DRUG PRIOR AUTHORIZATION COMMITTEE MEETING **September 16. 2010** 205 JEFFERSON STREET JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

Committee Members Present

Henry Petry, DO, Chairman Joe Parks, MD (via teleconference) Conrad Balcer, DO Pat Bryant, PharmD

Committee Members Absent

Steve Calloway, RPh Gene Forrester, RPh

Contractors Present

Jennifer Kemp-Cornelius, PharmD, ACS Mark Roaseau, PharmD, MD, ACS Sophie Backes, ACS Tom Beetem, RPh, IFOX Morgan Sperry, PharmD UMKC, DIC David Preston, UMKC, DIC Rick Pope, PharmD, First Health Services

Others in Attendance*

Jim Graham, Centocor Ortho Biotech Sarah Heldman, Student Diane Racicot, Strativa Sheri Henderson, Pfizer, Inc. Phil King, Pfizer, Inc. William Dozier, Gilead Marcus Braddly, Achelion Chad Stewart, Boehringer Ingelheim

Drug PA Committee Page 1 September 16, 2010

MO HealthNet Staff Present

George L. Oestreich, PharmD, Dep. Division Dir Rhonda Driver, RPh, Director Pharmacy Tisha McGowan, Unit Supervisor Andrew Haslag, Fiscal Manager Jayne Zemmer, Program Manager Beth McQuaide. Special Assistant DJ Johnson, Program Development Specialist Allison Lauf, RN Mary Heet, RN Jenna Twehus, RN

Ashley Nixon-Mongler, Student Intern

David Rhein, Lilly Lisa Steelman, Novartis Brian Hutchinson, Acorda Tracy Gaseri, UCB C. Griffith, UCB Glenda Lewis, Gilead Lisa Gaughan, Actelion John Harris Abbott

Terry Rehmus, Centocor Ortho Biotech Randy Beckner, GlaxoSmithKline Eric Gardner, Pfizer, Inc. Rick Learner, Pfizer, Inc. Rick Vissing, Pfizer, Inc. Sam Smothers. MedImmune Jeff Knappen, Allergan Maurice Jackson, Boehringer Ingelheim

| Paul Setlak, Abbott | Susan Zalenski, Johnson and Jo | hnson Grant Cale, BMS |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Patty Minear, Eli Lily | Don Larson, Forest | Jeff Himmelberg, GlaxoSmithKline |
| Michael Jones, GlaxoSmithKline | Debbie King, Amgen | Brad Clay, Amgen |
| Kim Lanergan, Astra Zeneca | Carl A. Curtis, Astra Zeneca | Scott Edelhauser, Alcon |
| Ted Berden, Alcon | Deena Kegler-Ebo, Acorda | Ron Schnare, Shire |
| Deborah Mance, Biogen | Lon Lowrey | Jared Lurk, Novartis |
| Cindi Keele, NAMI Missouri | Jim McNamara ViiV Healthcare | James Osborne, GlaxoSmithKline |

