinton	CD	0.00%	Cole	CD	34.00%
	6AW	0.00%	Cole	CD	36.00%		6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	45.45%		6AW	0.00%		6ZJ	20.00%
Cooper	CD	16.67%		6ZJ	33.33%	Cooper	CD	42.86%
Crawford	CD	28.57%	Cooper	CD	28.57%	Crawford	CD	25.00%
Dade	CD	100%	Crawford	CD	23.08%	Dade	CD	100%
Dallas	CD	25.00%	Dade	CD	100%	Dallas	CD	12.50%

	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	50.00%
DeKalb	CD	46.88%
Dent	CD	57.50%
Douglas	CD	66.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	16.67%
	6AW	100%
	6ZS	0.00%
Franklin	CD	39.76%
	6AW	11.11%
	6ZA	50.00%
	6ZC	33.33%
Gasconade	CD	33.33%
Gentry	CD	33.33%
Greene	CD	57.97%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	0.00%
	6ZM	14.81%
	6ZT	0.00%
	6ZV	100%
Grundy	CD	80.00%
Harrison	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	73.08%
	6AW	0.00%
Hickory	CD	100%
	6AW	0.00%
Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	20.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Howell	CD	4.55%
Iron	CD	14.29%
Jackson	CD	43.23%
	6AW	5.71%
	6ZO	9.57%
	6ZR	11.63%
Jasper	CD	46.96%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	16.67%
Jefferson	CD	48.57%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	8.33%
	6ZB	28.21%
	6ZC	20.00%
Johnson	CD	72.22%
	6AW	25.00%
Knox	CD	50.00%
Laclede	CD	36.36%
	6AW	100%
	6ZK	10.00%
Lafayette	CD	19.05%
Lawrence	CD	57.58%
	6AW	16.67%
	6ZT	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%
Lewis	CD	100%
Lincoln	CD	40.48%
	6AW	0.00%
Linn	CD	24.00%

Dallas	CD	12.50%
	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	50.00%
DeKalb	CD	61.29%
Dent	CD	56.76%
Douglas	CD	57.14%
	6AW	0.00%
	CD	18.75%
Dunklin	6AW	100%
	6ZS	33.33%
	CD	37.80%
Franklin	6AW	11.11%
	6ZA	50.00%
	6ZC	50.00%
	CD	33.33%
Gasconade	CD	33.33%
Gentry	CD	0.00%
Greene	CD	53.33%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	0.00%
	6ZM	4.00%
	6ZT	33.33%
	6ZV	100%
	CD	80.00%
Grundy	CD	80.00%
Harrison	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	73.08%
	6AW	0.00%
Hickory	CD	100%
	6AW	0.00%
Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	25.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Howell	CD	13.64%
Iron	CD	14.29%
Jackson	CD	41.75%
	6AW	5.71%
	6ZO	10.10%
	6ZR	15.91%
Jasper	CD	51.28%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	16.67%
Jefferson	CD	49.29%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	7.14%
	6ZB	26.32%
	6ZC	20.00%
Johnson	CD	69.23%
	6AW	25.00%
	CD	50.00%
Knox	CD	29.63%
	6AW	100%
	6ZK	10.00%
Lafayette	CD	33.33%
Lawrence	CD	67.65%
	6AW	16.67%
	6ZT	50.00%
	6ZV	0.00%
	CD	100%
Lewis	CD	100%
Lincoln	CD	40.00%
	6AW	50.00%

