



**Intensive In-Home Services  
Annual Report  
Fiscal Year 2017**

Missouri Department of Social Services  
Research and Data Analysis  
221 West High Street  
Broadway Building  
Jefferson City, MO 65102





ERIC R. GREITENS, GOVERNOR • STEVE CORSI, Psy.D., ACTING DIRECTOR

TIM DECKER, DIRECTOR  
CHILDREN'S DIVISION  
P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088  
WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2017. Material in this report covers the activities during July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. It includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting the program. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Data Analysis Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI  
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED  
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

*An Equal Opportunity Employer, services provided on a nondiscriminatory basis.*



# Table of Contents

<b>Families and Children Referred and Served .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Table 1. Families Referred for IIS .....	2
Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted .....	4
Table 3. Families and Children Accepted .....	6
Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code .....	8
Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month .....	10
Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted Percentages .....	10
Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention .....	11
Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site .....	11
Table 9. Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status .....	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables .....	14
<b>Heads of Household Served .....</b>	<b>17</b>
Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted .....	18
Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted .....	20
Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted .....	22
Table 13. Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted .....	24
Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted .....	28
Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	30
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables .....	31
<b>At-Risk Children Served .....</b>	<b>33</b>
Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	34
Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	36
Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	38
Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category .....	40
Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented .....	44
Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	48
Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables .....	49
<b>Outcomes for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services .....</b>	<b>51</b>
Table 22. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Substantiated CA/N Report for At-Risk Children .....	52
Table 23. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit ...	52
Table 24. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children .....	53
Table 25. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who entered LS1 within 48 months of their exit .....	53
Notes: Outcomes Tables .....	54



# **Families and Children Referred and Served**

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	145	67.8%	63	29.4%	6	2.8%	214
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>67.8%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>214</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	253	71.9%	99	28.1%	0	0.0%	352
	ST LOUIS CITY	165	77.5%	48	22.5%	0	0.0%	213
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>565</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	34	61.8%	21	38.2%	0	0.0%	55
	CALLAWAY	23	79.3%	6	20.7%	0	0.0%	29
	COLE	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	23
	HOWARD	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	13
	RANDOLPH	23	41.1%	33	58.9%	0	0.0%	56
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>176</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	5
	ATCHISON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	BUCHANAN	20	29.9%	46	68.7%	1	1.5%	67
	CALDWELL	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	CLINTON	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	7
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	HOLT	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	4
	NODAWAY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>44.2%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>104</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
DENT		3	30.0%	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	10
HOWELL		13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
IRON		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
MARIES		2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	4
OREGON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
PHELPS		24	77.4%	1	3.2%	6	19.4%	31
PULASKI		19	50.0%	10	26.3%	9	23.7%	38
REYNOLDS		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
SHANNON		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
TEXAS		5	38.5%	3	23.1%	5	38.5%	13
WAYNE		5	33.3%	10	66.7%	0	0.0%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>91</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14.8%</b>	<b>149</b>
SITE 736	BATES	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	7
	BENTON	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CAMDEN	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	0	0.0%	21
	DALLAS	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	9
	HENRY	5	26.3%	14	73.7%	0	0.0%	19
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LACLEDE	22	50.0%	21	47.7%	1	2.3%	44
	MILLER	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	0.0%	19
	MONITEAU	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	MORGAN	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	13
	POLK	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	10
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	19	43.2%	24	54.5%	1	2.3%	44
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>198</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	CEDAR	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	DADE	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	JASPER	39	41.1%	56	58.9%	0	0.0%	95
	MCDONALD	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	0	0.0%	21
	NEWTON	16	42.1%	22	57.9%	0	0.0%	38
	VERNON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>175</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	0	0.0%	21
	CHRISTIAN	16	57.1%	11	39.3%	1	3.6%	28
	DOUGLAS	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	0	0.0%	20
	LAWRENCE	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	18
	OZARK	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	5
	STONE	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	0	0.0%	19
	TANEY	49	62.0%	30	38.0%	0	0.0%	79
	WRIGHT	16	39.0%	25	61.0%	0	0.0%	41
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>231</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	124	59.3%	85	40.7%	0	0.0%	209
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>59.3%</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>209</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	GRUNDY	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	12
	HARRISON	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	0.0%	12
	LINN	5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	MERCER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	PUTNAM	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	SULLIVAN	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>58.3%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	11	36.7%	19	63.3%	0	0.0%	30
	MARION	14	63.6%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	22
	MONROE	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PIKE	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	0	0.0%	19
	RALLS	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	ST CHARLES	47	54.7%	39	45.3%	0	0.0%	86
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>166</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	16	34.0%	31	66.0%	0	0.0%	47
	CLARK	8	47.1%	9	52.9%	0	0.0%	17
	KNOX	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	8
	LEWIS	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	1	7.7%	13
	MACON	19	70.4%	8	29.6%	0	0.0%	27
	SCHUYLER	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	6
	SCOTLAND	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	SHELBY	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>51.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>133</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	15	68.2%	7	31.8%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		28	96.6%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	29
GASCONADE		8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9
JEFFERSON		74	74.7%	25	25.3%	0	0.0%	99
MONTGOMERY		9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
OSAGE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
WARREN		16	88.9%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	18
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>151</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
SITE 933	CASS	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	0	0.0%	30
	COOPER	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	JOHNSON	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	0.0%	19
	LAFAYETTE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	PETTIS	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	0	0.0%	20
	SALINE	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>97</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CLAY	7	38.9%	10	55.6%	1	5.6%	18
	PLATTE	3	21.4%	11	78.6%	0	0.0%	14
	RAY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>47.7%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	11	47.8%	12	52.2%	0	0.0%	23
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	38	40.0%	54	56.8%	3	3.2%	95
	MADISON	8	47.1%	8	47.1%	1	5.9%	17
	MISSISSIPPI	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PERRY	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	0	0.0%	18
	ST FRANCOIS	44	73.3%	11	18.3%	5	8.3%	60
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	8
	SCOTT	12	42.9%	15	53.6%	1	3.6%	28
	WASHINGTON	16	61.5%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%	26
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>53.0%</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>279</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	32	18.0%	146	82.0%	0	0.0%	178
	DUNKLIN	15	34.9%	28	65.1%	0	0.0%	43
	NEW MADRID	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	0	0.0%	18
	PEMISCOT	15	50.0%	15	50.0%	0	0.0%	30
	RIPLEY	13	19.4%	54	80.6%	0	0.0%	67
	STODDARD	19	44.2%	24	55.8%	0	0.0%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>379</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,909</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>1,399</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>3,355</b>

# Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														MISSING	TOTAL	
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23			24
SITE 731	JACKSON	10	3	0	13	32	2	3	5	1	0	0	3	9	6	0	27	114
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>114</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	4	4	0	35	43	11	30	5	0	0	0	0	37	0	29	198	
	ST LOUIS CITY	3	0	4	15	36	10	10	3	0	0	0	15	0	21	0	7	124
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>322</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	14	0	4	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	42	
	CALLAWAY	0	11	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
	COLE	0	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	15	
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	RANDOLPH	3	24	3	0	5	0	6	15	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	59	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>135</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	BUCHANAN	27	12	0	6	10	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	71	
	CALDWELL	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	DAVISS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>88</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
		CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DENT		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	14	
HOWELL		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
IRON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MARIES		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OREGON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PHELPS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
PULASKI		0	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	13	
REYNOLDS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SHANNON		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
TEXAS		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	
WAYNE		8	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>48</b>	
SITE 736		BATES	0	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	BENTON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	CAMDEN	0	9	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	16	
	DALLAS	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	HENRY	0	22	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	
	HICKORY	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	
	LACLEDE	1	14	4	1	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	
	MILLER	0	13	0	0	1	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	21	
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	MORGAN	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	
	POLK	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	WEBSTER	10	19	0	0	4	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	41	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>163</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CEDAR		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DADE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
JASPER		0	2	0	6	0	2	6	44	3	0	0	1	3	0	0	67	
MCDONALD		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	9	
NEWTON		1	1	0	0	4	0	0	8	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	26	
VERNON		0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>103</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	9	5	0	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
	CHRISTIAN	1	8	0	1	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	21	
	DOUGLAS	1	1	0	0	4	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	16	
	LAWRENCE	0	6	10	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	22	
	OZARK	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	STONE	0	9	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	
	TANEY	9	18	1	12	6	3	3	10	0	0	0	0	3	0	10	75	
	WRIGHT	5	27	0	3	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	4	51	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>228</b>	

# Intensive In-Home Services

Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														MISSING	TOTAL
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		
SITE 739	GREENE	2	11	1	2	22	0	6	34	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	93
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	11	1	2	22	0	6	34	0	0	0	0	3	0	12	93
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	GRUNDY	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	HARRISON	0	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	14
	LINN	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MERCER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PUTNAM	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SULLIVAN	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	24	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	31
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	8	1	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	23
	MARION	3	9	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17
	MONROE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PIKE	3	8	4	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	ST CHARLES	0	12	0	0	5	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	36
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	38	5	2	7	0	0	31	0	1	0	1	0	3	3	100
SITE 931	ADAIR	18	0	0	0	2	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	37
	CLARK	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	KNOX	1	7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	LEWIS	8	1	0	0	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	MACON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	SCHUYLER	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	13	0	0	7	0	0	37	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	95
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	2	2	0	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	3
FRANKLIN		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
GASCONADE		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
JEFFERSON		2	5	0	11	27	0	1	3	0	2	0	2	0	0	5	58
MONTGOMERY		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
OSAGE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WARREN		0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
* SITE TOTAL *		2	10	3	11	32	0	1	6	0	2	0	2	0	3	10	82
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	JOHNSON	0	2	0	2	2	0	3	6	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	20
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PETTIS	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	2	0	8	2	0	3	23	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	45
	SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLAY		1	3	0	0	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	14
PLATTE		3	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
RAY		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
* SITE TOTAL *		4	4	0	0	5	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	24
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	5	0	4	1	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	34
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	8	0	4	7	0	6	42	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	72
	MADISON	0	10	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18
	MISSISSIPPI	0	2	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	PERRY	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	1	0	3	17
	ST FRANCOIS	2	24	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	33
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
	SCOTT	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	16	36
	WASHINGTON	0	16	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	73	2	19	10	5	9	71	0	0	0	6	0	14	30	241
SITE 936	BUTLER	162	1	0	2	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	235
	DUNKLIN	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	11	17
	NEW MADRID	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	14
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	26
	RIPLEY	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	85
	STODDARD	20	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	30
	* SITE TOTAL *	256	5	0	3	5	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	127	407
STATE TOTAL	397	446	51	145	279	34	82	365	7	6	0	38	33	116	0	320	2,319