*Many names on the sign-in sheet were illegible/Sign-in sheet on file for review

| Wolcomo Introductions and | The meeting was called to order by Chairman, Henry Petry, DO at 10:00 a.m. |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Welcome, Introductions and | The meeting was called to order by Chairman, Henry Petry, DO at 10:00 a.m. |
| Opening Remarks | Copies of correspondence received by the Division regarding products under |
| | review this quarter was shared with the Committee. Each letter was responded |
| | to by the MO HealthNet Division (MHD). Ashley Nixon-Mongler a student intern |
| | with UMKC-Columbia was introduced. |
| Minutes Approval | Minutes from the June 2010 meeting were approved as submitted. |
| Pharmacy Program Budget | Due to the long agenda and in the interest of time the usual PowerPoint |
| Update | presentation was waived. George L. Oestreich, PharmD, MPA, Deputy Division |
| opuato | Director provided a brief overview of the budget, The Unit has been working on |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | the Health Information Exchange (HIE) initiative, the implementation of a |
| | radiology benefit management tool, inpatient certification. Dr. Oestreich alluded |
| | to a new tool under development for internal and external case management. |
| | Dr. Oestreich responded to a question from the audience regarding rumors that |
| | the state was considering rolling pharmacy back to managed care from Fee-for- |
| | Service. There is no plan to return the pharmacy benefit to managed care. |
| DUR Report | Tisha McGowan, Unit Supervisor reported the DUR Board met in July; reviewed |
| • | and ratified the Drug PA Committee's June meeting recommendations. |
| Old Business | |
| Clinical Edits | •Discussion-Rhonda Driver, RPh, Director Pharmacy Program reviewed the edit |
| Smoking Cessation in Pregnant | proposal document noting all medications are available however must be used |
| Women | in conjunction with appropriate behavioral interventions if more than 30 days |
| | use is required as recommended in all guidelines. Discussion ensued |
| | surrounding these drugs and their Class C rating for use in pregnancy. Ms. |
| | 1 surrounding these drugs and their class o rating for use in pregnancy. Wis. |

Drug PA Committee Page 2 September 16, 2010

| Implementation Schedule | Driver explained use will be at the discretion of the prescriber. •Public Hearing-No comments were entered. •Decision-Following this discussion the Committee vote to accept the edit as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) An updated copy of the <i>Proposed Implementation Schedule for Edits</i> was included in the members' meeting packet and as a handout to all attendees. The schedule had been updated with all edits approved at the last quarter's meeting. This included the implementation following the annual review for one third of the PDL approved in June and July. She noted that the Atypical Antipsychotic edit is scheduled for implementation in mid October. The schedule may be found on the MO HealthNet Division's (MHD) Web-site at http://dss.missouri.gov/mhd/cs/pharmacy/imsched.pdf . |
|-------------------------|---|
| New Business | nttp://doc.micocurr.gov/mina/co/priarmacy/imconcu.par. |
| New Drug Review | Discussion- Drug monographs for new products identified in April, May and June by first Data Bank and reviewed during this quarter were available at http://www.heritage-info.com/mohealthnet. A listing of products detailing MHD's recommendations for open access, clinical edit, as a PDL product or for continued prior authorization was provided in the Members' meeting packet for discussion and action. This listing was also provided as a handout to all in attendance. Ms. Driver reviewed the recommendations and responded to Committee questions. Public Hearing-Kim Lonergan, RN, MSN speaking for Astra Zeneca in support of Vimovo®. Ms. Lonergan focused on the unique aspects of the product, including the delayed release of naproxen. She summarized two studies of the product also focusing on the unique aspects of each. She stated the product was developed for a very targeted patient population, those needing long term NSAID therapy and not intended or acute use. She discussed patient tolerance and product safety. David Rhein, PharmD, Eli Lilly addressed the Committee in support of Livalo®. Dr. discussed product indications, dose range, contraindications. Effacacy evaluation trials were summarized. Adverse reactions to the product and safety data were discussed. Drug metabolization was discussed and Dr. Rhein stated this reduces the potential for drug-drug interaction for patients on multiple medications. |

Drug PA Committee Page 3 September 16, 2010

| | Decision-Following this discussion the Committee voted to accept the new drug recommendations as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) |
|--|--|
| PDL Edits | Copies of proposed criteria for all classes under review were provided in the member's meeting packets as well as to all attending. An annual review was conducted for the drug classes with Preferred Drug List (PDL) contracts expiring in January 2011. |
| Ace Inhibitors | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was being recommended for this class from the previous year's status. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) |
| Ace Inhibitor/Diuretic Combination | Discussion- Ms. Driver reviewed the criteria document noting no change to the current edit. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) |
| Ace Inhibitor/Calcium Channel Blocker Combinations | Discussion- Ms. Driver summarized this document noting no changes to the current edit. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. In the interested of time the Committee agreed to block votes for edits with no recommended or only new product additions to the previous year's edit, unless discussion warranted a separate vote. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Alpha-Glucosidase Inhibitors | Discussion- Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status had not changed. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Alzheimer's Agents | Discussion- Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status and approval |