	6AW	0.00%
Daviess	CD	50.00%
DeKalb	CD	63.33%
Dent	CD	55.56%
Douglas	CD	57.14%
	6AW	0.00%
Dunklin	CD	20.00%
	6AW	100%
	6ZS	33.33%
Franklin	CD	38.55%
	6AW	11.11%
	6ZA	50.00%
	6ZC	50.00%
Gasconade	CD	33.33%
Gentry	CD	0.00%
Greene	CD	50.78%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	0.00%
	6ZM	4.00%
	6ZT	33.33%
	6ZV	100%
Grundy	CD	80.00%
Harrison	CD	0.00%
Henry	CD	84.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Hickory	CD	100%
	6AW	0.00%
Holt	CD	0.00%
Howard	CD	50.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Howell	CD	33.33%
Iron	CD	14.29%
Jackson	CD	41.61%
	6AW	2.94%
	6ZO	8.25%
	6ZR	11.90%
Jasper	CD	51.69%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	17.65%
Jefferson	CD	49.28%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	28.57%
	6ZB	31.58%
	6ZC	20.00%
Johnson	CD	62.96%
	6AW	25.00%
Knox	CD	50.00%
Laclede	CD	32.14%
	6AW	100%
	6ZK	10.00%
Lafayette	CD	33.33%
Lawrence	CD	70.59%
	6AW	10.00%
	6ZT	50.00%
	6ZV	0.00%
Lewis	CD	100%
Lincoln	CD	38.64%
	6AW	50.00%
Linn	CD	27.27%

	6AW	100%
Livingston	CD	23.08%
Macon	CD	27.59%
Madison	CD	0.00%
Maries	CD	33.33%
Marion	CD	36.62%
	6AW	25.00%
McDonald	CD	38.46%
	6AW	33.33%
Miller	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	12.50%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	0.00%
Monroe	CD	29.41%
Montgomery	CD	43.75%
Morgan	CD	66.28%
	6AW	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	31.71%
	6AW	0.00%
Newton	CD	65.22%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	42.86%
Nodaway	CD	75.00%
Oregon	CD	15.38%
Osage	CD	66.67%
Ozark	CD	7.14%
	6AW	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	37.50%
	6AW	50.00%
Perry	CD	0.00%
Pettis	CD	16.67%
Phelps	CD	20.00%
	6ZK	16.67%
Pike	CD	55.56%
	6AW	0.00%
Platte	CD	67.53%
Polk	CD	18.18%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	49.28%
	6ZK	28.57%
Putnam	CD	33.33%
Ralls	CD	44.44%
Randolph	CD	34.78%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	50.00%
Ray	CD	25.00%
Reynolds	CD	0.00%
Ripley	CD	65.91%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	50.00%
Saline	CD	40.00%
Schuyler	CD	20.00%
Scotland	CD	42.86%
Scott	CD	40.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Shannon	CD	22.22%
Shelby	CD	40.00%
St. Charles	CD	54.55%

Linn	CD	22.73%
	6AW	100%
Livingston	CD	23.08%
Macon	CD	28.57%
Madison	CD	0.00%
Maries	CD	50.00%
Marion	CD	31.34%
	6AW	25.00%
McDonald	CD	69.23%
	6AW	33.33%
Miller	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	12.50%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	0.00%
Monroe	CD	41.67%
Montgomery	CD	46.67%
Morgan	CD	74.16%
	6AW	0.00%
New Madrid	CD	31.43%
	6AW	0.00%
Newton	CD	66.67%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	42.86%
Nodaway	CD	66.67%
Oregon	CD	13.33%
Osage	CD	66.67%
Ozark	CD	14.29%
	6AW	0.00%
Pemiscot	CD	37.50%
	6AW	50.00%
Perry	CD	0.00%
Pettis	CD	12.50%
Phelps	CD	14.71%
	6ZK	16.67%
Pike	CD	50.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Platte	CD	68.00%
Polk	CD	16.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	50.00%
	6ZK	28.57%
Putnam	CD	25.00%
Ralls	CD	42.11%
Randolph	CD	27.27%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	33.33%
Ray	CD	100%
Reynolds	CD	0.00%
Ripley	CD	56.76%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	50.00%
Saline	CD	40.00%
Schuyler	CD	100%
Scotland	CD	42.86%
Scott	CD	42.31%
	6AW	0.00%
Shannon	CD	36.36%
Shelby	CD	40.00%