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	145	413	2.85	343	2.37
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>413</b>	<b>2.85</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>2.37</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	253	624	2.47	551	2.18
	ST LOUIS CITY	164	422	2.57	380	2.32
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>417</b>	<b>1,046</b>	<b>2.51</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>2.23</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	34	97	2.85	82	2.41
	CALLAWAY	23	55	2.39	42	1.83
	COLE	18	44	2.44	38	2.11
	HOWARD	10	18	1.80	16	1.60
	RANDOLPH	23	62	2.70	53	2.30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>2.14</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	9	3.00	9	3.00
	ATCHISON	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	BUCHANAN	20	42	2.10	34	1.70
	CALDWELL	6	20	3.33	14	2.33
	CLINTON	3	8	2.67	5	1.67
	DAVISS	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	DE KALB	3	5	1.67	5	1.67
	GENTRY	2	6	3.00	6	3.00
	HOLT	2	14	7.00	13	6.50
	LIVINGSTON	2	2	1.00	2	1.00
	NODAWAY	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	WORTH	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>2.52</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>2.13</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	8	21	2.63	18
CRAWFORD		0	0	0.00	0	0.00
DENT		3	7	2.33	7	2.33
HOWELL		12	29	2.42	21	1.75
IRON		2	6	3.00	4	2.00
MARIES		2	6	3.00	3	1.50
OREGON		2	10	5.00	7	3.50
PHELPS		24	57	2.38	47	1.96
PULASKI		19	43	2.26	34	1.79
REYNOLDS		4	15	3.75	8	2.00
SHANNON		4	6	1.50	5	1.25
TEXAS		5	22	4.40	16	3.20
WAYNE		5	13	2.60	12	2.40
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>90</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>2.61</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>2.02</b>
SITE 736		BATES	4	17	4.25	13
	BENTON	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	CAMDEN	9	22	2.44	18	2.00
	DALLAS	5	18	3.60	12	2.40
	HENRY	5	10	2.00	9	1.80
	HICKORY	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	LACLEDE	22	59	2.68	53	2.41
	MILLER	13	28	2.15	27	2.08
	MONITEAU	5	13	2.60	13	2.60
	MORGAN	11	37	3.36	31	2.82
	POLK	6	14	2.33	10	1.67
	ST CLAIR	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	WEBSTER	16	49	3.06	46	2.88
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>268</b>	<b>2.76</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>2.40</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	5	11	2.20	7	1.40
	CEDAR	8	16	2.00	14	1.75
	DADE	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	JASPER	40	92	2.30	88	2.20
	MCDONALD	8	14	1.75	10	1.25
	NEWTON	14	34	2.43	23	1.64
	VERNON	5	14	2.80	11	2.20
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>2.25</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>1.90</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	12	31	2.58	28	2.33
	CHRISTIAN	14	29	2.07	24	1.71
	DOUGLAS	12	31	2.58	30	2.50
	LAWRENCE	7	16	2.29	13	1.86
	OZARK	1	3	3.00	2	2.00
	STONE	8	14	1.75	10	1.25
	TANEY	49	108	2.20	94	1.92
	WRIGHT	16	47	2.94	43	2.69
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>279</b>	<b>2.34</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>2.05</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 739	GREENE	125	306	2.45	272	2.18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>306</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>272</b>	<b>2.18</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	13	2.60	9	1.80
	GRUNDY	6	12	2.00	11	1.83
	HARRISON	7	17	2.43	15	2.14
	LINN	6	10	1.67	10	1.67
	MERCER	2	6	3.00	5	2.50
	PUTNAM	2	5	2.50	2	1.00
	SULLIVAN	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>1.83</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	10	28	2.80	27	2.70
	MARION	14	39	2.79	37	2.64
	MONROE	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	PIKE	8	12	1.50	11	1.38
	RALLS	4	9	2.25	8	2.00
	ST CHARLES	48	120	2.50	101	2.10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>2.48</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>2.19</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	16	32	2.00	23	1.44
	CLARK	8	19	2.38	14	1.75
	KNOX	2	4	2.00	3	1.50
	LEWIS	6	12	2.00	12	2.00
	MACON	19	50	2.63	48	2.53
	SCHUYLER	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	SCOTLAND	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	SHELBY	8	13	1.63	13	1.63
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>2.20</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>1.91</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	16	35	2.19	27
FRANKLIN		28	67	2.39	52	1.86
GASCONADE		7	15	2.14	13	1.86
JEFFERSON		72	170	2.36	145	2.01
MONTGOMERY		9	24	2.67	19	2.11
OSAGE		2	3	1.50	3	1.50
WARREN		16	47	2.94	42	2.63
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>150</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>2.41</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>2.01</b>
SITE 933	CASS	26	52	2.00	46	1.77
	COOPER	10	25	2.50	16	1.60
	JOHNSON	10	20	2.00	18	1.80
	LAFAYETTE	5	12	2.40	12	2.40
	PETTIS	14	30	2.14	28	2.00
	SALINE	10	23	2.30	21	2.10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>1.88</b>
	SITE 934	CARROLL	5	15	3.00	9
CLAY		7	31	4.43	16	2.29
PLATTE		3	9	3.00	5	1.67
RAY		6	16	2.67	16	2.67
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>21</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>2.19</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	11	25	2.27	17	1.55
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	36	95	2.64	62	1.72
	MADISON	8	17	2.13	15	1.88
	MISSISSIPPI	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	PERRY	13	41	3.15	28	2.15
	ST FRANCOIS	43	106	2.47	85	1.98
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	9	1.80	5	1.00
	SCOTT	12	23	1.92	20	1.67
	WASHINGTON	16	35	2.19	30	1.88
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>355</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>1.83</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	32	82	2.56	70	2.19
	DUNKLIN	17	46	2.71	37	2.18
	NEW MADRID	9	16	1.78	16	1.78
	PEMISCOT	15	49	3.27	40	2.67
	RIPLEY	13	41	3.15	32	2.46
	STODDARD	18	40	2.22	36	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>274</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>231</b>	<b>2.22</b>
STATE TOTAL	1,900	4,757	2.50	4,032	2.12	

# Intensive In-Home Services

## Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/		IN-	OUT-	PRIVATE				LAW	DSS JUVENILE			TOTAL	
		FRIEND	SELF	PATIENT DMH	PATIENT DMH	DSS CD	DSS DYS	MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	FORCE-MENT	COURT	FSD	OFFICER		OTHER MISSING
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	0	0	0	141	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	145
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>145</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	34	0	0	189	0	18	10	0	1	0	1	0	253
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	10	0	0	132	0	21	0	0	0	0	1	0	164
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>321</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>417</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	COLE	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	20
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	DAVISS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	DENT	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	IRON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PHELPS	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	19
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>90</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	9
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	POLK	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>97</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	DADE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	STONE	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	49
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>119</b>

# Intensive In-Home Services

## Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/		IN-	OUT-	PRIVATE				LAW	DSS JUVENILE			TOTAL		
		FRIEND	SELF	PATIENT DMH	PATIENT DMH	DSS CD	DSS DYS	MENTAL HEALTH	SCHOOL SYSTEM	FORCE-MENT	COURT	FSD	OFFICER		OTHER MISSING	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	125
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>125</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	LINN	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>29</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MARION	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	ST CHARLES	0	0	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	MACON	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>64</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
FRANKLIN		0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
GASCONADE		0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
JEFFERSON		0	2	0	0	69	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	72
MONTGOMERY		0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
OSAGE		0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
WARREN		0	1	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>150</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	10
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	14
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>75</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	RAY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	29	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	13
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	0	38	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>145</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>104</b>
STATE TOTAL	0	47	0	1	1,755	0	51	11	0	6	0	29	0	0	1,900	

## ***Intensive In-Home Services***

**Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month During FY 2017**

	<b>FAMILIES</b>	<b>AT-RISK CHILDREN</b>	<b>NOT AT-RISK CHILDREN</b>	<b>TOTAL CHILDREN</b>
<b>July 2016</b>	134	265	42	307
<b>August 2016</b>	171	388	45	433
<b>September 2016</b>	153	331	65	396
<b>October 2016</b>	155	296	70	366
<b>November 2016</b>	154	332	62	394
<b>December 2016</b>	163	375	64	439
<b>January 2017</b>	171	373	58	431
<b>February 2017</b>	145	310	46	356
<b>March 2017</b>	203	441	93	534
<b>April 2017</b>	160	338	52	390
<b>May 2017</b>	136	278	53	331
<b>June 2017</b>	155	305	75	380
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,900</b>	<b>4,032</b>	<b>725</b>	<b>4,757</b>

**Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2017**

<b>No Opening in IIS-Child at Home</b>	446	19.2%
<b>No Immediate Likelihood of Placement</b>	397	17.1%
<b>Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	365	15.7%
<b>Missing</b>	320	13.8%
<b>Caretaker Unwilling to Participate</b>	279	12.0%
<b>Primary Caretaker Unavailable</b>	145	6.3%
<b>Caretaker Unable to Participate</b>	116	5.0%
<b>Unable to Contact Family</b>	82	3.5%
<b>No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed</b>	51	2.2%
<b>Referral Source Did Not Follow Through</b>	38	1.6%
<b>Child Unwilling to Participate</b>	34	1.5%
<b>Court Not in Agreement</b>	33	1.4%
<b>Child is Physically Assaultive</b>	7	0.3%
<b>Therapist`s Safety Cannot be Assured</b>	6	0.3%
<b>State Total</b>	<b>2,319</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## ***Intensive In-Home Services***

**Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention During FY 2017**

<b>Dept of Social Services Children's Division</b>	1,755	78.1%
<b>Adult Law Enforcement</b>	168	7.5%
<b>Juvenile Court</b>	124	5.5%
<b>Adult Criminal Court</b>	92	4.1%
<b>Dept of Mental Health</b>	55	2.4%
<b>Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services</b>	52	2.3%
	<b>2,246</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site for Families Accepted During FY 2017**

	Total Weeks of Intervention									Average Weeks of Intervention	Site Total
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks		
<b>Site 731</b>	5	7	8	11	24	13	40	7	30	7.34	145
<b>Site 732</b>	10	18	22	25	21	29	213	25	54	5.49	417
<b>Site 733</b>	6	4	4	7	10	9	51	9	8	5.10	108
<b>Site 734</b>	3	5	6	3	3	4	21	1	0	4.15	46
<b>Site 735</b>	4	8	5	5	9	18	26	4	11	5.67	90
<b>Site 736</b>	2	1	10	5	17	17	26	5	14	7.16	97
<b>Site 737</b>	0	3	5	6	4	9	43	9	2	6.54	81
<b>Site 738</b>	0	4	7	4	4	26	52	9	13	5.94	119
<b>Site 739</b>	4	16	8	9	3	8	70	4	3	4.82	125
<b>Site 740</b>	0	1	1	1	3	6	14	1	2	5.34	29
<b>Site 831</b>	4	7	6	4	4	9	41	4	5	5.64	84
<b>Site 931</b>	4	3	6	1	5	6	29	7	3	5.00	64
<b>Site 932</b>	8	6	5	8	6	9	68	13	27	7.39	150
<b>Site 933</b>	2	2	6	7	9	15	29	4	1	4.80	75
<b>Site 934</b>	0	2	2	1	1	6	9	0	0	4.62	21
<b>Site 935</b>	4	3	6	4	27	30	6	1	64	15.49	145
<b>Site 936</b>	4	5	8	2	3	3	51	16	12	6.26	104
<b>State Total</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>789</b>	<b>119</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>6.61</b>	<b>1,900</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
SITE 731	JACKSON	100	83.3%	17	14.2%	3	2.5%	120
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	220	86.6%	32	12.6%	2	0.8%	254
	ST LOUIS CITY	138	86.3%	19	11.9%	3	1.9%	160
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>358</b>	<b>86.5%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>414</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	18	51.4%	16	45.7%	1	2.9%	35
	CALLAWAY	16	72.7%	6	27.3%	0	0.0%	22
	COLE	14	77.8%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	18
	HOWARD	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	RANDOLPH	19	76.0%	5	20.0%	1	4.0%	25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>69.7%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>109</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ATCHISON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	BUCHANAN	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	0.0%	19
	CALDWELL	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CLINTON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	HOLT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>74.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>43</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CRAWFORD	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7
	DENT	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	HOWELL	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	10
	IRON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	OREGON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	PHELPS	17	73.9%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%	23
	PULASKI	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	17
	REYNOLDS	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	SHANNON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	4
	TEXAS	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WAYNE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>75.9%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>83</b>
	SITE 736	BATES	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
BENTON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
CAMDEN		4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
DALLAS		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
HENRY		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
HICKORY		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
LACLEDE		17	85.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	20
MILLER		9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	11
MONITEAU		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
MORGAN		6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	9
POLK		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	6
ST CLAIR		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
WEBSTER		14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	0.0%	19
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>70</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>90</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CEDAR	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JASPER	32	74.4%	11	25.6%	0	0.0%	43
	MCDONALD	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	8
	NEWTON	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	16
	VERNON	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	6
	CHRISTIAN	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12
	DOUGLAS	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	14
	LAWRENCE	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	8
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	STONE	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	9
	TANEY	40	85.1%	6	12.8%	1	2.1%	47
	WRIGHT	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	14
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>111</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
SITE 739	GREENE	98	74.8%	29	22.1%	4	3.1%	131
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>131</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	GRUNDY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HARRISON	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	LINN	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	58.3%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	12
	MARION	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	RALLS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	42	85.7%	7	14.3%	0	0.0%	49
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	17
	CLARK	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8
	KNOX	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	MACON	10	71.4%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	14
	SCHUYLER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SHELBY	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>82.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>62</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	11	78.6%	2	14.3%	1	7.1%
FRANKLIN		23	92.0%	2	8.0%	0	0.0%	25
GASCONADE		7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9
JEFFERSON		49	80.3%	12	19.7%	0	0.0%	61
MONTGOMERY		9	90.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
OSAGE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
WARREN		10	58.8%	6	35.3%	1	5.9%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>110</b>	<b>80.3%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>137</b>
SITE 933		CASS	13	54.2%	9	37.5%	2	8.3%
	COOPER	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	JOHNSON	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PETTIS	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	SALINE	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>72</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CLAY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PLATTE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	RAY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>20</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	31
	MADISON	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9
	ST FRANCOIS	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	SCOTT	8	72.7%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	11
	WASHINGTON	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>84.2%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>76</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	23	69.7%	9	27.3%	1	3.0%	33
	DUNKLIN	11	78.6%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	14
	NEW MADRID	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	10
	PEMISCOT	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	0.0%	14
	RIPLEY	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	13
	STODDARD	13	81.3%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,415</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>319</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>1,764</b>	

**Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables**

Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017.

**Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2017**

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-Home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30<sup>th</sup> may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

**Table 2 Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2017**

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
  - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
  - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
  - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
  - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
  - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
  - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
  - 16 Unable to Contact Family
  - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
  - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
  - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
  - 22 Court Not in Agreement
  - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
  - 24 Referral was Done in Error

**Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2017**

- Each family is only counted once during the fiscal year. If a family is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.
- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2017.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of At-Risk and not At-Risk children from the accepted families.

**Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2017**

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

**Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)****Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2017**

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
  - Child Ran Away (12)
  - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
  - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
  - In Residential (17)
  - With Division of Youth Services (18)
  - In Juvenile Justice (19)
  - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
  - Can't Locate Family (11)
  - Information Not Available (21)



## **Heads of Household Served**

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		≥ 70		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	9	6.2%	57	39.3%	51	35.2%	17	11.7%	5	3.4%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%	145
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	6.2%	57	39.3%	51	35.2%	17	11.7%	5	3.4%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%	145
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5	2.0%	52	20.6%	110	43.5%	47	18.6%	27	10.7%	9	3.6%	3	1.2%	253
	ST LOUIS CITY	2	1.2%	61	37.2%	64	39.0%	25	15.2%	8	4.9%	2	1.2%	2	1.2%	164
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	1.7%	113	27.1%	174	41.7%	72	17.3%	35	8.4%	11	2.6%	5	1.2%	417
SITE 733	BOONE	1	2.9%	10	29.4%	12	35.3%	7	20.6%	1	2.9%	3	8.8%	0	0.0%	34
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	5	21.7%	9	39.1%	7	30.4%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	COLE	1	5.6%	9	50.0%	7	38.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	HOWARD	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0%	10	43.5%	7	30.4%	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.8%	36	33.3%	38	35.2%	22	20.4%	5	4.6%	4	3.7%	0	0.0%	108
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	BUCHANAN	1	5.0%	8	40.0%	9	45.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	CLINTON	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	4.3%	19	41.3%	16	34.8%	4	8.7%	3	6.5%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	46
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		0	0.0%	3	37.5%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
DENT		0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
HOWELL		1	8.3%	4	33.3%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12
IRON		0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
OREGON		0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
PHELPS		0	0.0%	15	62.5%	6	25.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	24
PULASKI		0	0.0%	6	31.6%	7	36.8%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
SHANNON		0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
TEXAS		0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
WAYNE		0	0.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
* SITE TOTAL *		1	1.1%	43	47.8%	29	32.2%	10	11.1%	4	4.4%	3	3.3%	0	0.0%	90
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	3	13.6%	11	50.0%	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	22
	MILLER	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	POLK	0	0.0%	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	4.1%	40	41.2%	35	36.1%	7	7.2%	5	5.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	97
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CEDAR	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8
	DADE	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	JASPER	0	0.0%	13	32.5%	16	40.0%	4	10.0%	6	15.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	40
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	5	62.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	6	42.9%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	VERNON	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.2%	28	34.6%	32	39.5%	11	13.6%	7	8.6%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	81
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	6	50.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	5	35.7%	7	50.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	5	41.7%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	STONE	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8
	TANEY	1	2.0%	17	34.7%	18	36.7%	7	14.3%	4	8.2%	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	49
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	3	18.8%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0.8%	45	37.8%	44	37.0%	18	15.1%	8	6.7%	3	2.5%	0	0.0%	119