Drug PA Committee Page 4 September 16, 2010

| | suitaria. Na abanya ta punduat ayang ayan baing panggan and dujith the |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | criteria. No change to product coverage was being recommended with the |
| | exception the movement of Rivastigmine Caps to preferred status. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Angiotensin Receptor Blockers | Discussion- Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. A new product, |
| | Losartan, was added to the edit in non preferred status. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Angiotensin Receptor | Discussion- Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| Blocker/Diuretic Combinations | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. New product |
| | Losartan HCTZ was added to non preferred status. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Antidiabetic Combination Agents | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. A new product, |
| | ActosplusMet XR® was added to non preferred status. Ms. Driver reminded |
| | members that the additional criteria surrounding heart failure and TZD products |
| | will continue with this edit. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Biguanides | Discussion Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was |
| | being recommended for this class which is a generics first edit. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block I Roll Call Vote) |
| Bone Ossification Suppression | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| Agents/Calcitonins | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. Boniva® Tabs |
| 9 | production of production production production production both video Table |

Drug PA Committee Page 5 September 16, 2010

| | were moved from preferred status to non preferred and Prolia® Syringe, a new product and the first biologic to this class, was added to non preferred status. • Public Hearing- Brad Clay, PharmD, Amgen shared information on the product Prolia®. He noted that the company is not seeking preferred status, however would ask the Committee to consider amending the approval criteria to allow trial and failure of one rather than two preferred agents to reach the product transparently. Dr. Clay shared slides discussing indications, administration, bone remodeling and the action of Prolia®. Two studies were summarized. Safety and adverse events were discussed. Questions were answered regarding mechanism of action. Extensive discussion ensued surrounding the definition of trial and fail in the editing process. • Decision- Following review of the proposal and public comment the recommendation was approved as submitted. (See Roll Call Vote) |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Direct Renin Inhibitor | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting that |
| Direct Renin Inhibitor/Combinations | all products were recommended for preferred status including a new addition to |
| Direct Kermi minotor/Combinations | the class, Valturna®. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) |
| Electrolye Depleters | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting no |
| Liouroly Dopletois | changes to the products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. |
| | Public Hearing-No comment was entered |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block II Roll Call Vote) |
| Herpes Antivirals | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was |
| | being recommended for this therapeutic class. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block II Roll Call Vote) |
| DMARDS | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | addition of two new products, Actemra® and Stelara® to non preferred status. |
| | Clinical criteria including appropriate diagnosis and history of methotrexate trial |
| L | 5 11 1 5 2 2 2 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |

Drug PA Committee Page 6 September 16, 2010

| | romain in place. The trial and failure on professed agents was also lawared |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | remain in place. The trial and failure on preferred agents was also lowered |
| | from three preferred agents to two to reach a non preferred agent. |
| | Public Hearing-Curt Griffith, PharmD with UCB asked the Committee to |
| | consider Cimzia® for preferred status. Dr. Griffith asked for clarification on trial |
| | and failure and also for clarification surrounding the diagnosis of Chron's |
| | Disease. Ms. Driver summarized clinical criteria within the document. Dr, |
| | Griffith discussed the expansion of the class and ACR criteria. This lead to |
| | discussion of MHDplans for specialty management and edit development over |
| | the next five to seven years, Terry Rehmus, PharmD, Centocor Ortho Biotech |
| | provided information on the new product Sterla® a first in class biologic |
| | treatment for plague psoriasis. Product indications, administration and dosing |
| | were summarized. Dr. Rehmus provided data from product studies. Side |
| | effects were reviewed. The Committee was asked to consider creating a |
| | psoriasis specific category as the product is not a DMARD. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal, the public comment and |
| | discussion the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. |
| | (See Roll Call Vote) |
| Leukotriene Modifiers | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status Zyflo CR ® was |
| | moved to non preferred status and new product Zyflo® was added to the edit in |
| | non preferred status. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| Lipotropics-Niacin Preparations | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | movement of Simcor® from preferred to non preferred status. Clinical criteria |
| | for Simcor® remain in place. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Abbott Pharmaceuticals noted |
| | there are two new dosage strengths of Simcor under review. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| | |
| Low Sedating Antihistamines | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | · |

| products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was |
|--|
| recommended |
| Public Hearing-No public comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No changes |
| were recommended. |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. This is a |
| generics first edit. No change was being recommended for this therapeutic |
| class |
| Public Hearing-no public comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Prandin® |
| was recommended as preferred and moved from the non preferred. Trial and |
| |
| failure on both preferred agents was recommended before reaching the non |
| preferred agent. |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Parising Faller in a provider of the grant and the Committee control to a count the grant and the committee country. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block III Roll Call Vote) |
| • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting a new |
| product addition to non preferred agents, Extavia®. A trial on two preferred |
| agents to reach the non preferred agent was added to approval criteria. Ms. |
| Driver clarified that the Division had no intentions of placing the product |
| Amprya® in this class in response to a question from the audience. |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) |
| |

Drug PA Committee Page 8 September 16, 2010

| Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status including the addition of a new product, Exalgo ER® to non preferred status. Clinical edit criteria remain in place. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Intranasal Steroids Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Ophthalmic Antihistamines Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers |
|---|
| addition of a new product, Exalgo ER® to non preferred status. Clinical edit criteria remain in place. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Intranasal Steroids Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Addition of a new product, Exalgo ER® to non preferred status. Clinical edit criteria document. No changes were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposed criteria document noting no |
| criteria remain in place. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Intranasal Steroids Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Intranasal Steroids Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Intranasal Steroids Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. • Public Hearing- No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. • Public Hearing-No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes were recommended. Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers |
| were recommended. • Public Hearing- No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. • Public Hearing-No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Public Hearing- No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Antihistamines Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. • Public Hearing-No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Ophthalmic Antihistamines ■ Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. ■ Public Hearing-No comments were entered. ■ Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers ■ Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| reviewed the proposed criteria document noting a new product addition to non preferred status, Azelastine Oph. • Public Hearing-No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| preferred status, Azelastine Oph. • Public Hearing-No comments were entered. • Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Ophthalmic Mast Cell Stabilizers • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| |
| also a ser to the a syliction and to sign |
| change to the existing criteria. |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) |
| Ophthalmic Qinolones • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status including the |
| addition of a new product, Zymaxid®, to non preferred status. |
| Public Hearing- No comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) |
| Ophthalmic Prostaglandin Agonists • Discussion-Ms. Driver summarized the proposed criteria document noting that |
| all products in the therapeutic class remain preferred following this review. |
| Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |

Drug PA Committee Page 9 September 16, 2010

| | recommendation as presented (Cas Plack IV Pall Call Vata) |
|--|---|
| Dulmanany Ilymantanaian | recommendation as presented. (See Block IV Roll Call Vote) |
| Pulmonary Hypertension | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria documents which in all yields of the proposed criteria documents which |
| Agents(Oral/Inhaled/Injectable) | included the movement of Adcirca® to preferred status for oral products. No |
| | changes were recommended for the inhaled/injectable edit. Ms. Driver pointed |
| | out the clinical criteria still in place for approval and also discussed Missouri's |
| | data that shows the state as on outlier compared to several other states in its |
| | utilization of this therapeutic class. Members suggested that MHD consider |
| | more stringent diagnostic criteria and wish to revisit this edit when additional |
| | data is available |
| | Public Hearing- Glenda Lewis, PharmD, Gilead reviewed a handout |
| | discussing the product Letaris®. Dr. Lewis focused on functional class |
| | indications, LFT profile, the products drug interaction profile, and guideline |
| | recommendations. She requested Letaris® be considered for preferred status. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal and public comment the |
| | Committee voted to accept the recommendations as presented. (See Roll Call |
| | Vote) |
| Otic Quinolones | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was |
| | being recommended for this therapeutic class. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Quinolones-Systemic | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No change |
| | was being recommended for this therapeutic class. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| and a state of | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| 2 nd Generation Sulfonylureas | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No change |
| | was being recommended for this therapeutic class. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Serotonin Receptor Agonists | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting the |