	6AW	100%
Livingston	CD	23.08%
Macon	CD	19.23%
Madison	CD	0.00%
Maries	CD	100%
Marion	CD	28.77%
	6AW	25.00%
McDonald	CD	63.64%
	6AW	33.33%
Miller	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Mississippi	CD	25.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Moniteau	CD	0.00%
Monroe	CD	54.55%
Montgomery	CD	46.67%
Morgan	6AW	0.00%
	CD	76.19%
	6AW	0.00%
	CD	31.43%
Newton	6AW	0.00%
	CD	67.86%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZL	42.86%
	CD	50.00%
Oregon	CD	13.33%
Osage	CD	66.67%
Ozark	CD	14.29%
Pemiscot	CD	26.37%
	6AW	50.00%
Perry	CD	0.00%
Pettis	CD	16.00%
Phelps	CD	14.71%
	6ZK	16.67%
Pike	CD	46.67%
	6AW	0.00%
Platte	CD	76.39%
Polk	CD	58.33%
	6AW	0.00%
Pulaski	CD	50.00%
	6ZK	28.57%
Putnam	CD	25.00%
Ralls	CD	38.89%
Randolph	CD	16.67%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZJ	25.00%
Ray	CD	100%
Reynolds	CD	0.00%
Ripley	CD	64.86%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	0.00%
Saline	CD	40.00%
Schuyler	CD	100%
Scotland	CD	42.86%
Scott	CD	40.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Shannon	CD	22.22%
Shelby	CD	0.00%
St. Charles	CD	54.37%



	6AW	33.33%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	0.00%
St. Clair	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	49.28%
	6ZC	14.29%
St. Louis City	CD	40.80%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	11.76%
	6ZB	13.79%
St. Louis County	6ZC	12.50%
	CD	26.64%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	3.64%
Ste. Genevieve	6ZB	5.13%
	6ZC	7.69%
	CD	10.00%
Stoddard	CD	21.43%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	50.00%
Stone	CD	64.71%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
Sullivan	CD	6.67%
	6ZV	0.00%
Taney	CD	38.10%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	100%
	6ZT	66.67%
Texas	6ZV	0.00%
	CD	31.25%
	6AW	0.00%
Vernon	6ZK	0.00%
	CD	28.57%
Warren	6AW	0.00%
	CD	19.23%
Washington	6AW	25.00%
	CD	30.43%
Wayne	6ZB	42.86%
	CD	46.67%
Webster	CD	55.81%
	6AW	0.00%
Wright	CD	45.71%
	6AW	0.00%

St. Charles	CD	55.34%
	6AW	33.33%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	0.00%
St. Clair	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	0.00%
	6ZC	14.29%
St. Louis City	CD	39.73%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	12.50%
	6ZB	9.68%
	6ZC	6.25%
	CD	25.72%
St. Louis County	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	5.26%
	6ZB	8.33%
	6ZC	6.67%
Ste. Genevieve	CD	40.00%
	CD	21.43%
	6AW	0.00%
Stoddard	6ZS	0.00%
	CD	64.71%
	6AW	0.00%
Stone	6ZT	100%
	CD	17.65%
	6ZV	0.00%
Sullivan	CD	44.29%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	100%
	6ZT	100%
	6ZV	0.00%
Taney	CD	27.78%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZK	0.00%
Texas	CD	28.57%
	6AW	0.00%
Vernon	CD	21.43%
	6AW	25.00%
Warren	CD	33.33%
	6ZB	42.86%
Washington	CD	41.18%
	6AW	57.14%
Wayne	CD	0.00%
	CD	62.16%
Webster	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%
Wright	CD	0.00%
	6AW	0.00%

	6AW	33.33%
	6ZB	50.00%
	6ZC	0.00%
St. Clair	CD	20.00%
	6AW	0.00%
St. Francois	CD	40.74%
	6ZC	28.57%
St. Louis City	CD	40.34%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	12.77%
	6ZB	9.68%
	6ZC	6.25%
St. Louis County	CD	25.27%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZA	5.17%
	6ZB	11.11%
Ste. Genevieve	6ZC	6.45%
	CD	40.00%
Stoddard	CD	23.08%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZS	0.00%
Stone	CD	57.14%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZT	100%
Sullivan	CD	0.00%
	6ZV	0.00%
Taney	CD	44.78%
	6AW	0.00%
	6ZM	100%
	6ZT	100%
Texas	6ZV	0.00%
	CD	27.78%
	6AW	0.00%
Vernon	6ZK	0.00%
	CD	14.29%
Warren	6AW	0.00%
	CD	24.14%
Washington	6AW	25.00%
	CD	36.36%
Wayne	6ZB	42.86%
	CD	41.67%
Webster	CD	56.10%
	6AW	0.00%
Wright	CD	62.16%
	6AW	0.00%