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	2	1.6%	36	28.8%	58	46.4%	17	13.6%	5	4.0%	4	3.2%	3	2.4%	125
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.6%	36	28.8%	58	46.4%	17	13.6%	5	4.0%	4	3.2%	3	2.4%	125
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	LINN	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	3.4%	7	24.1%	15	51.7%	5	17.2%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	29
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MARION	0	0.0%	5	35.7%	7	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	6	12.5%	26	54.2%	10	20.8%	3	6.3%	2	4.2%	1	2.1%	48
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	17	20.2%	44	52.4%	13	15.5%	7	8.3%	2	2.4%	1	1.2%	84
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	3	18.8%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
	CLARK	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MACON	0	0.0%	6	31.6%	8	42.1%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	14	21.9%	25	39.1%	17	26.6%	3	4.7%	5	7.8%	0	0.0%	64
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	1	6.3%	5	31.3%	7	43.8%	0	0.0%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		1	3.6%	7	25.0%	16	57.1%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
GASCONADE		0	0.0%	3	42.9%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
JEFFERSON		1	1.4%	12	16.7%	42	58.3%	15	20.8%	1	1.4%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	72
MONTGOMERY		0	0.0%	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
OSAGE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
WARREN		1	6.3%	3	18.8%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
* SITE TOTAL *		4	2.7%	32	21.3%	81	54.0%	24	16.0%	7	4.7%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%	150
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	8	30.8%	10	38.5%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26
	COOPER	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	JOHNSON	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	57.1%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	14
	SALINE	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	15	20.0%	36	48.0%	18	24.0%	3	4.0%	1	1.3%	1	1.3%	75
	SITE 934	CARROLL	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLAY		0	0.0%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
PLATTE		0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
RAY		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
* SITE TOTAL *		1	4.8%	5	23.8%	8	38.1%	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	21
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	11	30.6%	16	44.4%	5	13.9%	3	8.3%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	36
	MADISON	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	5	38.5%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0.0%	10	23.3%	21	48.8%	6	14.0%	4	9.3%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	1	8.3%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12
	WASHINGTON	1	6.3%	4	25.0%	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	2.1%	40	27.6%	60	41.4%	24	16.6%	12	8.3%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%	145
	SITE 936	BUTLER	3	9.4%	13	40.6%	10	31.3%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	2	6.3%	0	0.0%
DUNKLIN		0	0.0%	2	11.8%	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
NEW MADRID		0	0.0%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
PEMISCOT		0	0.0%	2	13.3%	7	46.7%	4	26.7%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
RIPLEY		1	7.7%	3	23.1%	7	53.8%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
STODDARD		1	5.6%	5	27.8%	5	27.8%	4	22.2%	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	18
* SITE TOTAL *		5	4.8%	28	26.9%	41	39.4%	13	12.5%	14	13.5%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	104
STATE TOTAL		45	2.4%	575	30.3%	787	41.4%	297	15.6%	125	6.6%	60	3.2%	11	0.6%	1,900

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	128	88.3%	17	11.7%	145	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>88.3%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>145</b>	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	219	86.6%	34	13.4%	253	
	ST LOUIS CITY	153	93.3%	11	6.7%	164	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>372</b>	<b>89.2%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>417</b>	
SITE 733	BOONE	27	79.4%	7	20.6%	34	
	CALLAWAY	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	23	
	COLE	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	18	
	HOWARD	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10	
	RANDOLPH	18	78.3%	5	21.7%	23	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>108</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	ATCHISON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	BUCHANAN	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20	
	CALDWELL	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6	
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	HOLT	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	WORTH	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>84.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>46</b>	
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
		CRAWFORD	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
DENT		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
HOWELL		8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12	
IRON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
MARIES		0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	
OREGON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
PHELPS		21	87.5%	3	12.5%	24	
PULASKI		17	89.5%	2	10.5%	19	
REYNOLDS		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
SHANNON		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
TEXAS		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	
WAYNE		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>77</b>	<b>85.6%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>90</b>	
SITE 736		BATES	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	CAMDEN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9	
	DALLAS	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	
	HENRY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	LACLEDE	18	81.8%	4	18.2%	22	
	MILLER	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13	
	MONITEAU	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	MORGAN	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11	
	POLK	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	6	
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	WEBSTER	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	16	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>97</b>	
	SITE 737	BARTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
CEDAR		7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8	
DADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
JASPER		30	75.0%	10	25.0%	40	
MCDONALD		8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8	
NEWTON		12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14	
VERNON		3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>66</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12	
	CHRISTIAN	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14	
	DOUGLAS	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12	
	LAWRENCE	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	7	
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	STONE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8	
	TANEY	43	87.8%	6	12.2%	49	
	WRIGHT	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>86.6%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>119</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	109	87.2%	16	12.8%	125
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>125</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	GRUNDY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	HARRISON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	LINN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	MERCER	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>79.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>29</b>
	SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	70.0%	3	30.0%
MARION		13	92.9%	1	7.1%	14
MONROE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
PIKE		6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
RALLS		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
ST CHARLES		38	79.2%	10	20.8%	48
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>68</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 931		ADAIR	13	81.3%	3	18.8%
	CLARK	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	KNOX	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	LEWIS	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	MACON	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	19
	SCHUYLER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	SCOTLAND	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	SHELBY	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>79.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>64</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	12	75.0%	4	25.0%
FRANKLIN		24	85.7%	4	14.3%	28
GASCONADE		6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
JEFFERSON		56	77.8%	16	22.2%	72
MONTGOMERY		7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
OSAGE		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
WARREN		16	100.0%	0	0.0%	16
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>123</b>	<b>82.0%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>150</b>
SITE 933	CASS	21	80.8%	5	19.2%	26
	COOPER	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	JOHNSON	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	PETTIS	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
	SALINE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>81.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18.7%</b>	<b>75</b>
	SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	1	20.0%
CLAY		7	100.0%	0	0.0%	7
PLATTE		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
RAY		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	29	80.6%	7	19.4%	36
	MADISON	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	33	76.7%	10	23.3%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
	WASHINGTON	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>83.4%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>145</b>
	SITE 936	BUTLER	31	96.9%	1	3.1%
DUNKLIN		13	76.5%	4	23.5%	17
NEW MADRID		9	100.0%	0	0.0%	9
PEMISCOT		13	86.7%	2	13.3%	15
RIPLEY		12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
STODDARD		16	88.9%	2	11.1%	18
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>94</b>	<b>90.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>104</b>
STATE TOTAL	1,620	85.3%	280	14.7%	1,900	

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	76	52.4%	62	42.8%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	4.1%	145	
	* SITE TOTAL *	76	52.4%	62	42.8%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	4.1%	145	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	88	34.8%	152	60.1%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	4.7%	253	
	ST LOUIS CITY	34	20.7%	122	74.4%	1	0.6%	2	1.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	4	2.4%	164	
	* SITE TOTAL *	122	29.3%	274	65.7%	2	0.5%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	16	3.8%	417	
SITE 733	BOONE	24	70.6%	10	29.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34	
	CALLAWAY	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	23	
	COLE	13	72.2%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	18	
	HOWARD	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	
	RANDOLPH	19	82.6%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	23	
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	80.6%	17	15.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%	3	2.8%	108	
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	ATCHISON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	BUCHANAN	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	
	CALDWELL	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	
	CLINTON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	DAVIESS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	DE KALB	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	GENTRY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	HOLT	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	WORTH	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	97.8%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46	
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
		CRAWFORD	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
DENT		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	
HOWELL		12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	
IRON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
MARIES		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	
OREGON		1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	
PHELPS		23	95.8%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	
PULASKI		15	78.9%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	19	
REYNOLDS		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	
SHANNON		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	
TEXAS		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
WAYNE		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
* SITE TOTAL *		84	93.3%	4	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	90	
SITE 736	BATES	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	CAMDEN	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	
	DALLAS	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	HENRY	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	LACLEDE	18	81.8%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	22	
	MILLER	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	
	MONITEAU	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	MORGAN	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11	
	POLK	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	WEBSTER	15	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16	
	* SITE TOTAL *	89	91.8%	3	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	5.2%	97	
SITE 737	BARTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	CEDAR	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	
	DADE	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	JASPER	35	87.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	3	7.5%	40	
	MCDONALD	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	
	NEWTON	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	
	VERNON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	
	* SITE TOTAL *	76	93.8%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	3	3.7%	81	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	12	
	CHRISTIAN	13	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	14	
	DOUGLAS	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	
	LAWRENCE	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	STONE	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	
	TANEY	46	93.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.1%	49	
	WRIGHT	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	
	* SITE TOTAL *	111	93.3%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	4.2%	119	

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	115	92.0%	6	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	125
	* SITE TOTAL *	115	92.0%	6	4.8%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	2	1.6%	125
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GRUNDY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HARRISON	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	LINN	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	28	96.6%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	29
SITE 831	LINCOLN	8	80.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MARION	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	RALLS	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	41	85.4%	5	10.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.2%	48
	* SITE TOTAL *	72	85.7%	8	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	4.8%	84
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	CLARK	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8
	KNOX	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LEWIS	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MACON	18	94.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	19
	SCHUYLER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SHELBY	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	93.8%	2	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.1%	64
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		28	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
GASCONADE		6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
JEFFERSON		67	93.1%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	5.6%	72
MONTGOMERY		8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
OSAGE		1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
WARREN		13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
* SITE TOTAL *		137	91.3%	6	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	6	4.0%	150
SITE 933	CASS	26	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26
	COOPER	7	70.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	JOHNSON	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PETTIS	13	92.9%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	SALINE	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	94.7%	3	4.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.3%	75
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CLAY	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
	PLATTE	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	RAY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	85.7%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	21
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	28	77.8%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	36
	MADISON	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	40	93.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.0%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	12
	WASHINGTON	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	128	88.3%	11	7.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	4.1%	145
SITE 936	BUTLER	31	96.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	32
	DUNKLIN	12	70.6%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	17
	NEW MADRID	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	PEMISCOT	6	40.0%	9	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	RIPLEY	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	STODDARD	16	88.9%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	18
* SITE TOTAL *	84	80.8%	16	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.8%	104	
STATE TOTAL		1,403	73.8%	418	22.0%	3	0.2%	5	0.3%	1	0.1%	4	0.2%	66	3.5%	1,900

## Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 731	JACKSON	8	5.5%	9	6.2%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	14	5.5%	36	14.2%	7	2.8%	0	0.0%	4	1.6%
	ST LOUIS CITY	19	11.6%	12	7.3%	7	4.3%	0	0.0%	3	1.8%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>11.5%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	10	29.4%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%
	HOWARD	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	6	26.1%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
	HOLT	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CRAWFORD	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DENT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWELL	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	IRON	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OREGON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PHELPS	3	12.5%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%
	PULASKI	4	21.1%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHANNON	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
	TEXAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%
	MILLER	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONITEAU	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WEBSTER	5	31.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CEDAR	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JASPER	11	27.5%	7	17.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%
	MCDONALD	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEWTON	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	VERNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DOUGLAS	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	4	8.2%	7	14.3%	2	4.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	5	31.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER	UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL	
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	0.7%	14	9.7%	0	0.0%	27	18.6%	79	54.5%	145
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>145</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	2	0.8%	3	1.2%	0	0.0%	59	23.3%	128	50.6%	253
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	0.6%	6	3.7%	0	0.0%	39	23.8%	77	47.0%	164
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>49.2%</b>	<b>417</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	2	5.9%	5	14.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.9%	14	41.2%	34
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	3	13.0%	14	60.9%	23
	COLE	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	9	50.0%	18
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	10
	RANDOLPH	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	9	39.1%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>46.3%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	BUCHANAN	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	10	50.0%	20
	CALDWELL	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	HOLT	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>46</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
DENT		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
HOWELL		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	12
IRON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
OREGON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
PHELPS		0	0.0%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	5	20.8%	9	37.5%	24
PULASKI		1	5.3%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	6	31.6%	4	21.1%	19
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
TEXAS		0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	5
WAYNE		0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>90</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	9
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	5
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	11	50.0%	22
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	10	76.9%	13
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	MORGAN	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	63.6%	11
	POLK	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>97</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%
CEDAR		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	50.0%	8
DADE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
JASPER		0	0.0%	3	7.5%	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	15	37.5%	40
MCDONALD		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	3	37.5%	8
NEWTON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	9	64.3%	14
VERNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>51.9%</b>	<b>81</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	58.3%	12
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	4	28.6%	7	50.0%	14
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	12
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	STONE	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	TANEY	0	0.0%	3	6.1%	1	2.0%	4	8.2%	27	55.1%	49
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	7	43.8%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>119</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 739	GREENE	20	16.0%	8	6.4%	4	3.2%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>20.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARION	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PIKE	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	2	4.2%	4	8.3%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0
CLARK		0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
KNOX		1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
LEWIS		1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MACON		3	15.8%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCHUYLER		0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SCOTLAND		0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SHELBY		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>6</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	4	25.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	FRANKLIN	4	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JEFFERSON	8	11.1%	11	15.3%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONTGOMERY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WARREN	4	25.0%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	5	19.2%	5	19.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COOPER	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	5.6%	9	25.0%	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	5	11.6%	4	9.3%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	6.3%	3	9.4%	2	6.3%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 739	GREENE	4	3.2%	2	1.6%	1	0.8%	15	12.0%	70	56.0%	125
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>125</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	6
	HARRISON	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	7
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>55.2%</b>	<b>29</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	10
	MARION	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	50.0%	14
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	8
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	8.3%	35	72.9%	48
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	10	62.5%	16
	CLARK	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	4	50.0%	8
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6
	MACON	2	10.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	57.9%	19
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	62.5%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>64</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	18.8%	6	37.5%	16
	FRANKLIN	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	20	71.4%	28
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	7
	JEFFERSON	1	1.4%	3	4.2%	0	0.0%	17	23.6%	30	41.7%	72
	MONTGOMERY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	9
	OSAGE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WARREN	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	43.8%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>150</b>
SITE 933	CASS	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	4	15.4%	9	34.6%	26
	COOPER	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	10
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	60.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	6	42.9%	14
	SALINE	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>75</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	CLAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	6
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	0	0.0%	5	13.9%	11	30.6%	36
	MADISON	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0.0%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	7	16.3%	21	48.8%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SCOTT	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	12
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	5	31.3%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>145</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	6.3%	5	15.6%	0	0.0%	2	6.3%	14	43.8%	32
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	4	23.5%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	8	47.1%	17
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	9
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	3	20.0%	9	60.0%	15
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	4	30.8%	13
	STODDARD	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	6	33.3%	18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43.3%</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>14.5%</b>	<b>938</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>1,900</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	41 28.3%	27 18.6%	16 11.0%	23 15.9%	24 16.6%	7 4.8%	7 4.8%	145	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>41 28.3%</b>	<b>27 18.6%</b>	<b>16 11.0%</b>	<b>23 15.9%</b>	<b>24 16.6%</b>	<b>7 4.8%</b>	<b>7 4.8%</b>	<b>145</b>	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	64 25.3%	37 14.6%	28 11.1%	56 22.1%	40 15.8%	17 6.7%	11 4.3%	253	
	ST LOUIS CITY	62 37.8%	37 22.6%	25 15.2%	24 14.6%	10 6.1%	3 1.8%	3 1.8%	164	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>126 30.2%</b>	<b>74 17.7%</b>	<b>53 12.7%</b>	<b>80 19.2%</b>	<b>50 12.0%</b>	<b>20 4.8%</b>	<b>14 3.4%</b>	<b>417</b>	
SITE 733	BOONE	20 58.8%	13 38.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 2.9%	0 0.0%	34	
	CALLAWAY	5 21.7%	13 56.5%	1 4.3%	3 13.0%	1 4.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	23	
	COLE	7 38.9%	5 27.8%	2 11.1%	2 11.1%	0 0.0%	2 11.1%	0 0.0%	18	
	HOWARD	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10	
	RANDOLPH	6 26.1%	8 34.8%	4 17.4%	1 4.3%	1 4.3%	3 13.0%	0 0.0%	23	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>40 37.0%</b>	<b>43 39.8%</b>	<b>7 6.5%</b>	<b>10 9.3%</b>	<b>2 1.9%</b>	<b>6 5.6%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>108</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3	
	ATCHISON	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
	BUCHANAN	11 55.0%	2 10.0%	2 10.0%	3 15.0%	1 5.0%	0 0.0%	1 5.0%	20	
	CALDWELL	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6	
	CLINTON	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3	
	DAVISS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0	
	DE KALB	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3	
	GENTRY	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
	HOLT	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 #####	2	
	LIVINGSTON	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
	NODAWAY	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
	WORTH	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19 41.3%</b>	<b>5 10.9%</b>	<b>3 6.5%</b>	<b>10 21.7%</b>	<b>5 10.9%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>4 8.7%</b>	<b>46</b>	
	SITE 735	CARTER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
CRAWFORD		0 0.0%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8	
DENT		1 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3	
HOWELL		4 33.3%	5 41.7%	3 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12	
IRON		0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
MARIES		0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2	
OREGON		1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2	
PHELPS		2 8.3%	14 58.3%	3 12.5%	3 12.5%	1 4.2%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%	24	
PULASKI		2 10.5%	8 42.1%	3 15.8%	3 15.8%	2 10.5%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	19	
REYNOLDS		1 25.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4	
SHANNON		2 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4	
TEXAS		0 0.0%	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
WAYNE		3 60.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>16 17.8%</b>	<b>37 41.1%</b>	<b>19 21.1%</b>	<b>8 8.9%</b>	<b>6 6.7%</b>	<b>2 2.2%</b>	<b>2 2.2%</b>	<b>90</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4	
	BENTON	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0	
	CAMDEN	2 22.2%	2 22.2%	4 44.4%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9	
	DALLAS	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
	HENRY	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
	HICKORY	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0	
	LACLEDE	12 54.5%	6 27.3%	3 13.6%	1 4.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	22	
	MILLER	9 69.2%	2 15.4%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13	
	MONITEAU	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
	MORGAN	5 45.5%	2 18.2%	4 36.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11	
	POLK	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6	
	ST CLAIR	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	1	
	WEBSTER	9 56.3%	2 12.5%	1 6.3%	3 18.8%	0 0.0%	1 6.3%	0 0.0%	16	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>46 47.4%</b>	<b>20 20.6%</b>	<b>21 21.6%</b>	<b>7 7.2%</b>	<b>1 1.0%</b>	<b>2 2.1%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>97</b>	
	SITE 737	BARTON	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5
		CEDAR	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8
DADE		0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1	
JASPER		9 22.5%	6 15.0%	6 15.0%	9 22.5%	8 20.0%	2 5.0%	0 0.0%	40	
MCDONALD		3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8	
NEWTON		1 7.1%	4 28.6%	2 14.3%	5 35.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14	
VERNON		2 40.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>18 22.2%</b>	<b>17 21.0%</b>	<b>9 11.1%</b>	<b>20 24.7%</b>	<b>13 16.0%</b>	<b>4 4.9%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>81</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	1 8.3%	0 0.0%	4 33.3%	3 25.0%	4 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12	
	CHRISTIAN	2 14.3%	4 28.6%	2 14.3%	4 28.6%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	1 7.1%	14	
	DOUGLAS	4 33.3%	2 16.7%	0 0.0%	6 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	12	
	LAWRENCE	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	7	
	OZARK	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1	
	STONE	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8	
	TANEY	14 28.6%	13 26.5%	10 20.4%	6 12.2%	5 10.2%	0 0.0%	1 2.0%	49	
	WRIGHT	4 25.0%	6 37.5%	0 0.0%	3 18.8%	3 18.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29 24.4%</b>	<b>28 23.5%</b>	<b>18 15.1%</b>	<b>26 21.8%</b>	<b>15 12.6%</b>	<b>1 0.8%</b>	<b>2 1.7%</b>	<b>119</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	35 28.0%	20 16.0%	27 21.6%	20 16.0%	17 13.6%	5 4.0%	1 0.8%	125
	* SITE TOTAL *	35 28.0%	20 16.0%	27 21.6%	20 16.0%	17 13.6%	5 4.0%	1 0.8%	125
SITE 740	CHARITON	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5
	GRUNDY	2 33.3%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6
	HARRISON	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	4 57.1%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	7
	LINN	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6
	MERCER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	7 24.1%	4 13.8%	2 6.9%	11 37.9%	2 6.9%	2 6.9%	1 3.4%	29
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1 10.0%	7 70.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10
	MARION	2 14.3%	6 42.9%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14
	MONROE	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
	PIKE	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8
	RALLS	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	6 12.5%	17 35.4%	8 16.7%	6 12.5%	5 10.4%	2 4.2%	4 8.3%	48
	* SITE TOTAL *	12 14.3%	31 36.9%	20 23.8%	6 7.1%	8 9.5%	2 2.4%	5 6.0%	84
	SITE 931	ADAIR	5 31.3%	3 18.8%	2 12.5%	1 6.3%	2 12.5%	2 12.5%	1 6.3%
CLARK		1 12.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	8
KNOX		0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
LEWIS		1 16.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6
MACON		6 31.6%	2 10.5%	3 15.8%	5 26.3%	2 10.5%	1 5.3%	0 0.0%	19
SCHUYLER		0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	3
SCOTLAND		0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	2
SHELBY		0 0.0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8
* SITE TOTAL *		13 20.3%	12 18.8%	9 14.1%	13 20.3%	6 9.4%	5 7.8%	6 9.4%	64
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	2 12.5%	2 12.5%	0 0.0%	6 37.5%	5 31.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.3%
	FRANKLIN	5 17.9%	5 17.9%	2 7.1%	2 7.1%	8 28.6%	4 14.3%	2 7.1%	28
	GASCONADE	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	7
	JEFFERSON	10 13.9%	9 12.5%	5 6.9%	19 26.4%	21 29.2%	3 4.2%	5 6.9%	72
	MONTGOMERY	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	2 22.2%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	9
	OSAGE	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	WARREN	2 12.5%	4 25.0%	1 6.3%	4 25.0%	1 6.3%	1 6.3%	3 18.8%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	21 14.0%	22 14.7%	10 6.7%	36 24.0%	39 26.0%	11 7.3%	11 7.3%	150
	SITE 933	CASS	12 46.2%	2 7.7%	1 3.8%	5 19.2%	2 7.7%	2 7.7%	2 7.7%
COOPER		2 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	4 40.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	2 20.0%	10
JOHNSON		6 60.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10
LAFAYETTE		1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5
PETTIS		1 7.1%	1 7.1%	3 21.4%	6 42.9%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.1%	14
SALINE		3 30.0%	0 0.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	10
* SITE TOTAL *		25 33.3%	4 5.3%	8 10.7%	17 22.7%	10 13.3%	5 6.7%	6 8.0%	75
SITE 934		CARROLL	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%
	CLAY	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 28.6%	7
	PLATTE	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
	RAY	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	3 14.3%	2 9.5%	6 28.6%	4 19.0%	2 9.5%	2 9.5%	2 9.5%	21
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	2 18.2%	5 45.5%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4 11.1%	7 19.4%	9 25.0%	8 22.2%	3 8.3%	2 5.6%	3 8.3%	36
	MADISON	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
	PERRY	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	2 15.4%	5 38.5%	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	13
	ST FRANCOIS	5 11.6%	6 14.0%	10 23.3%	11 25.6%	2 4.7%	8 18.6%	1 2.3%	43
	STE GENEVIEVE	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	5
	SCOTT	1 8.3%	3 25.0%	4 33.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	1 8.3%	12
	WASHINGTON	1 6.3%	3 18.8%	8 50.0%	3 18.8%	1 6.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	18 12.4%	20 13.8%	38 26.2%	38 26.2%	11 7.6%	13 9.0%	7 4.8%	145
	SITE 936	BUTLER	1 3.1%	13 40.6%	4 12.5%	8 25.0%	6 18.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%
DUNKLIN		4 23.5%	6 35.3%	4 23.5%	1 5.9%	1 5.9%	0 0.0%	1 5.9%	17
NEW MADRID		2 22.2%	1 11.1%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9
PEMISCOT		2 13.3%	9 60.0%	1 6.7%	2 13.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15
RIPLEY		1 7.7%	3 23.1%	3 23.1%	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	13
STODDARD		1 5.6%	3 16.7%	5 27.8%	5 27.8%	2 11.1%	2 11.1%	0 0.0%	18
* SITE TOTAL *		11 10.6%	35 33.7%	20 19.2%	22 21.2%	12 11.5%	3 2.9%	1 1.0%	104
STATE TOTAL	480 25.3%	401 21.1%	286 15.1%	351 18.5%	223 11.7%	90 4.7%	69 3.6%	1,900	

**Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted During FY 2017**

Parenting Skills Problems	1,516	79.8%
Parent/Child Conflict	882	46.4%
Communication	874	46.0%
Child Neglect	764	40.2%
Mental Health Problems	734	38.6%
Emotional Problems	511	26.9%
Drug Abuse	358	18.8%
Severe Financial Problems	319	16.8%
Transportation	290	15.3%
Physical Abuse	265	13.9%
Medical Illness/Disability	223	11.7%
Marital Conflict	184	9.7%
School Problems	152	8.0%
Physical Violence	148	7.8%
Shelter	143	7.5%
Homelessness	143	7.5%
Alcohol Abuse	100	5.3%
Developmental Disability	69	3.6%
Criminal Behavior	61	3.2%
Sexual Abuse	59	3.1%
Delinquent Behavior	40	2.1%
Pregnancy	34	1.8%
Suicide Attempts	27	1.4%
Runaway	20	1.1%
Prostitution	4	0.2%

---

## ***Intensive In-Home Services***

---

### **Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables**

Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

### **Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2017**

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.



## **At-Risk Children Served**

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	50	14.6%	113	32.9%	132	38.5%	48	14.0%	343
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>14.0%</b>	<b>343</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	39	7.1%	126	22.9%	240	43.6%	146	26.5%	551
	ST LOUIS CITY	43	11.3%	115	30.3%	148	38.9%	74	19.5%	380
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>931</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	7	8.5%	22	26.8%	31	37.8%	22	26.8%	82
	CALLAWAY	2	4.8%	14	33.3%	14	33.3%	12	28.6%	42
	COLE	9	23.7%	10	26.3%	15	39.5%	4	10.5%	38
	HOWARD	3	18.8%	7	43.8%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	16
	RANDOLPH	3	5.7%	17	32.1%	29	54.7%	4	7.5%	53
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>231</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	8	23.5%	9	26.5%	14	41.2%	3	8.8%	34
	CALDWELL	2	14.3%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	14
	CLINTON	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GENTRY	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HOLT	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	13
	LIVINGSTON	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WORTH	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33.7%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>98</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		0	0.0%	7	38.9%	8	44.4%	3	16.7%	18
DENT		2	28.6%	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7
HOWELL		1	4.8%	6	28.6%	12	57.1%	2	9.5%	21
IRON		2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
MARIES		0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
OREGON		0	0.0%	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	7
PHELPS		8	17.0%	12	25.5%	21	44.7%	6	12.8%	47
PULASKI		3	8.8%	12	35.3%	14	41.2%	5	14.7%	34
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	2	25.0%	4	50.0%	2	25.0%	8
SHANNON		1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	5
TEXAS		1	6.3%	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	4	25.0%	16
WAYNE		1	8.3%	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>43.4%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>182</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	2	15.4%	5	38.5%	13
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	2	11.1%	5	27.8%	7	38.9%	4	22.2%	18
	DALLAS	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	6	50.0%	2	16.7%	12
	HENRY	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	9
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	15	28.3%	17	32.1%	16	30.2%	5	9.4%	53
	MILLER	2	7.4%	11	40.7%	11	40.7%	3	11.1%	27
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	4	30.8%	7	53.8%	2	15.4%	13
	MORGAN	1	3.2%	8	25.8%	15	48.4%	7	22.6%	31
	POLK	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	WEBSTER	4	8.7%	17	37.0%	18	39.1%	7	15.2%	46
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12.4%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>36.9%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>233</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%
CEDAR		3	21.4%	5	35.7%	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	14
DADE		0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JASPER		6	6.8%	21	23.9%	43	48.9%	18	20.5%	88
MCDONALD		4	40.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	3	30.0%	10
NEWTON		2	8.7%	8	34.8%	8	34.8%	5	21.7%	23
VERNON		2	18.2%	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	11
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>20</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>41.6%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>154</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	4	14.3%	10	35.7%	11	39.3%	3	10.7%	28
	CHRISTIAN	3	12.5%	7	29.2%	12	50.0%	2	8.3%	24
	DOUGLAS	3	10.0%	8	26.7%	10	33.3%	9	30.0%	30
	LAWRENCE	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	5	38.5%	13
	OZARK	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	STONE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	10
	TANEY	12	12.8%	28	29.8%	40	42.6%	14	14.9%	94
	WRIGHT	4	9.3%	17	39.5%	19	44.2%	3	7.0%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>29.9%</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>244</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	30	11.0%	71	26.1%	117	43.0%	54	19.9%	272
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>272</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	9
	GRUNDY	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	11
	HARRISON	2	13.3%	4	26.7%	8	53.3%	1	6.7%	15
	LINN	0	0.0%	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	10
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	PUTNAM	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>15.1%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>24.5%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39.6%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>53</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	3.7%	8	29.6%	14	51.9%	4	14.8%	27
	MARION	4	10.8%	10	27.0%	19	51.4%	4	10.8%	37
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	11
	RALLS	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	8
	ST CHARLES	5	5.0%	19	18.8%	49	48.5%	28	27.7%	101
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>184</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	13.0%	2	8.7%	7	30.4%	11	47.8%	23
	CLARK	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	7	50.0%	14
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	LEWIS	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	7	58.3%	0	0.0%	12
	MACON	3	6.3%	21	43.8%	13	27.1%	11	22.9%	48
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SHELBY	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>122</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	2	7.4%	8	29.6%	12	44.4%	5	18.5%
FRANKLIN		5	9.6%	14	26.9%	16	30.8%	17	32.7%	52
GASCONADE		2	15.4%	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	13
JEFFERSON		5	3.4%	27	18.6%	70	48.3%	43	29.7%	145
MONTGOMERY		1	5.3%	4	21.1%	6	31.6%	8	42.1%	19
OSAGE		1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
WARREN		6	14.3%	13	31.0%	12	28.6%	11	26.2%	42
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>22</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>23.3%</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>40.9%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>301</b>
SITE 933	CASS	4	8.7%	16	34.8%	15	32.6%	11	23.9%	46
	COOPER	1	6.3%	4	25.0%	5	31.3%	6	37.5%	16
	JOHNSON	2	11.1%	9	50.0%	3	16.7%	4	22.2%	18
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	8	66.7%	3	25.0%	12
	PETTIS	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	15	53.6%	7	25.0%	28
	SALINE	2	9.5%	5	23.8%	9	42.9%	5	23.8%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>39.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>141</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	9
	CLAY	2	12.5%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	2	12.5%	16
	PLATTE	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	RAY	2	12.5%	4	25.0%	7	43.8%	3	18.8%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>37.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>17.4%</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	5	29.4%	6	35.3%	6	35.3%	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	9.7%	13	21.0%	29	46.8%	14	22.6%	62
	MADISON	1	6.7%	5	33.3%	4	26.7%	5	33.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PERRY	2	7.1%	5	17.9%	15	53.6%	6	21.4%	28
	ST FRANCOIS	12	14.1%	16	18.8%	37	43.5%	20	23.5%	85
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	SCOTT	4	20.0%	8	40.0%	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	4	13.3%	4	13.3%	13	43.3%	9	30.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>24.1%</b>	<b>266</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	7	10.0%	30	42.9%	27	38.6%	6	8.6%	70
	DUNKLIN	3	8.1%	7	18.9%	19	51.4%	8	21.6%	37
	NEW MADRID	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	6	37.5%	3	18.8%	16
	PEMISCOT	3	7.5%	13	32.5%	16	40.0%	8	20.0%	40
	RIPLEY	1	3.1%	9	28.1%	14	43.8%	8	25.0%	32
	STODDARD	3	8.3%	12	33.3%	15	41.7%	6	16.7%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>42.0%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>419</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>1,117</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>1,657</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>839</b>	<b>20.8%</b>	<b>4,032</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON	161	46.9%	182	53.1%	343	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>46.9%</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>343</b>	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	266	48.3%	285	51.7%	551	
	ST LOUIS CITY	177	46.6%	203	53.4%	380	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>931</b>	
SITE 733	BOONE	35	42.7%	47	57.3%	82	
	CALLAWAY	21	50.0%	21	50.0%	42	
	COLE	23	60.5%	15	39.5%	38	
	HOWARD	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	16	
	RANDOLPH	26	49.1%	27	50.9%	53	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>116</b>	<b>50.2%</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>231</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9	
	ATCHISON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
	BUCHANAN	14	41.2%	20	58.8%	34	
	CALDWELL	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14	
	CLINTON	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5	
	DAVIESS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	DE KALB	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5	
	GENTRY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6	
	HOLT	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	13	
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	
	WORTH	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>98</b>	
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
CRAWFORD		6	33.3%	12	66.7%	18	
DENT		2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7	
HOWELL		15	71.4%	6	28.6%	21	
IRON		2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4	
MARIES		1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3	
OREGON		4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	
PHELPS		25	53.2%	22	46.8%	47	
PULASKI		17	50.0%	17	50.0%	34	
REYNOLDS		2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8	
SHANNON		4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	
TEXAS		9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16	
WAYNE		8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>95</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>182</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13	
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	CAMDEN	5	27.8%	13	72.2%	18	
	DALLAS	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	12	
	HENRY	2	22.2%	7	77.8%	9	
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	LACLEDE	28	52.8%	25	47.2%	53	
	MILLER	11	40.7%	16	59.3%	27	
	MONITEAU	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	
	MORGAN	14	45.2%	17	54.8%	31	
	POLK	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10	
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	WEBSTER	23	50.0%	23	50.0%	46	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>233</b>	
	SITE 737	BARTON	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
		CEDAR	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	14
DADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
JASPER		40	45.5%	48	54.5%	88	
MCDONALD		7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10	
NEWTON		15	65.2%	8	34.8%	23	
VERNON		5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>81</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>154</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	28	
	CHRISTIAN	12	50.0%	12	50.0%	24	
	DOUGLAS	11	36.7%	19	63.3%	30	
	LAWRENCE	5	38.5%	8	61.5%	13	
	OZARK	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	STONE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10	
	TANEY	50	53.2%	44	46.8%	94	
	WRIGHT	20	46.5%	23	53.5%	43	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>126</b>	<b>51.6%</b>	<b>244</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

**Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	
SITE 739	GREENE	127	46.7%	145	53.3%	272
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>272</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	GRUNDY	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	HARRISON	5	33.3%	10	66.7%	15
	LINN	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MERCER	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>45.3%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>53</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	48.1%	14	51.9%	27
	MARION	14	37.8%	23	62.2%	37
	MONROE	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	PIKE	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	RALLS	45	44.6%	56	55.4%	101
	ST CHARLES	83	45.1%	101	54.9%	184
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>59.2%</b>	<b>142</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	10	43.5%	13	56.5%	23
	CLARK	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	14
	KNOX	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	LEWIS	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12
	MACON	15	31.3%	33	68.8%	48
	SCHUYLER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5
	SHELBY	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>42.6%</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>122</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	10	37.0%	17	63.0%
FRANKLIN		24	46.2%	28	53.8%	52
GASCONADE		8	61.5%	5	38.5%	13
JEFFERSON		68	46.9%	77	53.1%	145
MONTGOMERY		11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
OSAGE		1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
WARREN		19	45.2%	23	54.8%	42
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>141</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>301</b>
SITE 933	CASS	20	43.5%	26	56.5%	46
	COOPER	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	JOHNSON	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18
	LAFAYETTE	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	12
	PETTIS	14	50.0%	14	50.0%	28
	SALINE	11	52.4%	10	47.6%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>141</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	CLAY	7	43.8%	9	56.3%	16
	PLATTE	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	RAY	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>52.2%</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	34	54.8%	28	45.2%	62
	MADISON	3	20.0%	12	80.0%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	PERRY	6	21.4%	22	78.6%	28
	ST FRANCOIS	44	51.8%	41	48.2%	85
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	5
	SCOTT	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	19	63.3%	11	36.7%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>54.1%</b>	<b>266</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	32	45.7%	38	54.3%	70
	DUNKLIN	17	45.9%	20	54.1%	37
	NEW MADRID	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	PEMISCOT	14	35.0%	26	65.0%	40
	RIPLEY	16	50.0%	16	50.0%	32
	STODDARD	21	58.3%	15	41.7%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,912</b>	<b>47.4%</b>	<b>2,120</b>	<b>52.6%</b>	<b>4,032</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	136	39.7%	168	49.0%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	6	1.7%	31	9.0%	343
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>39.7%</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>343</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	154	27.9%	330	59.9%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	1	0.2%	10	1.8%	54	9.8%	551
	ST LOUIS CITY	45	11.8%	283	74.5%	1	0.3%	2	0.5%	1	0.3%	11	2.9%	37	9.7%	380
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>613</b>	<b>65.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>931</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	46	56.1%	21	25.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	7.3%	9	11.0%	82
	CALLAWAY	35	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	16.7%	42
	COLE	17	44.7%	17	44.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	38
	HOWARD	13	81.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	18.8%	16
	RANDOLPH	40	75.5%	4	7.5%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	7.5%	4	7.5%	53
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>65.4%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>231</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	ATCHISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	30	88.2%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.8%	34
	CALDWELL	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	CLINTON	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GENTRY	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	6
	HOLT	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WORTH	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>91.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>98</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		17	94.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	18
DENT		6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
HOWELL		21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
IRON		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
MARIES		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
OREGON		4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7
PHELPS		45	95.7%	2	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47
PULASKI		28	82.4%	6	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
REYNOLDS		8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
SHANNON		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
TEXAS		15	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
WAYNE		10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>166</b>	<b>91.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>182</b>
SITE 736	BATES	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	15	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	2	11.1%	18
	DALLAS	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	HENRY	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	38	71.7%	6	11.3%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	4	7.5%	53
	MILLER	24	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	27
	MONITEAU	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13
	MORGAN	29	93.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.5%	31
	POLK	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	43	93.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.5%	46
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>203</b>	<b>87.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>233</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
	CEDAR	12	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	14
	DADE	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	JASPER	72	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	11.4%	6	6.8%	88
	MCDONALD	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	NEWTON	20	87.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	23
	VERNON	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>85.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>154</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	26	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	28
	CHRISTIAN	20	83.3%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	12.5%	24
	DOUGLAS	30	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30
	LAWRENCE	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13
	OZARK	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	TANEY	80	85.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	6	6.4%	6	6.4%	94
	WRIGHT	41	95.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	1	2.3%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>244</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	222	81.6%	22	8.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	16	5.9%	11	4.0%	272
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>272</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	GRUNDY	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	HARRISON	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	LINN	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	MERCER	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PUTNAM	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>96.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>53</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	24	88.9%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	27
	MARION	31	83.8%	4	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	37
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	RALLS	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	89	88.1%	9	8.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	101
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>88.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>184</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	18	78.3%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	CLARK	13	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	14
	KNOX	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	LEWIS	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	MACON	43	89.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	10.4%	48
	SCHUYLER	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SHELBY	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>122</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	21	77.8%	3	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	27
	FRANKLIN	49	94.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	52
	GASCONADE	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	13
	JEFFERSON	135	93.1%	3	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	5	3.4%	145
	MONTGOMERY	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
	OSAGE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	30	71.4%	9	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.1%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>88.7%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>301</b>
SITE 933	CASS	38	82.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	6.5%	5	10.9%	46
	COOPER	11	68.8%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	JOHNSON	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	LAFAYETTE	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	PETTIS	22	78.6%	5	17.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	28
	SALINE	20	95.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>85.8%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>141</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	CLAY	13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	PLATTE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	RAY	15	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>82.6%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	44	71.0%	10	16.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	11.3%	1	1.6%	62
	MADISON	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PERRY	27	96.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	28
	ST FRANCOIS	84	98.8%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	85
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	12	60.0%	8	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	24	80.0%	5	16.7%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>232</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>8.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>266</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	65	92.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	3	4.3%	70
	DUNKLIN	27	73.0%	9	24.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	37
	NEW MADRID	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	PEMISCOT	11	27.5%	26	65.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.5%	40
	RIPLEY	31	96.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	32
	STODDARD	28	77.8%	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>74.9%</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>2,669</b>	<b>66.2%</b>	<b>985</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>4,032</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE CATEGORIES		LEARNING DISABLED CLASS		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS		EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 731	JACKSON	340	99.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>340</b>	<b>99.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	537	97.5%	6	1.1%	2	0.4%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
	ST LOUIS CITY	376	98.9%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>913</b>	<b>98.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	74	90.2%	2	2.4%	1	1.2%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	41	97.6%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	36	94.7%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%
	HOWARD	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	52	98.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>94.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	31	91.2%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALDWELL	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLINTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOLT	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>94.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
CRAWFORD		16	88.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	0.0%
DENT		7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HOWELL		21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
IRON		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MARIES		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OREGON		7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHELPS		46	97.9%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PULASKI		34	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
REYNOLDS		8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SHANNON		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TEXAS		16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE		12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>179</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HENRY	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	50	94.3%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MILLER	27	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONITEAU	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	31	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WEBSTER	46	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>98.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CEDAR	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DADE	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JASPER	88	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MCDONALD	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEWTON	23	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	VERNON	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>99.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	27	96.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CHRISTIAN	24	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DOUGLAS	30	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	94	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	40	93.0%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY				PHYSICALLY DISABLED				SUMMER SCHOOL	TOTAL	
		OTHER		DISABLED CLASS		ENRICHMENT CLASSES		CLASS				
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%	343
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>343</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.4%	551
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	380
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>931</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	82
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	COLE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	38
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	RANDOLPH	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	53
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>231</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	1	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HOLT	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>98</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CRAWFORD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	DENT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HOWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	IRON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	OREGON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PHELPS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47
	PULASKI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	TEXAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>182</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	HENRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	53
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>233</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	JASPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	88
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	VERNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>154</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	28
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	TANEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	94
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	43
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>244</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE CATEGORIES		LEARNING DISABLED CLASS		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS		EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 739	GREENE	263	96.7%	3	1.1%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>96.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>96.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	27	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARION	35	94.6%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PIKE	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	100	99.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>98.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	22	95.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLARK	13	92.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KNOX	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LEWIS	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MACON	47	97.9%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCHUYLER	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTLAND	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHELBY	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>95.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	26	96.3%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
FRANKLIN		51	98.1%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GASCONADE		13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON		140	96.6%	3	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY		18	94.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OSAGE		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN		42	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>293</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	46	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COOPER	14	87.5%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	27	96.4%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>137</b>	<b>97.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	15	93.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	62	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MADISON	14	93.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	28	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	81	95.3%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	19	95.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	29	96.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>97.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	67	95.7%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	35	94.6%	0	0.0%	2	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEW MADRID	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	39	97.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	31	96.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	35	97.2%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>96.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>3,935</b>	<b>97.6%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

### Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY				PHYSICALLY DISABLED				SUMMER SCHOOL	TOTAL	
		OTHER		DISABLED CLASS		ENRICHMENT CLASSES		CLASS				
SITE 739	GREENE	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.7%	1	0.4%	272
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>272</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>53</b>	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	MARION	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	101
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>184</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	CLARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	MACON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	48
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>122</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	FRANKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	52
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	JEFFERSON	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	145
	MONTGOMERY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.3%	19
	OSAGE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>301</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46
	COOPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
	SALINE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>141</b>	
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	CLAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>46</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PERRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
	ST FRANCOIS	2	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	85
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>266</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	70
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	40
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>231</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>4,032</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		HOSPITAL									
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	0.0%	12	3.5%	247	72.0%	1	0.3%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.5%</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	13	2.4%	38	6.9%	408	74.0%	8	1.5%	0	0.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	5	1.3%	14	3.7%	275	72.4%	3	0.8%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>683</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	75	91.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	40	95.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	92.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	1	1.9%	7	13.2%	38	71.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	94.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	13	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	53.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>90.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	7	38.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DENT	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWELL	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	19	90.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	IRON	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OREGON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PHELPS	0	0.0%	4	8.5%	29	61.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PULASKI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	73.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TEXAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	1	5.6%	0	0.0%	15	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HENRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	3	5.7%	49	92.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	90.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	38	82.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JASPER	1	1.1%	3	3.4%	75	85.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	VERNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>87.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	82.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	21	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	20	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	69	73.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	1	2.3%	39	90.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>79.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	60	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	6.7%	343
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	6.7%	343
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	78	14.2%	4	0.7%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	551
	ST LOUIS CITY	78	20.5%	5	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	380
	* SITE TOTAL *	156	16.8%	9	1.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	931
SITE 733	BOONE	6	7.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	82
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	COLE	3	7.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	38
	HOWARD	1	6.3%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	RANDOLPH	5	9.4%	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	53
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	6.5%	7	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	231
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	2	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	34
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HOLT	6	46.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	98
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CRAWFORD	8	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	DENT	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HOWELL	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	IRON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	OREGON	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PHELPS	14	29.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47
	PULASKI	6	17.6%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	34
	REYNOLDS	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	TEXAS	12	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	16
	WAYNE	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	62	34.1%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	5	2.7%	182
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	23.1%	13
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	18
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	53
	MILLER	9	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	MORGAN	3	9.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31
	POLK	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	WEBSTER	7	15.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	46
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	9.4%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	5	2.1%	233
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	JASPER	8	9.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	88
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	NEWTON	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	VERNON	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	11
* SITE TOTAL *	9	5.8%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%	2	1.3%	154	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	4	14.3%	28
	CHRISTIAN	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	DOUGLAS	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	20.0%	30
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	13
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	TANEY	17	18.1%	2	2.1%	0	0.0%	4	4.3%	94
	WRIGHT	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	43
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	9.8%	3	1.2%	0	0.0%	16	6.6%	244

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		HOSPITAL									
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0.0%	4	1.5%	241	88.6%	8	2.9%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>88.6%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	4	26.7%	11	73.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>88.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	22	81.5%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%
	MARION	1	2.7%	0	0.0%	30	81.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PIKE	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	6	5.9%	94	93.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>88.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	3	13.0%	14	60.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLARK	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	11	78.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MACON	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	41	85.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	46.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>77.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	19	70.4%	0	0.0%	0
FRANKLIN		1	1.9%	1	1.9%	45	86.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GASCONADE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	69.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON		2	1.4%	9	6.2%	75	51.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY		0	0.0%	1	5.3%	17	89.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OSAGE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN		0	0.0%	3	7.1%	23	54.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>63.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	5	10.9%	27	58.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COOPER	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	12	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	24	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	14	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>74.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	81.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	3.2%	4	6.5%	56	90.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MADISON	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	10	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	2	7.1%	4	14.3%	21	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	1	1.2%	3	3.5%	76	89.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27	90.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>86.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	63	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	1	2.7%	22	59.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	43.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	31	77.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	1	3.1%	27	84.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	30	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>77.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>3,118</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	

## Intensive In-Home Services

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2017

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		MISSING		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	11	4.0%	2	0.7%	0	0.0%	6	2.2%	272
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>272</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	LINN	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>53</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	MARION	6	16.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	101
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>184</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	6	26.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CLARK		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
KNOX		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
LEWIS		1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
MACON		5	10.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	48
SCHUYLER		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
SCOTLAND		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
SHELBY		7	53.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>19</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>122</b>
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	FRANKLIN	2	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	5.8%	52
	GASCONADE	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	JEFFERSON	49	33.8%	6	4.1%	0	0.0%	4	2.8%	145
	MONTGOMERY	1	5.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19
	OSAGE	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	15	35.7%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>301</b>
	SITE 933	CASS	10	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	8.7%
COOPER		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
JOHNSON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
LAFAYETTE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
PETTIS		1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
SALINE		6	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>17</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>141</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	6	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	CLAY	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	PLATTE	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	RAY	12	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	62
	MADISON	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	15
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PERRY	0	0.0%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0.0%	3	3.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	85
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	30
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>266</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	4	5.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	70
	DUNKLIN	14	37.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	37
	NEW MADRID	9	56.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	PEMISCOT	7	17.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	RIPLEY	4	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32
	STODDARD	5	13.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>557</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>4,032</b>	

**Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Children Accepted During FY 2017**

Parent/Child Conflict	1,554	38.5%
Child Neglect	1,475	36.6%
Communication	1,333	33.1%
Parenting Skills Problems	947	23.5%
Mental Health Problems	903	22.4%
Emotional Problems	817	20.3%
School Problems	653	16.2%
Physical Abuse	368	9.1%
Delinquent Behavior	314	7.8%
Transportation	201	5.0%
Medical Illness/Disability	197	4.9%
Homelessness	194	4.8%
Physical Violence	186	4.6%
Shelter	142	3.5%
Severe Financial Problems	139	3.4%
Drug Abuse	135	3.3%
Developmental Disability	135	3.3%
Sexual Abuse	127	3.2%
Runaway	87	2.2%
Suicide Attempts	53	1.3%
Criminal Behavior	34	.8%
Alcohol Abuse	25	.6%
Marital Conflict	24	0.6%
Pregnancy	13	0.3%
Prostitution	3	0.1%

**Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables**

Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated. Each at-risk child is only counted once. If an at-risk child is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

**Table 19 At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2017**

- NONE includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE CATEGORIES includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT CLASSES includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

**Table 21 At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2017**

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.




# **Outcomes for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services**

**Intensive In-Home Services**

**Table 22. Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services  
(Based on 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After IIS Exit)**

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
FY 2013	3,185	62	71	66	88	107	62	54	3,695
FY 2014	3,553	60	87	68	94	134	64	0	4,060
FY 2015	3,490	44	69	66	85	108	0	0	3,862
FY 2016	3,617	39	84	49	63	0	0	0	3,852


**Table 23. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit**



**Table 24. Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children  
Exiting Intensive In-Home Services  
(Based on 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)**

Fiscal Year	No OOH	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
FY 2013	2,634	207	204	171	128	176	95	80	3,695
FY 2014	2,970	256	228	141	146	211	108	0	4,060
FY 2015	2,949	230	245	119	174	144	1	0	3,862
FY 2016	3,108	221	276	111	136	0	0	0	3,852

**Table 25. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their exit**



**Notes: Outcomes During FY 2017**

At-Risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2013, FY 2014, FY 2015 and FY 2016 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

**Table 22 Families and At-Risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016**

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.