Drug PA Committee Page 10 September 16, 2010

| /Tuintous) | addition of a new mandrest to the theorem with all an Night to Night to Night to the |
|-----------------------------|--|
| (Triptans) | addition of a new product to the therapeutic class, Naratriptan. Naratriptan was |
| | recommended as a non preferred product. The maximum daily dosing edit for |
| | these products remains in the edit. |
| | Public Hearing- No public comment was entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented with modification to the compliance model for |
| | Relpax® to use claim count data. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Thiazolidinediones | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No change |
| | was being recommended for this therapeutic class. Heart failure risk clinical |
| | criteria will remain in place. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Topical Agents of Psoriasis | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Noting the |
| | products recommended for preferred and non-preferred status. No change was |
| | recommended for this therapeutic class. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Topical Retinoids | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Adapalene a |
| • | new entry to the therapeutic class was added to the edit in non preferred status. |
| | Ms. Driver pointed out clinical criteria remains in place for approval. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Ulcerative Colitis Agents | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria documents. Noting the |
| Oral and Rectal | shifting of Pentasa® to preferred status in the oral category. Ms Driver pointed |
| | out clinical criteria remains in place for approval. A reduction in the number of |
| | preferred agent trials to one to reach non preferred agents was recommended |
| | for the rectal agents. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendations as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| | 1 1000 minorialitation de production. (000 biook v 1001 buil voto) |

Drug PA Committee Page 11 September 16, 2010

| Vaginal Antibiotics | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No change |
|------------------------------------|--|
| - vaginai / ilitaliotios | to this therapeutic class was recommended. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call Vote) |
| Intranasal Antihistamines | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. Changes |
| | included the movement of Astelin® from preferred status to non preferred and |
| | the addition of new product, Azelastine Nasal to the non preferred side of the |
| | edit. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Crypytin Associated Periodic | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document noting no |
| Syndrome Agents | recommended changes to the existing edit. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Self-injectable Epinephrine Agents | • Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. The addition |
| | of two new products in the therapeutic class (Adrenaclick® and Epinephrine® to |
| | non preferred status was noted. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Tramdaol Like Agents | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No change to |
| namado. =mo / igomo | the existing criteria or product status was made. |
| | Public Hearing-No comments were entered. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal the Committee voted to accept the |
| | recommendation as presented. (See Block V Roll Call Vote) |
| Fibromyalgia Agents | Discussion-Ms. Driver reviewed the proposed criteria document. No changes |
| | to the current edit were recommended. |
| | Public Hearing-Rick Vissing, PharmD, Pfizer provided a brief synopsis of the |
| | product Lyrica®. He discussed the unique mechanism of action for the product. |
| | He discussed trials which lead to FDA approval of the product. He continued |