\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

## Analysis of Table 17:

Number of counties that met the benchmark for timely permanency in 24 months or more: April (18), May (26), June (24).


Of 114 counties and St. Louis City, 15 counties met the benchmark of timely permanency for those in care 24 months or more all three months of the reporting period.

Chart 28 depicts whether permanency is achieved within 12 months of children entering foster care. This data is displayed by each agency statewide.




\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

Chart 29 depicts whether permanency is achieved for children who have been in foster care for at least 12 months and not more than 23 months. This data is displayed by each agency statewide.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

Chart 30 depicts whether permanency is achieved for children and youth in care for 24 months or more. This data is displayed by each agency statewide.



\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBHM0 JIRA 5150 April-June 2024

Analysis of Charts 28-30:


Number of agencies that met the measure of timely permanency for those entering care within 12 months all three months of the reporting period: 1.

Number of agencies that met the measure of timely permanency within 12-23 months all three months of the reporting period: 5.

Number of agencies that met the measure of timely permanency for those in care 24 months or more all three months of the reporting period: 3.

Child and Family Services Review Data: Item 6 of the CFSR assesses whether concerted efforts were made to achieve the case goal.

Chart 31 depicts the percentage of cases in which sufficient efforts were made to achieve the case goal in a timely manner. This chart reflects a statewide view that includes both CD and any FCCM agencies who had cases reviewed. N=the number of cases reviewed for this item.



\*Source: Missouri CFSR-Item 6 Data, April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 31: Of the 23 cases reviewed, 5 cases were rated Strength. Timely identification of a case goal, consistent parent engagement, and timely identification of a permanent placement were common factors in those cases.

Eighteen cases were rated ANI for this item. Contributing factors included a lack of timely court hearings and termination of parental rights filings, a lack of preparation of paperwork for a termination of parental rights hearing or adoption subsidy, a lack of increase in parent-child visitation, lack of engagement with parents to provide services to move the case toward permanency, and failure to change the case goal from reunification in a timely manner or to work toward a concurrent goal.

#### Data Analysis:

Twenty-three (23) counties and one agency met the measure of timely permanency within 12 months for April, May, and June 2024.

Thirty (30) counties and five agencies met the measure of timely permanency within 12-23 months for April, May, and June 2024.

Fifteen (15) counties and three agencies met the measure of timely permanency for those in care 24 months or more for April, May, and June 2024.

CFSR data indicates that the state is not meeting the federal goal of 95% for timely achievement of the case goal. There is a decrease of 13% in cases rated Strength from the previous reporting period.

## K. Service Domain: Effective Ratio of Supervisors to Supervision of Case Managers

This measures the number of Supervisors to Case Managers for children in foster care. Chart 30 depicts the Supervisor to Case Manager ratio for FCCM agencies from April to June of 2024. The Response and Evaluation Team will determine the benchmark for this measure once enough data is collected to establish a reasonable goal.

**Chart 32: FCCM Supervisor to Case Manager Ratio**

April 2024		May 2024		June 2024	
6AW	10:38	6AW	9:37	6AW	9:37
6ZA	11:42	6ZA	11:45	6ZA	12:48
6ZB	13:28	6ZB	13:31	6ZB	11:27
6ZC	8:34	6ZC	8:33	6ZC	8:36
6ZJ	6:29	6ZJ	7:28	6ZJ	7:29
6ZK	2:7	6ZK	1:5	6ZK	2:9
6ZL	3:13	6ZL	3:12	6ZL	3:12
6ZM	4:21	6ZM	4:21	6ZM	4:20
6ZO	5:33	6ZO	5:29	6ZO	5:28
6ZR	7:35	6ZR	7:31	6ZR	7:30
6ZS	3:15	6ZS	3:13	6ZS	3:14
6ZV	3:11	6ZV	3:11	6ZV	3:12

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBIM0 JIRA 5055 April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 32: FCCM Supervisor to Case Manager ratios range from 2:7 to 13:31, April through June of 2024.