Drug PA Committee Page 12 September 16, 2010

| | his presentation with the management of the disease state and use of |
|----------------------------------|--|
| | multimodal therapy. He discussed the need for different mechanism of action |
| | for effective treatment stating both preferred products have the same |
| | mechanism of action. Dr. Vissing responded to questions regarding |
| | monotherapy versus combination therapy first line and the guidelines to support. |
| | He stated there were no published guidelines however; clinical practice |
| | consensus supports multimodal therapy. Discussion ensued surrounding a |
| | multidisciplinary approach for the treatment of this condition. |
| | Decision- Following review of the proposal and public comment the |
| | Committee voted to accept the recommendation as presented. (See Roll Call |
| | Vote) |
| Preferred Drug List Announcement | A handout of therapeutic categories for review and inclusion in the PDL during |
| | the next quarter was included in the meeting packet and to all meeting |
| | attendees. This listing will be posted to the Division's Web page at |
| | http://www.dss.mo.gov.mhd. The posting will also be updated with the MHD |
| | recommendations prior to the December Drug Prior Authorization Committee |
| | meeting. |
| Program Utilization Information | A listing of the top 25 drugs for all quarters of 2009 and the 1 st and 2 nd quarter |
| | 2010 was provided for the Committee's Information. Copies were available to |
| | all attendees. This information was shared by product and paid claims rankings. |
| Clinical Edit Summary Report | An overview of clinical edit and prior authorization transactions was provided for |
| | the month of August 2010 was included in the meeting packet. |
| Call Center Statistics | A handout detailing pharmacy help desk cal center activity was provided for all |
| | attending. Statistics for August 2010 were included. A report detailing |
| | CyberAccess™ activity from inception to present was also included. |
| Adjourn | The next meeting of the Committee is scheduled for December 16, 2010. A |
| | handout featuring meeting dates in 2011 was provided to all attendees. The |
| | Drug PA Committee went into executive session for the sole purpose of |
| | discussing individual participant specific medical information. At the conclusion |
| | of these discussions the group adjourned entertaining no further business, |
| | actions or motions. (See Roll Call Vote) |

Roll Call Votes September 16, 2010

| Member | New | Smoking | Ace | Ace | Block | Bone | Direct | Block | DMARDS | Block |
|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|----------|
| | Drug | Cessation | Inhibitors | Diuretic | Vote I | Ossification | Renin | Vote II | | Vote III |
| | Review | | | Combinations | | | | | | |
| Petry | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah |
| Forrester | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Calloway | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Bryant | Second | Yeah | Second | Motion | Second | Second | Yeah | Second | Second | Second |
| Balcer | Motion | Second | Motion | Second | Motion | Motion | Motion | Motion | Motion | Motion |
| Parks | Yeah | Motion | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Second | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah |

| Member | Block Vote IV | Pulmonary Hyperten Agents | Block Vote V | Fibromyalgia | Closed Session | Adjourn |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| Petry | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah |
| Forrester | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Calloway | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Bryant | Yeah | Second | Second | Second | Motion | Second |
| Balcer | Second | Motion | Motion | Motion | Second | Motion |
| Parks | Motion | Yeah | Yeah | Yeah | Absent | Absent |
| | | | | | | |

Executive Session September 16, 2010

Committee Members Present

Henry Petry, DO, Chairman Joe Parks, MD Conrad Balcer, DO Pat Bryant, PharmD Joe Parks, MD

Committee Members Absent

Steve Calloway, RPh Gene Forrester, RPh

Contractors Present

Jennifer Kemp-Cornelius, PharmD, ACS Mark Roaseau, PharmD, MD, ACS Sophie Backes, ACS Tom Beetem, RPh, IFOX Morgan Sperry, UMKC, DIC

MO HealthNet Staff Present

George L. Oestreich, PharmD, Dep. Division Dir Rhonda Driver, RPh, Director Pharmacy H. Diana Jones, Director Clinical Services Lisa Clements, PhD, Clinical Director Psychology Beth McQuaide, Special Assistant Andrew Haslag, Fiscal Manager Allison Lauf, RN Mary Heet, RN Jenna Twehus, RN DJ Johnson, Program Development Specialist Jackie Hickman, Unit Supervisor

| Minutes Review | Minutes of the June 2010 Executive Session were | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|
| | approved as submitted. | | |
| Case Reviews | No cases were presented for review due to the large ageda. | | |
| Adjourn | The meeting adjourned. (See Roll Call Votes) | | |

Drug PA Committee Page 15 September 16, 2010