Chart 33 depicts Supervisor to Case Manager ratios for Children’s Division by each circuit. The reason it is displayed by circuit instead of total for the agency is due to the concentration of children case managed by Children’s Division as compared to individual Foster Care Case Management agencies.

**Chart 33: CD Supervisor to Case Manager Ratio**

CD LS1 Supervisor to Worker Ratio April 2024			CD LS1 Supervisor to Worker Ratio May 2024			CD LS1 Supervisor to Worker Ratio June 2024		
CIR 01	1:1	CIR 01	1:1	CIR 01	1:1			
CIR 02	3:7	CIR 02	3:7	CIR 02	3:5			
CIR 03	1:3	CIR 03	1:3	CIR 03	1:3			
CIR 04	2:3	CIR 04	2:5	CIR 04	2:4			
CIR 05	2:8	CIR 05	2:8	CIR 05	2:8			
CIR 06	1:3	CIR 06	1:3	CIR 06	1:3			
CIR 07	4:11	CIR 07	3:11	CIR 07	3:11			
CIR 08	1:1	CIR 08	1:1	CIR 08	1:1			
CIR 09	2:5	CIR 09	1:4	CIR 09	1:4			
CIR 10	4:11	CIR 10	4:13	CIR 10	4:15			
CIR 11	2:6	CIR 11	2:6	CIR 11	2:7			
CIR 12	2:8	CIR 12	2:7	CIR 12	2:7			
CIR 13	5:11	CIR 13	5:12	CIR 13	5:12			
CIR 14	1:4	CIR 14	1:4	CIR 14	1:3			
CIR 15	1:5	CIR 15	1:5	CIR 15	1:5			
CIR 16	6:18	CIR 16	7:17	CIR 16	7:15			
CIR 17	4:12	CIR 17	4:11	CIR 17	3:11			
CIR 18	1:6	CIR 18	1:5	CIR 18	1:5			
CIR 19	1:5	CIR 19	1:5	CIR 19	1:5			
CIR 20	4:14	CIR 20	4:13	CIR 20	5:13			
CIR 21	6:26	CIR 21	5:25	CIR 21	5:23			
CIR 22	4:19	CIR 22	4:18	CIR 22	4:17			
CIR 23	4:11	CIR 23	4:11	CIR 23	3:10			
CIR 24	4:16	CIR 24	4:16	CIR 24	4:16			
CIR 25	3:15	CIR 25	3:13	CIR 25	3:11			
CIR 26	3:13	CIR 26	3:14	CIR 26	3:13			
CIR 27	3:10	CIR 27	3:11	CIR 27	3:10			
CIR 28	2:7	CIR 28	2:7	CIR 28	2:7			
CIR 29	4:16	CIR 29	4:18	CIR 29	4:18			
CIR 30	4:12	CIR 30	5:13	CIR 30	4:11			
CIR 31	6:22	CIR 31	6:22	CIR 31	6:19			
CIR 32	6:21	CIR 32	5:19	CIR 32	5:19			
CIR 33	2:6	CIR 33	2:6	CIR 33	2:7			
CIR 34	3:6	CIR 34	3:7	CIR 34	3:7			
CIR 35	3:13	CIR 35	3:12	CIR 35	3:11			
CIR 36	3:12	CIR 36	2:10	CIR 36	2:10			
CIR 37	2:8	CIR 37	2:7	CIR 37	2:7			
CIR 38	2:5	CIR 38	2:7	CIR 38	3:7			
CIR 39	2:14	CIR 39	3:12	CIR 39	3:12			
CIR 40	4:13	CIR 40	4:13	CIR 40	4:13			
CIR 41	2:6	CIR 41	2:6	CIR 41	2:6			
CIR 42	3:14	CIR 42	3:14	CIR 42	3:14			
CIR 43	2:7	CIR 43	2:7	CIR 43	2:7			
CIR 44	4:10	CIR 44	4:10	CIR 44	3:9			
CIR 45	3:9	CIR 45	3:9	CIR 45	3:9			
CIR 46	2:6	CIR 46	2:9	CIR 46	2:9			

\*Source: DSS\Research Report RS5HBIM0 JIRA 5055 April-June 2024

Analysis of Chart 33: Children’s Division Supervisor to Case Manager ratios by Circuit range from 1:1 to 6:26 April through June of 2024.

Data Analysis Summary: As a state, Supervisor to Case Manager ratios ranged from 1:1 to 13:31, January-March 2024.

## **L. Service Domain: Cases Returned to CD for Catastrophic Costs/Court Order**

The intent of the measure was to monitor cases returned to Children's Division when FCCM agencies experience catastrophic costs. However, there is no available data as it is no longer the practice for FCCM agencies to return cases due to high cost. The new practice is for Children's Division to take over the placement cost once a threshold of \$100,000.00 is reached within a 12-month timeframe. The case will remain with the FCCM for all other case management services. The last FCCM case returned to Children's Division for this reason was in August 2022. The practice was ended in October 2022.

### **Technical Assistance**

Between June 2024 and September 2024, Technical Assistance meetings have occurred throughout all regions of the state. The purpose of these meetings was to collaborate between CD FCCM Oversight, FCCM Quality Assurance, and Children's Division Regional Field Operations Specialists for local continuous quality improvement. The goal of bringing both FCCM and CD partners together is to review data trends, identify areas of needed improvement, set goals for the following quarter, and share best practice efforts to meet goals.

During the meetings, HB1414 data was reviewed, and informal plans were established for ways to improve the data. Emphasis was placed on improvement of the Worker-Parent metric. FCCM and CD teams were able to collaborate with one another to discuss ways to overcome barriers to meeting the metric of Worker-Parent visits. One strategy included plans to implement "data days" where every worker is in the office documenting case activities that had occurred throughout the month at the same time. Another strategy included weekly consultations between caseworkers and their supervisors to provide updates on the progress toward visiting with all parents for the month.

There have also been several instances of CD Oversight staff visiting FCCM work sites during their data entry days to provide technical assistance with accurate FACES data entry.

All areas of Technical Assistance are ongoing and regional meetings between FCCM and CD teams will continue on a quarterly basis.

### **Conclusion**

House Bill 1414 Implementation continues to be ongoing. Phase I began in October 2022, Phase II began in October 2023 and Phase III was projected for October 2024. There is progress being made in all areas of the work. As the data is collected, analyzed, and discussed, it is the intent of this legislation and work to make systematic recommendations to improve outcomes for children and families.

In April of 2024, a Request for Extension was made by the Response and Evaluation Team to the Director of the Department of Social Services for the postponement of a portion of Phase II and

all of Phase III until 2025 and 2026, respectively. This request for postponement has been approved. The legal basis for this request is pursuant to 13 CSR 35-35.100(3) (E).

Phase II requires that “All case managers and supervisors successfully complete training in providing trauma-informed and trauma-based services”. The training data has proved difficult and unreliable to measure as Children’s Division and the private Foster Care Case Management agencies do not share a common platform in which to record and track staff training completion. A new training tracking system is scheduled to be implemented later in 2025 which is expected to resolve this issue.

Phase III requires measurement of multiple metrics which would require modification of the current computer system. All changes to the current computer system have been discontinued in order to concentrate resources on the creation of a new computer system, expected in 2025-2026. The new system is being designed to capture all the required data in Phase III.

The next reporting period is July 1, 2024 through September 30, 2024 with the report to be published by January 1, 2025.

For previous reports, please visit our website: [https://dss.mo.gov/hb\\_1414.html](https://dss.mo.gov/hb_1414.html)