



# **Intensive In-Home Services**

## **Annual Report Fiscal Year 2022**



# OUR MISSION

*Empower Missourians  
to live safe, healthy, &  
productive lives*



MICHAEL L. PARSON, GOVERNOR • ROBERT J. KNODELL, ACTING DIRECTOR

DARRELL MISSEY, DIRECTOR

CHILDREN'S DIVISION

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088

WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2022. Material in this report covers the activities during July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. It includes information regarding children and families referred, served and exiting the program. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division within the Department of Social Services.



AUXILIARY AIDS AND SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST TO INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES TDD

/ TTY: 800-735-2966

RELAY MISSOURI: 711

*Missouri Department of Social Services is an Equal Opportunity Employer/Program.*



# Table of Contents

<b>Families and Children Referred and Served</b> .....	<b>1</b>
Table 1. Families Referred for IIS .....	2
Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted .....	4
Table 3. Families and Children Accepted .....	6
Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code .....	8
Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month .....	10
Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted Percentages .....	10
Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention .....	11
Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site .....	11
Table 9. Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status .....	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables .....	14
<b>Heads of Household Served</b> .....	<b>17</b>
Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted .....	18
Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted .....	20
Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted .....	22
Table 13. Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted .....	24
Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted .....	28
Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	30
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables .....	31
<b>At-Risk Children Served</b> .....	<b>33</b>
Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	34
Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	36
Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted .....	38
Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category .....	40
Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented .....	44
Table 21. Imminent Risk Category for At-Risk Children at Case Opening .....	48
Table 22. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted .....	48
Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables .....	49
<b>Outcomes for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services</b> .....	<b>51</b>
Table 23. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Substantiated CA/N Report for At-Risk Children .....	52
Table 24. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit ...	52
Table 25. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children .....	53
Table 26. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who entered LS1 within 48 months of their exit .....	53
Notes: Outcomes Tables .....	54



# **Families and Children Referred and Served**

**Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	101	65.6%	52	33.8%	1	0.6%	154
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>33.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>154</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	138	62.4%	76	34.4%	7	3.2%	221
	ST LOUIS CITY	44	57.1%	32	41.6%	1	1.3%	77
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>61.1%</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>298</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	26	70.3%	8	21.6%	3	8.1%	37
	CALLAWAY	23	76.7%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	30
	COLE	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	0.0%	14
	HOWARD	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	23	74.2%	8	25.8%	0	0.0%	31
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>119</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	19
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLINTON	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	NODAWAY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>45</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
DENT		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
HOWELL		6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%	11
IRON		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
MARIES		3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
OREGON		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
PHELPS		16	66.7%	8	33.3%	0	0.0%	24
PULASKI		6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	0.0%	12
REYNOLDS		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>70.2%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	CAMDEN	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	9
	DALLAS	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11
	HENRY	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	0.0%	12
	HICKORY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LACLEDE	17	68.0%	8	32.0%	0	0.0%	25
	MILLER	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
	MORGAN	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	6
	POLK	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	7
	ST CLAIR	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	5
	WEBSTER	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>65.6%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>122</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%
CEDAR		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	6
DADE		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
JASPER		39	42.4%	51	55.4%	2	2.2%	92
MCDONALD		13	41.9%	17	54.8%	1	3.2%	31
NEWTON		14	38.9%	22	61.1%	0	0.0%	36
VERNON		12	70.6%	5	29.4%	0	0.0%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>98</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>200</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	29	69.0%	13	31.0%	0	0.0%	42
	DOUGLAS	11	73.3%	4	26.7%	0	0.0%	15
	LAWRENCE	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	13
	OZARK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	STONE	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	TANEY	16	45.7%	19	54.3%	0	0.0%	35
	WRIGHT	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	0.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>147</b>



**Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	ACCEPTED		NOT ACCEPTED		ACCEPTED FOR SCREENING		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	70	60.9%	43	37.4%	2	1.7%	115
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>60.9%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>115</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	4
	GRUNDY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	HARRISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LINN	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>29</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	12	29.3%	29	70.7%	0	0.0%	41
	MARION	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	MONROE	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	6
	PIKE	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	8
	RALLS	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	ST CHARLES	42	44.2%	52	54.7%	1	1.1%	95
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>163</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	0	0.0%	18
	CLARK	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	8
	KNOX	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LEWIS	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MACON	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	SCHUYLER	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SHELBY	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>47.1%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>52.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>70</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		25	71.4%	9	25.7%	1	2.9%	35
GASCONADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JEFFERSON		78	48.8%	82	51.3%	0	0.0%	160
MONTGOMERY		11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
OSAGE		2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	6
WARREN		14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>143</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>41.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>248</b>
SITE 933	CASS	12	57.1%	9	42.9%	0	0.0%	21
	COOPER	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	JOHNSON	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PETTIS	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	12
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>67.2%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>32.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>58</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8
	PLATTE	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>77.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	28.6%	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	7
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	8	57.1%	5	35.7%	1	7.1%	14
	MADISON	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	MISSISSIPPI	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PERRY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	ST FRANCOIS	24	58.5%	15	36.6%	2	4.9%	41
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	SCOTT	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	0	0.0%	19
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>33.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	45	97.8%	1	2.2%	0	0.0%	46
	DUNKLIN	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	0.0%	13
	NEW MADRID	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	0	0.0%	22
	PEMISCOT	23	76.7%	7	23.3%	0	0.0%	30
	RIPLEY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	STODDARD	29	80.6%	6	16.7%	1	2.8%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>81.6%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,321</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>775</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>2,126</b>	

**Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														NO	TOTAL		
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		REASON ENTERED	
SITE 731	JACKSON	2	0	0	0	10	1	11	17	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	23	72	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>72</b>	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	15	0	17	24	3	21	16	0	2	0	1	0	13	0	17	130	
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	1	1	12	15	0	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	11	0	5	53	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>183</b>	
SITE 733	BOONE	0	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	
	CALLAWAY	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	
	COLE	0	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	10	
	HOWARD	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	RANDOLPH	0	0	17	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>56</b>	
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	DAVIES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRAWFORD		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DENT		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
HOWELL		0	1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
IRON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
MARIES		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
OREGON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PHELPS		3	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
PULASKI		1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
REYNOLDS		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
SHANNON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TEXAS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
WAYNE		0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>46</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	HENRY	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	9	
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	5	
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	16	
	MILLER	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	MONITEAU	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	MORGAN	0	9	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
	POLK	0	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	11	
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	WEBSTER	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>83</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	CEDAR	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	DADE	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	JASPER	1	5	0	0	3	0	1	48	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	59	
	MCDONALD	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	NEWTON	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	
	VERNON	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>118</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	
	CHRISTIAN	0	31	1	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	47	
	DOUGLAS	2	8	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
	LAWRENCE	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	STONE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
	TANEY	0	25	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	37	
	WRIGHT	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	6	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>124</b>	

**Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	Reason Not Accepted Codes														NO	TOTAL		
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		REASON ENTERED	
SITE 739	GREENE	9	46	0	1	16	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>78</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	GRUNDY	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LINN	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>12</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	1	0	0	5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14
	MARION	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MONROE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PIKE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ST CHARLES	0	12	0	10	5	0	2	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	44
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>67</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	CLARK	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	KNOX	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	LEWIS	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	MACON	0	1	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	8
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	SCOTLAND	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>55</b>	
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
FRANKLIN		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	11
GASCONADE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JEFFERSON		0	10	0	1	17	2	2	49	0	5	0	0	17	0	0	0	14	117
MONTGOMERY		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OSAGE		0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
WARREN		0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>147</b>	
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	COOPER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
	JOHNSON	0	4	0	1	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>37</b>	
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	RAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	10
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
	ST FRANCOIS	0	13	1	1	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	27
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	WASHINGTON	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>61</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	DUNKLIN	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	
	NEW MADRID	1	0	0	3	6	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	22	
	PEMISCOT	7	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	STODDARD	0	5	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	16
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>72</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>225</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>1,227</b>		

**Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	102	276	2.71	224	2.20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>2.20</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	137	319	2.33	275	2.01
	ST LOUIS CITY	43	108	2.51	92	2.14
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>2.37</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>2.04</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	24	45	1.88	39	1.63
	CALLAWAY	22	54	2.45	42	1.91
	COLE	9	18	2.00	14	1.56
	HOWARD	5	10	2.00	7	1.40
	RANDOLPH	23	51	2.22	42	1.83
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>2.14</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>1.73</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	11	5.50	6	3.00
	ATCHISON	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	BUCHANAN	16	39	2.44	31	1.94
	CALDWELL	1	4	4.00	1	1.00
	CLINTON	4	11	2.75	10	2.50
	DAVISS	1	5	5.00	1	1.00
	DE KALB	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	GENTRY	4	7	1.75	7	1.75
	HOLT	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	LIVINGSTON	4	8	2.00	5	1.25
	NODAWAY	6	14	2.33	9	1.50
	WORTH	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>2.59</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>1.85</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0.00	0
CRAWFORD		10	23	2.30	20	2.00
DENT		5	8	1.60	6	1.20
HOWELL		6	16	2.67	16	2.67
IRON		2	4	2.00	2	1.00
MARIES		3	10	3.33	5	1.67
OREGON		4	10	2.50	10	2.50
PHELPS		16	37	2.31	23	1.44
PULASKI		6	24	4.00	15	2.50
REYNOLDS		1	6	6.00	1	1.00
SHANNON		0	0	0.00	0	0.00
TEXAS		6	13	2.17	10	1.67
WAYNE		0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>59</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>1.83</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	4	4.00	1	1.00
	BENTON	3	11	3.67	11	3.67
	CAMDEN	6	16	2.67	11	1.83
	DALLAS	10	22	2.20	16	1.60
	HENRY	5	19	3.80	7	1.40
	HICKORY	3	10	3.33	6	2.00
	LACLEDE	17	46	2.71	39	2.29
	MILLER	6	9	1.50	9	1.50
	MONITEAU	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	MORGAN	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	POLK	3	8	2.67	3	1.00
	ST CLAIR	3	6	2.00	6	2.00
	WEBSTER	20	53	2.65	40	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>208</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>1.94</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	11	23	2.09	21	1.91
	CEDAR	4	12	3.00	10	2.50
	DADE	2	7	3.50	7	3.50
	JASPER	39	102	2.62	88	2.26
	MCDONALD	12	24	2.00	22	1.83
	NEWTON	14	35	2.50	27	1.93
	VERNON	12	24	2.00	21	1.75
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>2.41</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>2.09</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	5	13	2.60	11	2.20
	CHRISTIAN	29	63	2.17	51	1.76
	DOUGLAS	9	17	1.89	17	1.89
	LAWRENCE	10	32	3.20	24	2.40
	OZARK	3	7	2.33	5	1.67
	STONE	9	27	3.00	21	2.33
	TANEY	17	40	2.35	33	1.94
	WRIGHT	17	45	2.65	42	2.47
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>2.46</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>2.06</b>

**Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 739	GREENE	71	150	2.11	133	1.87
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>133</b>	<b>1.87</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	GRUNDY	5	14	2.80	13	2.60
	HARRISON	3	10	3.33	4	1.33
	LINN	5	8	1.60	8	1.60
	MERCER	2	4	2.00	2	1.00
	PUTNAM	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	SULLIVAN	3	9	3.00	9	3.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>2.43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>2.00</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	10	28	2.80	24	2.40
	MARION	4	12	3.00	9	2.25
	MONROE	4	13	3.25	11	2.75
	PIKE	2	4	2.00	3	1.50
	RALLS	6	11	1.83	11	1.83
	ST CHARLES	41	104	2.54	81	1.98
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>2.57</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>2.07</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	8	15	1.88	14	1.75
	CLARK	3	6	2.00	4	1.33
	KNOX	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	LEWIS	2	4	2.00	2	1.00
	MACON	7	20	2.86	13	1.86
	SCHUYLER	2	4	2.00	3	1.50
	SCOTLAND	1	3	3.00	1	1.00
	SHELBY	8	23	2.88	22	2.75
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>2.38</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>1.88</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	11	28	2.55	22
FRANKLIN		26	61	2.35	60	2.31
GASCONADE		1	2	2.00	2	2.00
JEFFERSON		80	187	2.34	140	1.75
MONTGOMERY		11	34	3.09	23	2.09
OSAGE		2	3	1.50	3	1.50
WARREN		15	38	2.53	36	2.40
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>146</b>	<b>353</b>	<b>2.42</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>1.96</b>
SITE 933	CASS	13	26	2.00	22	1.69
	COOPER	3	7	2.33	4	1.33
	JOHNSON	6	10	1.67	10	1.67
	LAFAYETTE	3	14	4.67	10	3.33
	PETTIS	9	29	3.22	22	2.44
	SALINE	7	16	2.29	11	1.57
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>2.49</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>1.93</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	2	1.00	2	1.00
	CLAY	6	10	1.67	7	1.17
	PLATTE	6	11	1.83	11	1.83
	RAY	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>1.64</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1.43</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	7	23	3.29	16	2.29
	MADISON	3	9	3.00	8	2.67
	MISSISSIPPI	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	PERRY	8	19	2.38	15	1.88
	ST FRANCOIS	24	55	2.29	40	1.67
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	10	2.50	9	2.25
	SCOTT	4	6	1.50	5	1.25
	WASHINGTON	10	29	2.90	20	2.00
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>2.51</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>1.90</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	46	106	2.30	83	1.80
	DUNKLIN	9	20	2.22	18	2.00
	NEW MADRID	13	37	2.85	36	2.77
	PENISCOT	23	84	3.65	71	3.09
	RIPLEY	5	17	3.40	11	2.20
	STODDARD	28	66	2.36	63	2.25
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>282</b>	<b>2.27</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,314</b>	<b>3,227</b>	<b>2.50</b>	<b>2,629</b>	<b>2.00</b>

**Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/		IN-	OUT-	DSS	PRIVATE			LAW	DSS	JUVENILE		TOTAL	
		FRIEND	SELF	PATIENT	PATIENT		DMH	DMH	DSS	SCHOOL		EN-	COURT		FSD
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	0	0	0	99	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	102
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	8	0	2	111	0	7	8	0	1	0	0	0	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	1	0	1	39	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>180</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
	CALLAWAY	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
	COLE	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>83</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	BUCHANAN	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	DAVISS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	GENTRY	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	HOLT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>39</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	DENT	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	IRON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PHELPS	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	PULASKI	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	TEXAS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	WAYNE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	BENTON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	DALLAS	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	HENRY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	HICKORY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	MILLER	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	MONITEAU	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MORGAN	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	POLK	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	CEDAR	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	DADE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	NEWTON	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	VERNON	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>94</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	29
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	10
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	STONE	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	TANEY	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	WRIGHT	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>99</b>	

**Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY/		IN-	OUT-	DSS	PRIVATE			LAW	DSS	JUVENILE		TOTAL	
		FRIEND	SELF	PATIENT	PATIENT		DSS	MENTAL	SCHOOL	EN-		COURT	FSD		OFFICER
				DMH	DMH	CD	DYS	HEALTH	SYSTEM	FORCE-					
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0	0	0	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>71</b>	
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	GRUNDY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	HARRISON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	LINN	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	MERCER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	PUTNAM	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	SULLIVAN	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>21</b>	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	
	MARION	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	MONROE	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	PIKE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	RALLS	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	ST CHARLES	0	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>67</b>	
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	MACON	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	SCHUYLER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	SCOTLAND	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>32</b>	
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	FRANKLIN	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	
	GASCONADE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	JEFFERSON	0	0	0	0	80	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	
	MONTGOMERY	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	
	OSAGE	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	WARREN	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>146</b>	
SITE 933	CASS	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
	COOPER	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	JOHNSON	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	LAFAYETTE	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	PETTIS	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	
	SALINE	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>41</b>	
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	CLAY	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	PLATTE	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	RAY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
	MADISON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0	0	1	16	0	6	0	0	0	1	0	24	
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	SCOTT	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>63</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	
	DUNKLIN	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
	NEW MADRID	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	
	RIPLEY	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	STODDARD	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	28	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>124</b>	
STATE TOTAL		<b>0</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1,262</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,314</b>

**Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month During FY 2022**

	Families	At-Risk Children	Not At-Risk Children	Total Children
July 2021	124	228	56	284
August 2021	117	235	54	289
September 2021	119	227	44	271
October 2021	99	177	41	218
November 2021	120	247	44	291
December 2021	87	183	40	223
January 2022	110	221	53	274
February 2022	85	199	41	240
March 2022	116	241	46	287
April 2022	118	272	53	325
May 2022	115	198	56	254
June 2022	104	201	70	271
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1,314</b>	<b>2,629</b>	<b>598</b>	<b>3,227</b>

**Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2022**

No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	293	23.9%
Child's Safety Cannot be Assured	225	18.3%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	223	18.2%
Reason not identified	143	11.7%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	90	7.3%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	65	5.3%
Unable to Contact Family	63	5.1%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	40	3.3%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	30	2.4%
Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured	17	1.4%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	15	1.2%
Child Unwilling to Participate	14	1.1%
Child is Physically Assaultive	5	0.4%
Court Not in Agreement	3	0.2%
Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement	1	0.1%
<b>Total At-Risk Children Not Accepted</b>	<b>1,227</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



**Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention During FY 2022**

<b>Dept of Social Services Children's Division</b>	1,252	86.6%
<b>Juvenile Court</b>	85	5.9%
<b>Adult Law Enforcement</b>	63	4.4%
<b>Dept of Mental Health</b>	25	1.7%
<b>Adult Criminal Court</b>	15	1.0%
<b>Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services</b>	6	0.4%
<b>Total Family Contacts</b>	<b>1,446</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

	Total Weeks of Intervention									Average Weeks of Intervention	Site Total
	<1 Wk	1 Wk	2 Wks	3 Wks	4 Wks	5 Wks	6 Wks	7 Wks	8+ Wks		
Site 731	2	5	3	10	13	29	37	1	2	4.74	102
Site 732	4	19	7	12	8	21	67	12	30	6.66	180
Site 733	2	7	3	2	8	12	45	3	1	4.95	83
Site 734	0	2	3	3	9	4	16	1	1	4.72	39
Site 735	2	1	1	3	10	17	23	1	1	4.92	59
Site 736	0	4	7	6	2	27	20	5	8	5.06	79
Site 737	3	6	5	7	3	9	53	1	7	5.50	94
Site 738	2	7	5	3	6	27	33	9	7	5.40	99
Site 739	3	12	5	7	8	31	3	1	1	3.72	71
Site 740	0	2	0	0	2	2	15	0	0	5.24	21
Site 831	2	5	0	2	7	21	21	6	3	5.04	67
Site 931	1	3	0	0	5	6	14	2	1	4.97	32
Site 932	3	14	8	8	9	33	63	3	5	4.76	146
Site 933	2	5	3	2	3	6	14	4	2	4.56	41
Site 934	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	0	0	4.43	14
Site 935	2	1	3	1	3	17	14	7	15	6.21	63
Site 936	3	1	3	5	9	15	36	14	38	8.76	124
<b>Total Families</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>478</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>5.56</b>	<b>1,314</b>

**Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES
								EXITING
SITE 731	JACKSON	93	89.4%	11	10.6%	0	0.0%	104
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>89.4%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>104</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	117	86.0%	17	12.5%	2	1.5%	136
	ST LOUIS CITY	36	80.0%	7	15.6%	2	4.4%	45
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>181</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	22	81.5%	5	18.5%	0	0.0%	27
	CALLAWAY	19	86.4%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	22
	COLE	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	9
	HOWARD	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	RANDOLPH	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>83.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>84</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	12	75.0%	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	16
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	NODAWAY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>83.8%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>37</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	DENT	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	HOWELL	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	IRON	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	MARIES	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	OREGON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PHELPS	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	18
	PULASKI	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	TEXAS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	5
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>56</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	BENTON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	CAMDEN	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	7
	DALLAS	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	0	0.0%	9
	HENRY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HICKORY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	LACLEDE	12	66.7%	5	27.8%	1	5.6%	18
	MILLER	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	MONITEAU	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	MORGAN	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	POLK	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	ST CLAIR	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WEBSTER	14	77.8%	4	22.2%	0	0.0%	18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>71.6%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>81</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%
CEDAR		4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
DADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JASPER		30	69.8%	12	27.9%	1	2.3%	43
MCDONALD		10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11
NEWTON		8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	11
VERNON		9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	11
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>72</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	20	76.9%	6	23.1%	0	0.0%	26
	DOUGLAS	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	8
	LAWRENCE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	11
	OZARK	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	STONE	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8
	TANEY	14	77.8%	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	18
	WRIGHT	16	88.9%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	18
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>80.2%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>96</b>

**Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FAMILY INTACT		FAMILY NOT INTACT		OTHER		FAMILIES EXITING
SITE 739	GREENE	59	84.3%	11	15.7%	0	0.0%	70
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>84.3%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>70</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	GRUNDY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HARRISON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	LINN	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	6
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>87.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>23</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MARION	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MONROE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	RALLS	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	ST CHARLES	35	83.3%	6	14.3%	1	2.4%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>88.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>68</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	7
	CLARK	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	KNOX	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	MACON	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	SCHUYLER	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SHELBY	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>30</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		24	88.9%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	27
GASCONADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JEFFERSON		71	84.5%	13	15.5%	0	0.0%	84
MONTGOMERY		8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	11
OSAGE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
WARREN		14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	17
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>129</b>	<b>85.4%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>151</b>
SITE 933	CASS	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	14
	COOPER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	JOHNSON	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	LAFAYETTE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PETTIS	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	9
	SALINE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>39</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	PLATTE	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MADISON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	PERRY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	ST FRANCOIS	20	90.9%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	22
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTT	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>90.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>62</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	29	72.5%	11	27.5%	0	0.0%	40
	DUNKLIN	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	NEW MADRID	9	69.2%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	13
	PEMISCOT	15	75.0%	3	15.0%	2	10.0%	20
	RIPLEY	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7
	STODDARD	27	93.1%	1	3.4%	1	3.4%	29
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>79.5%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,089</b>	<b>83.2%</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>1,309</b>	

## Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022.

### Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2022

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-Home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30<sup>th</sup> may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

### Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2022

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes –
  - 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
  - 11 No Opening in IIS - Child At Home
  - 12 No Opening in IIS - Child will be Placed
  - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
  - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
  - 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
  - 16 Unable to Contact Family
  - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
  - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
  - 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
  - 22 Court Not in Agreement
  - 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate

### Table 3. Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2022

- Each family is only counted once during the fiscal year. If a family is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.
- *Total Families Accepted* is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2022.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of At-Risk and not At-Risk children from the accepted families.

**Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)**

**Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2022**

- Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

**Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2022**

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
  - Child Ran Away (12)
  - Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
  - Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
  - In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
  - In Residential (17)
  - With Division of Youth Services (18)
  - In Juvenile Justice (19)
  - In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
  - Can't Locate Family (11)
  - Information Not Available (21)



# **Heads of Household Served**

**Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	4	3.9%	36	35.3%	45	44.1%	13	12.7%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	102
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	3.9%	36	35.3%	45	44.1%	13	12.7%	2	2.0%	2	2.0%	0	0.0%	102
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	0.7%	14	10.2%	55	40.1%	29	21.2%	23	16.8%	12	8.8%	3	2.2%	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	0.0%	10	23.3%	17	39.5%	12	27.9%	3	7.0%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	43
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0.6%	24	13.3%	72	40.0%	41	22.8%	26	14.4%	13	7.2%	3	1.7%	180
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0.0%	6	25.0%	8	33.3%	10	41.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	5	22.7%	6	27.3%	5	22.7%	2	9.1%	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	22
	COLE	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0%	7	30.4%	10	43.5%	5	21.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	23	27.7%	29	34.9%	23	27.7%	4	4.8%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%	83
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	0	0.0%	6	37.5%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	2.6%	14	35.9%	17	43.6%	3	7.7%	3	7.7%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	39
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		1	10.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
DENT		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
HOWELL		0	0.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
IRON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	3
OREGON		0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
PHELPS		0	0.0%	3	18.8%	8	50.0%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
PULASKI		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
* SITE TOTAL *		1	1.7%	10	16.9%	22	37.3%	12	20.3%	8	13.6%	4	6.8%	2	3.4%	59
SITE 736		BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	10
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	9	52.9%	2	11.8%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	17
	MILLER	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	3	15.0%	11	55.0%	3	15.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	15	19.0%	38	48.1%	9	11.4%	10	12.7%	6	7.6%	1	1.3%	79
SITE 737	BARTON	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JASPER	0	0.0%	6	15.4%	17	43.6%	11	28.2%	5	12.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	3	25.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	6	42.9%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	14
	VERNON	0	0.0%	5	41.7%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.1%	18	19.1%	40	42.6%	20	21.3%	12	12.8%	0	0.0%	3	3.2%	94
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	6	20.7%	15	51.7%	5	17.2%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	29
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	9
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	STONE	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9
	TANEY	0	0.0%	6	35.3%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	4	23.5%	8	47.1%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	20	20.2%	49	49.5%	16	16.2%	5	5.1%	7	7.1%	2	2.0%	99



**Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	<= 19		20-29		30-39		40-49		50-59		60-69		>= 70		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0.0%	26	36.6%	30	42.3%	7	9.9%	4	5.6%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%	71
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>61.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	MARION	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MONROE	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PIKE	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	RALLS	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	7	17.1%	22	53.7%	7	17.1%	5	12.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	41
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>43.3%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>67</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8
	CLARK	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MACON	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>32</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%
FRANKLIN		1	3.8%	2	7.7%	13	50.0%	6	23.1%	4	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	26
GASCONADE		0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JEFFERSON		1	1.3%	18	22.5%	28	35.0%	21	26.3%	3	3.8%	7	8.8%	2	2.5%	80
MONTGOMERY		0	0.0%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
OSAGE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
WARREN		0	0.0%	3	20.0%	4	26.7%	6	40.0%	2	13.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>146</b>
SITE 933	CASS	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	13
	COOPER	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9
	SALINE	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>34.1%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>41</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>14</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	50.0%	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	8	33.3%	5	20.8%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	24
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	12	26.1%	17	37.0%	9	19.6%	4	8.7%	2	4.3%	2	4.3%	46
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	PEMISCOT	1	4.3%	7	30.4%	11	47.8%	3	13.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	23
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	4	14.3%	10	35.7%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>536</b>	<b>40.8%</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1,314</b>	

**Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	93	91.2%	9	8.8%	102
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>91.2%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.8%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	110	80.3%	27	19.7%	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	34	79.1%	9	20.9%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>80.0%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>180</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	22	91.7%	2	8.3%	24
	CALLAWAY	19	86.4%	3	13.6%	22
	COLE	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	HOWARD	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RANDOLPH	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>83</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	NODAWAY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>87.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>39</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
DENT		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
HOWELL		3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
IRON		0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
MARIES		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
OREGON		2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
PHELPS		12	75.0%	4	25.0%	16
PULASKI		6	100.0%	0	0.0%	6
REYNOLDS		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>45</b>	<b>76.3%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	CAMDEN	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	DALLAS	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	HENRY	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	HICKORY	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	LACLEDE	11	64.7%	6	35.3%	17
	MILLER	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2
	POLK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	WEBSTER	16	80.0%	4	20.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>79</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%
CEDAR		4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
DADE		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
JASPER		29	74.4%	10	25.6%	39
MCDONALD		9	75.0%	3	25.0%	12
NEWTON		12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14
VERNON		10	83.3%	2	16.7%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>75</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	24	82.8%	5	17.2%	29
	DOUGLAS	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	LAWRENCE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	OZARK	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	STONE	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	TANEY	13	76.5%	4	23.5%	17
	WRIGHT	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20.2%</b>	<b>99</b>

**Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL	
SITE 739	GREENE	61	85.9%	10	14.1%	71	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>85.9%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14.1%</b>	<b>71</b>	
SITE 740	CHARITON	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	
	GRUNDY	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	
	HARRISON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
	LINN	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>21</b>	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10	
	MARION	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
	MONROE	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
	PIKE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	
	RALLS	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6	
	ST CHARLES	34	82.9%	7	17.1%	41	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>82.1%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>67</b>	
	SITE 931	ADAIR	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8
CLARK		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
KNOX		0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	
LEWIS		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
MACON		4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	
SCHUYLER		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
SCOTLAND		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
SHELBY		8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>27</b>	<b>84.4%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>32</b>	
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
		FRANKLIN	21	80.8%	5	19.2%	26
	GASCONADE	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	JEFFERSON	65	81.3%	15	18.8%	80	
	MONTGOMERY	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11	
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	
	WARREN	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	15	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>146</b>	
	SITE 933	CASS	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	13
COOPER		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
JOHNSON		6	100.0%	0	0.0%	6	
LAFAYETTE		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3	
PETTIS		9	100.0%	0	0.0%	9	
SALINE		5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>34</b>	<b>82.9%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	<b>41</b>	
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	2	
	CLAY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6	
	PLATTE	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>64.3%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>35.7%</b>	<b>14</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7	
	MADISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3	
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1	
	PERRY	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	8	
	ST FRANCOIS	20	83.3%	4	16.7%	24	
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
	SCOTT	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4	
	WASHINGTON	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	10	
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>85.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>63</b>	
	SITE 936	BUTLER	39	84.8%	7	15.2%	46
DUNKLIN		7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9	
NEW MADRID		12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13	
PEMISCOT		21	91.3%	2	8.7%	23	
RIPLEY		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	5	
STODDARD		20	71.4%	8	28.6%	28	
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>104</b>	<b>83.9%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>124</b>	
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>1,071</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>1,314</b>	

**Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	50	49.0%	45	44.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.9%	3	2.9%	102
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>44.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	52	38.0%	68	49.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	11.7%	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	8	18.6%	33	76.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>180</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	16	66.7%	5	20.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	12.5%	24
	CALLAWAY	19	86.4%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22
	COLE	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	HOWARD	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	RANDOLPH	22	95.7%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>80.7%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>83</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	NODAWAY	5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>89.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>39</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		9	90.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
DENT		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
HOWELL		5	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	6
IRON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
OREGON		3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
PHELPS		14	87.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
PULASKI		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
REYNOLDS		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>83.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>59</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	CAMDEN	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	DALLAS	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	HENRY	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	HICKORY	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LACLEDE	14	82.4%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	17
	MILLER	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	POLK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	WEBSTER	20	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CEDAR	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DADE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JASPER	37	94.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	39
	MCDONALD	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12
	NEWTON	13	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	14
	VERNON	11	91.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>93.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	26	89.7%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	29
	DOUGLAS	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	LAWRENCE	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	OZARK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	STONE	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	9
	TANEY	16	94.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	17
	WRIGHT	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>91.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>99</b>

**Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	58	81.7%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	8	11.3%	71
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>81.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>11.3%</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GRUNDY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HARRISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	LINN	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	MERCER	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	6	60.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10
	MARION	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	MONROE	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	PIKE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	RALLS	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	33	80.5%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	9.8%	41
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>10.4%</b>	<b>67</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	CLARK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	KNOX	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MACON	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7
	SCHUYLER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>90.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>32</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
FRANKLIN		23	88.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	26
GASCONADE		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
JEFFERSON		69	86.3%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%	8	10.0%	80
MONTGOMERY		10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
OSAGE		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
WARREN		15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>130</b>	<b>89.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>146</b>
SITE 933	CASS	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	COOPER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	JOHNSON	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LAFAYETTE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PETTIS	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	SALINE	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>92.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>41</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLAY	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	PLATTE	4	66.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>64.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>14</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	MADISON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	MISSISSIPPI	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	PERRY	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	23	95.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	24
	STE GENEVIEVE	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	SCOTT	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>90.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	39	84.8%	6	13.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.2%	46
	DUNKLIN	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	10	76.9%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13
	PEMISCOT	13	56.5%	10	43.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	RIPLEY	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	STODDARD	26	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.1%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>80.6%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,006</b>	<b>76.6%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>1,314</b>	

**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 731	JACKSON	8	7.8%	10	9.8%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	4	3.9%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.9%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	13	9.5%	23	16.8%	6	4.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.7%
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	2.3%	5	11.6%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>15.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	3	12.5%	4	16.7%	1	4.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	2	9.1%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	1	4.3%	5	21.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.3%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
CRAWFORD		4	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DENT		1	20.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
HOWELL		1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
IRON		1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MARIES		1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OREGON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PHELPS		1	6.3%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
PULASKI		2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%
REYNOLDS		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
TEXAS		0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>12</b>	<b>20.3%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>27.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%
	HENRY	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MILLER	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%
	WEBSTER	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>17.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CEDAR	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DADE	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JASPER	7	17.9%	5	12.8%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MCDONALD	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEWTON	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	VERNON	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CHRISTIAN	1	3.4%	5	17.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.9%
	DOUGLAS	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	1	10.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	1	11.1%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	4	23.5%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.0%</b>

**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	UNEMPLOYED		FULL-TIME		LESS THAN FULL-TIME		TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT		STUDENT NOT EMPLOYED	
SITE 739	GREENE	10	14.1%	6	8.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	14.1%	6	8.5%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	9.5%	3	14.3%	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARION	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	3	7.3%	4	9.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	10.4%	6	9.0%	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	1.5%
SITE 931	ADAIR	3	37.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LEWIS	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MACON	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHELBY	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	21.9%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	FRANKLIN	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JEFFERSON	12	15.0%	11	13.8%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	2	2.5%
	MONTGOMERY	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WARREN	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	14.4%	16	11.0%	4	2.7%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%
SITE 933	CASS	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%
	COOPER	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	4	57.1%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	24.4%	7	17.1%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MADISON	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	2	8.3%	4	16.7%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	7	11.1%	6	9.5%	2	3.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
SITE 936	BUTLER	6	13.0%	8	17.4%	3	6.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%
	NEW MADRID	3	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	3	10.7%	4	14.3%	7	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
* SITE TOTAL *	13	10.5%	14	11.3%	13	10.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	
STATE TOTAL	155	11.8%	188	14.3%	41	3.1%	0	0.0%	21	1.6%	

**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	0.0%	4	3.9%	0	0.0%	16	15.7%	59	57.8%	102
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>57.8%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1	0.7%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	39	28.5%	53	38.7%	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	0.0%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	10	23.3%	22	51.2%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>180</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	41.7%	6	25.0%	24
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	14	63.6%	22
	COLE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	9
	HOWARD	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0%	4	17.4%	0	0.0%	5	21.7%	7	30.4%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>83</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	9	56.3%	16
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	4
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>39</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	10
DENT		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
HOWELL		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6
IRON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
OREGON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
PHELPS		0	0.0%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	16
PULASKI		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	6
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>23.7%</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	DALLAS	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	10
	HENRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	9	52.9%	17
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	WEBSTER	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	12	60.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	11
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	25.6%	16	41.0%	39
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	12
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	14
	VERNON	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	5	41.7%	12
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>94</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	18	62.1%	29
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	6	66.7%	9
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	2	20.0%	10
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	9
	TANEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.8%	10	58.8%	17
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	23.5%	7	41.2%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>99</b>



**Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	HOMEMAKER NOT		DISABLED NOT IN		OTHER		UNKNOWN		MISSING		TOTAL
		IN LABOR FORCE		LABOR FORCE								
SITE 739	GREENE	1	1.4%	5	7.0%	0	0.0%	10	14.1%	37	52.1%	71
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.4%	5	7.0%	0	0.0%	10	14.1%	37	52.1%	71
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	LINN	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	42.9%	21
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	10
	MARION	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	4
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	12.2%	29	70.7%	41
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	11.9%	43	64.2%	67
	SITE 931	ADAIR	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%
CLARK		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
KNOX		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
LEWIS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
MACON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	7
SCHUYLER		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
SCOTLAND		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHELBY		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	5	62.5%	8
* SITE TOTAL *		1	3.1%	0	0.0%	2	6.3%	7	21.9%	11	34.4%	32
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%
	FRANKLIN	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	6	23.1%	17	65.4%	26
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	JEFFERSON	2	2.5%	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	16	20.0%	33	41.3%	80
	MONTGOMERY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	3	27.3%	11
	OSAGE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	WARREN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	26.7%	10	66.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	1.4%	3	2.1%	0	0.0%	29	19.9%	69	47.3%	146
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	6	46.2%	13
	COOPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	66.7%	6
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	3
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	9
	SALINE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.3%	19	46.3%	41
	SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
CLAY		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6
PLATTE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	6
RAY		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
* SITE TOTAL *		0	0.0%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	7	50.0%	14
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	7
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	PERRY	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	6	25.0%	6	25.0%	24
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	4
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	3.2%	4	6.3%	0	0.0%	20	31.7%	22	34.9%	63
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	7	15.2%	0	0.0%	4	8.7%	18	39.1%	46
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	5	55.6%	9
	NEW MADRID	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	7	53.8%	13
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	17.4%	14	60.9%	23
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	3	10.7%	1	3.6%	5	17.9%	5	17.9%	28
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	0.8%	11	8.9%	1	0.8%	18	14.5%	52	41.9%	124
STATE TOTAL	16	1.2%	57	4.3%	4	0.3%	242	18.4%	590	44.9%	1,314	

**Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL							
SITE 731	JACKSON	24	23.5%	13	12.7%	13	12.7%	21	20.6%	10	9.8%	10	9.8%	11	10.8%	102
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.7%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20.6%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>102</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	20	14.6%	11	8.0%	17	12.4%	22	16.1%	23	16.8%	22	16.1%	22	16.1%	137
	ST LOUIS CITY	11	25.6%	6	14.0%	4	9.3%	11	25.6%	8	18.6%	3	7.0%	0	0.0%	43
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>18.3%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>17.2%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>12.2%</b>	<b>180</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	6	25.0%	2	8.3%	5	20.8%	4	16.7%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	24
	CALLAWAY	2	9.1%	5	22.7%	3	13.6%	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	5	22.7%	1	4.5%	22
	COLE	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	HOWARD	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	5
	RANDOLPH	6	26.1%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	3	13.0%	4	17.4%	2	8.7%	1	4.3%	23
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16.9%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15.7%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>83</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	4	25.0%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	3	18.8%	4	25.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	16
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	NODAWAY	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	6
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>17.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>39</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		1	10.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
DENT		0	0.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
HOWELL		0	0.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
IRON		0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
OREGON		0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
PHELPS		0	0.0%	6	37.5%	1	6.3%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	0	0.0%	16
PULASKI		0	0.0%	4	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>59</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10
	HENRY	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	5
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	LACLEDE	1	5.9%	1	5.9%	3	17.6%	5	29.4%	6	35.3%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	17
	MILLER	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	5	25.0%	1	5.0%	4	20.0%	4	20.0%	4	20.0%	2	10.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>26.6%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11.4%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JASPER	5	12.8%	6	15.4%	1	2.6%	8	20.5%	14	35.9%	2	5.1%	3	7.7%	39
	MCDONALD	2	16.7%	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	12
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	2	14.3%	3	21.4%	3	21.4%	2	14.3%	14
	VERNON	4	33.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	2	16.7%	1	8.3%	3	25.0%	0	0.0%	12
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17.0%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>94</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	12	41.4%	7	24.1%	2	6.9%	4	13.8%	29
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	9
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	OZARK	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	STONE	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	44.4%	4	44.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	TANEY	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	8	47.1%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	17
	WRIGHT	4	23.5%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	5	29.4%	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	2	11.8%	17
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>99</b>

**Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	< \$5,000	\$5,000-\$9,999	\$10,000-\$14,999	\$15,000-\$24,999	\$25,000-\$34,999	\$35,000-\$49,999	\$50,000 >	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	33 46.5%	11 15.5%	8 11.3%	8 11.3%	3 4.2%	7 9.9%	1 1.4%	71
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>33 46.5%</b>	<b>11 15.5%</b>	<b>8 11.3%</b>	<b>8 11.3%</b>	<b>3 4.2%</b>	<b>7 9.9%</b>	<b>1 1.4%</b>	<b>71</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	GRUNDY	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5
	HARRISON	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
	LINN	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	5
	MERCER	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 100.0%	0 0.0%	3
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>2 9.5%</b>	<b>5 23.8%</b>	<b>2 9.5%</b>	<b>6 28.6%</b>	<b>4 19.0%</b>	<b>2 9.5%</b>	<b>21</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0 0.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%	10
	MARION	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	4
	MONROE	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4
	PIKE	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	RALLS	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6
	ST CHARLES	6 14.6%	15 36.6%	6 14.6%	1 2.4%	5 12.2%	3 7.3%	5 12.2%	41
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7 10.4%</b>	<b>18 26.9%</b>	<b>6 9.0%</b>	<b>8 11.9%</b>	<b>15 22.4%</b>	<b>7 10.4%</b>	<b>6 9.0%</b>	<b>67</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8
	CLARK	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	3
	KNOX	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
	LEWIS	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	MACON	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	7
	SCHUYLER	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	SCOTLAND	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
	SHELBY	2 25.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4 12.5%</b>	<b>2 6.3%</b>	<b>3 9.4%</b>	<b>14 43.8%</b>	<b>7 21.9%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>2 6.3%</b>	<b>32</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 18.2%	8 72.7%	1 9.1%	0 0.0%
FRANKLIN		1 3.8%	13 50.0%	9 34.6%	1 3.8%	0 0.0%	1 3.8%	1 3.8%	26
GASCONADE		0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
JEFFERSON		1 1.3%	7 8.8%	5 6.3%	25 31.3%	29 36.3%	3 3.8%	10 12.5%	80
MONTGOMERY		0 0.0%	2 18.2%	2 18.2%	1 9.1%	2 18.2%	4 36.4%	0 0.0%	11
OSAGE		0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
WARREN		0 0.0%	12 80.0%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	15
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>2 1.4%</b>	<b>36 24.7%</b>	<b>18 12.3%</b>	<b>29 19.9%</b>	<b>40 27.4%</b>	<b>9 6.2%</b>	<b>12 8.2%</b>	<b>146</b>
SITE 933	CASS	4 30.8%	4 30.8%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	1 7.7%	13
	COOPER	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	3
	JOHNSON	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6
	LAFAYETTE	3 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3
	PETTIS	6 66.7%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	9
	SALINE	4 57.1%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	7
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>20 48.8%</b>	<b>9 22.0%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>3 7.3%</b>	<b>3 7.3%</b>	<b>2 4.9%</b>	<b>4 9.8%</b>	<b>41</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	2
	CLAY	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	6
	PLATTE	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6
	RAY	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1 7.1%</b>	<b>1 7.1%</b>	<b>2 14.3%</b>	<b>1 7.1%</b>	<b>3 21.4%</b>	<b>2 14.3%</b>	<b>4 28.6%</b>	<b>14</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1 14.3%	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7
	MADISON	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 33.3%	0 0.0%	3
	MISSISSIPPI	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1
	PERRY	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8
	ST FRANCOIS	1 4.2%	6 25.0%	8 33.3%	6 25.0%	2 8.3%	1 4.2%	0 0.0%	24
	STE GENEVIEVE	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4
	SCOTT	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4
	WASHINGTON	3 30.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6 9.5%</b>	<b>22 34.9%</b>	<b>16 25.4%</b>	<b>10 15.9%</b>	<b>6 9.5%</b>	<b>3 4.8%</b>	<b>0 0.0%</b>	<b>63</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	3 6.5%	3 6.5%	7 15.2%	24 52.2%	6 13.0%	2 4.3%	1 2.2%	46
	DUNKLIN	0 0.0%	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	4 30.8%	2 15.4%	5 38.5%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	13
	PEMISCOT	3 13.0%	8 34.8%	4 17.4%	3 13.0%	3 13.0%	1 4.3%	1 4.3%	23
	RIPLEY	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5
	STODDARD	1 3.6%	4 14.3%	5 17.9%	11 39.3%	6 21.4%	1 3.6%	0 0.0%	28
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>11 8.9%</b>	<b>23 18.5%</b>	<b>25 20.2%</b>	<b>40 32.3%</b>	<b>18 14.5%</b>	<b>5 4.0%</b>	<b>2 1.6%</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>190 14.5%</b>	<b>225 17.1%</b>	<b>172 13.1%</b>	<b>282 21.5%</b>	<b>228 17.4%</b>	<b>119 9.1%</b>	<b>98 7.5%</b>	<b>1,314</b>

**Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted During FY 2022**

<b>Parenting Skills Problems</b>	1,041	79.2%
<b>Parent/Child Conflict</b>	732	55.7%
<b>Communication</b>	612	46.6%
<b>Mental Health Problems</b>	483	36.8%
<b>Child Neglect</b>	356	27.1%
<b>Emotional Problems</b>	303	23.1%
<b>Physical Abuse</b>	178	13.5%
<b>Drug Abuse</b>	156	11.9%
<b>Severe Financial Problems</b>	140	10.7%
<b>School Problems</b>	139	10.6%
<b>Medical Illness/Disability</b>	124	9.4%
<b>Transportation</b>	104	7.9%
<b>Marital Conflict</b>	99	7.5%
<b>Physical Violence</b>	87	6.6%
<b>Alcohol Abuse</b>	74	5.6%
<b>Delinquent Behavior</b>	73	5.6%
<b>Shelter</b>	59	4.5%
<b>Homelessness</b>	57	4.3%
<b>Developmental Disability</b>	52	4.0%
<b>Sexual Abuse</b>	42	3.2%
<b>Runaway</b>	24	1.8%
<b>Pregnancy</b>	20	1.5%
<b>Suicide Attempts</b>	19	1.4%
<b>Criminal Behavior</b>	15	1.1%
<b>Prostitution</b>	1	0.1%

**Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables**

Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

**Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2022**

- Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.



# **At-Risk Children Served**

**Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	29	12.9%	76	33.9%	92	41.1%	27	12.1%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>33.9%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	16	5.8%	58	21.1%	118	42.9%	83	30.2%	275
	ST LOUIS CITY	3	3.3%	21	22.8%	46	50.0%	22	23.9%	92
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>44.7%</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>367</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	7	17.9%	7	17.9%	12	30.8%	13	33.3%	39
	CALLAWAY	2	4.8%	6	14.3%	20	47.6%	14	33.3%	42
	COLE	1	7.1%	5	35.7%	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	14
	HOWARD	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
	RANDOLPH	4	9.5%	11	26.2%	22	52.4%	5	11.9%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22.2%</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>41.0%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>25.7%</b>	<b>144</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	6
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	3	9.7%	13	41.9%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	31
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	10
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GENTRY	2	28.6%	3	42.9%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	7
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	NODAWAY	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	9
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>38.9%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18.1%</b>	<b>72</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		1	5.0%	6	30.0%	8	40.0%	5	25.0%	20
DENT		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	6
HOWELL		1	6.3%	3	18.8%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	16
IRON		0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	5
OREGON		0	0.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	10
PHELPS		0	0.0%	2	8.7%	8	34.8%	13	56.5%	23
PULASKI		2	13.3%	3	20.0%	6	40.0%	4	26.7%	15
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18.5%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41.7%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	11
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	7	63.6%	11
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	6	37.5%	4	25.0%	6	37.5%	16
	HENRY	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	6
	LACLEDE	1	2.6%	11	28.2%	20	51.3%	7	17.9%	39
	MILLER	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	9
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	6
	WEBSTER	1	2.5%	10	25.0%	19	47.5%	10	25.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>26.8%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>41.2%</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>153</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	3	14.3%	9	42.9%	3	14.3%	6	28.6%	21
	CEDAR	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	10
	DADE	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	7
	JASPER	8	9.1%	14	15.9%	39	44.3%	27	30.7%	88
	MCDONALD	2	9.1%	10	45.5%	7	31.8%	3	13.6%	22
	NEWTON	1	3.7%	2	7.4%	16	59.3%	8	29.6%	27
	VERNON	2	9.5%	7	33.3%	9	42.9%	3	14.3%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>196</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	2	18.2%	11
	CHRISTIAN	1	2.0%	13	25.5%	21	41.2%	16	31.4%	51
	DOUGLAS	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	5	29.4%	11	64.7%	17
	LAWRENCE	1	4.2%	3	12.5%	14	58.3%	6	25.0%	24
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
	STONE	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	9	42.9%	10	47.6%	21
	TANEY	4	12.1%	12	36.4%	9	27.3%	8	24.2%	33
	WRIGHT	4	9.5%	14	33.3%	14	33.3%	10	23.8%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>204</b>



**Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	< 1		1-5		6-12		≥ 13		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	18	13.5%	39	29.3%	56	42.1%	20	15.0%	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	10	76.9%	1	7.7%	13
	HARRISON	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	3	33.3%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>14.3%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>26.2%</b>	<b>42</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	12.5%	7	29.2%	10	41.7%	4	16.7%	24
	MARION	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	9
	MONROE	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	0	0.0%	11
	PIKE	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	RALLS	2	18.2%	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	11
	ST CHARLES	5	6.2%	20	24.7%	33	40.7%	23	28.4%	81
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>28.1%</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>40.3%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>21.6%</b>	<b>139</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	4	28.6%	6	42.9%	14
	CLARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	MACON	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	13
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	3	13.6%	5	22.7%	8	36.4%	6	27.3%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>60</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	4	18.2%	7	31.8%	6	27.3%	5	22.7%
FRANKLIN		5	8.3%	16	26.7%	20	33.3%	19	31.7%	60
GASCONADE		1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
JEFFERSON		9	6.4%	30	21.4%	60	42.9%	41	29.3%	140
MONTGOMERY		0	0.0%	5	21.7%	9	39.1%	9	39.1%	23
OSAGE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
WARREN		4	11.1%	8	22.2%	13	36.1%	11	30.6%	36
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>38.1%</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>30.8%</b>	<b>286</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	4.5%	7	31.8%	7	31.8%	7	31.8%	22
	COOPER	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	JOHNSON	3	30.0%	2	20.0%	4	40.0%	1	10.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	10
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	3	13.6%	8	36.4%	11	50.0%	22
	SALINE	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25.3%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>39.2%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLAY	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	5	71.4%	7
	PLATTE	2	18.2%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	11
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	2	12.5%	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	2	12.5%	16
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	3
	PERRY	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	8	53.3%	6	40.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	0	0.0%	12	30.0%	10	25.0%	18	45.0%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	7	77.8%	1	11.1%	9
	SCOTT	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	6	30.0%	12	60.0%	2	10.0%	20
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>43.3%</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>120</b>	
SITE 936	BUTLER	7	8.4%	23	27.7%	23	27.7%	30	36.1%	83
	DUNKLIN	3	16.7%	6	33.3%	4	22.2%	5	27.8%	18
	NEW MADRID	3	8.3%	6	16.7%	17	47.2%	10	27.8%	36
	PEMISCOT	5	7.0%	21	29.6%	30	42.3%	15	21.1%	71
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	5	45.5%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	11
	STODDARD	4	6.3%	17	27.0%	21	33.3%	21	33.3%	63
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>35.5%</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>282</b>
STATE TOTAL	202	7.7%	662	25.2%	1,058	40.2%	707	26.9%	2,629	

**Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	121	54.0%	103	46.0%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>54.0%</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>46.0%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	136	49.5%	139	50.5%	275
	ST LOUIS CITY	48	52.2%	44	47.8%	92
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>50.1%</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>49.9%</b>	<b>367</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	17	43.6%	22	56.4%	39
	CALLAWAY	20	47.6%	22	52.4%	42
	COLE	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	14
	HOWARD	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
	RANDOLPH	19	45.2%	23	54.8%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>144</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	24	77.4%	7	22.6%	31
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	GENTRY	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
	NODAWAY	6	66.7%	3	33.3%	9
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>68.1%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>31.9%</b>	<b>72</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20
DENT		4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
HOWELL		7	43.8%	9	56.3%	16
IRON		0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
MARIES		1	20.0%	4	80.0%	5
OREGON		5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
PHELPS		12	52.2%	11	47.8%	23
PULASKI		11	73.3%	4	26.7%	15
REYNOLDS		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>51</b>	<b>47.2%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
	BENTON	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	CAMDEN	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
	DALLAS	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	16
	HENRY	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	HICKORY	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	LACLEDE	19	48.7%	20	51.3%	39
	MILLER	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	4
	POLK	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	ST CLAIR	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	WEBSTER	18	45.0%	22	55.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>153</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	14	66.7%	7	33.3%	21
	CEDAR	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
	DADE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	JASPER	40	45.5%	48	54.5%	88
	MCDONALD	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
	NEWTON	15	55.6%	12	44.4%	27
	VERNON	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>51.5%</b>	<b>196</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11
	CHRISTIAN	23	45.1%	28	54.9%	51
	DOUGLAS	9	52.9%	8	47.1%	17
	LAWRENCE	17	70.8%	7	29.2%	24
	OZARK	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	STONE	11	52.4%	10	47.6%	21
	TANEY	13	39.4%	20	60.6%	33
	WRIGHT	20	47.6%	22	52.4%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>204</b>

**Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	FEMALE		MALE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	62	46.6%	71	53.4%	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>46.6%</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	GRUNDY	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	13
	HARRISON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	LINN	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8
	MERCER	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PUTNAM	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	SULLIVAN	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>42</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	14	58.3%	10	41.7%	24
	MARION	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	MONROE	5	45.5%	6	54.5%	11
	PIKE	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	3
	RALLS	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	ST CHARLES	45	55.6%	36	44.4%	81
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>56.1%</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>139</b>
	SITE 931	ADAIR	7	50.0%	7	50.0%
CLARK		0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4
KNOX		0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
LEWIS		1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
MACON		8	61.5%	5	38.5%	13
SCHUYLER		2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
SCOTLAND		0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1
SHELBY		9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>27</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 932		AUDRAIN	9	40.9%	13	59.1%
	FRANKLIN	30	50.0%	30	50.0%	60
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	60	42.9%	80	57.1%	140
	MONTGOMERY	15	65.2%	8	34.8%	23
	OSAGE	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
	WARREN	20	55.6%	16	44.4%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>47.6%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>286</b>
SITE 933	CASS	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
	COOPER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	JOHNSON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	PETTIS	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
	SALINE	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46.8%</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>53.2%</b>	<b>79</b>
	SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	0.0%
CLAY		3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7
PLATTE		6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11
RAY		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>11</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>20</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	7	43.8%	9	56.3%	16
	MADISON	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PERRY	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	19	47.5%	21	52.5%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	SCOTT	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	6	30.0%	14	70.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>51.7%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	44	53.0%	39	47.0%	83
	DUNKLIN	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	18
	NEW MADRID	15	41.7%	21	58.3%	36
	PEMISCOT	52	73.2%	19	26.8%	71
	RIPLEY	4	36.4%	7	63.6%	11
	STODDARD	25	39.7%	38	60.3%	63
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>45.7%</b>	<b>282</b>
	<b>STATE TOTAL</b>	<b>1,325</b>	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>1,304</b>	<b>49.6%</b>	<b>2,629</b>

**Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	86	38.4%	95	42.4%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%	4	1.8%	7	3.1%	30	13.4%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>13.4%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	94	34.2%	145	52.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	8	2.9%	27	9.8%	275
	ST LOUIS CITY	12	13.0%	64	69.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	13	14.1%	92
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>56.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>10.9%</b>	<b>367</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	26	66.7%	12	30.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	39
	CALLAWAY	35	83.3%	4	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.1%	42
	COLE	4	28.6%	4	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	4	28.6%	14
	HOWARD	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
	RANDOLPH	31	73.8%	1	2.4%	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	7.1%	6	14.3%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>70.8%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>144</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	22	71.0%	4	12.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.2%	4	12.9%	31
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10
	DAVIESS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GENTRY	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	7
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	NODAWAY	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>80.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>72</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		11	55.0%	3	15.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	5	25.0%	20
DENT		6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
HOWELL		15	93.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
IRON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
OREGON		9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	10
PHELPS		22	95.7%	1	4.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
PULASKI		5	33.3%	9	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	15
REYNOLDS		1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		5	50.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	10
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>81</b>	<b>75.0%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 736		BATES	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	11
	CAMDEN	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	DALLAS	14	87.5%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	HENRY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HICKORY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LACLEDE	31	79.5%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	39
	MILLER	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	POLK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	WEBSTER	35	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	12.5%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>135</b>	<b>88.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>153</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	17	81.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	21
	CEDAR	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	DADE	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	JASPER	75	85.2%	1	1.1%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	9.1%	2	2.3%	88
	MCDONALD	16	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	4	18.2%	22
	NEWTON	24	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	11.1%	27
	VERNON	20	95.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>86.2%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>196</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CHRISTIAN	48	94.1%	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.9%	51
	DOUGLAS	16	94.1%	1	5.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	22	91.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	24
	OZARK	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	STONE	20	95.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	21
	TANEY	29	87.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	12.1%	33
	WRIGHT	33	78.6%	2	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	6	14.3%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>184</b>	<b>90.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>204</b>

**Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	WHITE		AFRICAN AMERICAN		NATIVE AMERICAN		ASIAN		NATIVE HAWAIIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER		MULTI-RACIAL		UNABLE TO DETERMINE		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	95	71.4%	10	7.5%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	3	2.3%	20	15.0%	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>71.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15.0%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	GRUNDY	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13
	HARRISON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LINN	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	3
	SULLIVAN	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>92.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>42</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	54.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	8.3%	7	29.2%	24
	MARION	4	44.4%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	33.3%	9
	MONROE	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	1	7.7%	11
	PIKE	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	RALLS	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	ST CHARLES	59	72.8%	13	16.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	4.9%	5	6.2%	81
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>69.1%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>139</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	12	85.7%	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.1%	14
	CLARK	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	4
	KNOX	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MACON	11	84.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	15.4%	13
	SCHUYLER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>86.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	16	72.7%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	2	9.1%	22
	FRANKLIN	57	95.0%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	60
	GASCONADE	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	113	80.7%	7	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.9%	16	11.4%	140
	MONTGOMERY	21	91.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	8.7%	23
	OSAGE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	23	63.9%	6	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	8.3%	4	11.1%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>81.8%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>286</b>
SITE 933	CASS	19	86.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	13.6%	22
	COOPER	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	JOHNSON	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	10
	PETTIS	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	22
	SALINE	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>81.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15.2%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PLATTE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>65.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>20</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	13	81.3%	2	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	16
	MADISON	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PERRY	14	93.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	38	95.0%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	9
	SCOTT	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	15	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	1	5.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>105</b>	<b>87.5%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	57	68.7%	9	10.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	6.0%	12	14.5%	83
	DUNKLIN	13	72.2%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	18
	NEW MADRID	25	69.4%	7	19.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%	3	8.3%	36
	PEMISCOT	35	49.3%	32	45.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	2	2.8%	71
	RIPLEY	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	STODDARD	60	95.2%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	3.2%	63
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>201</b>	<b>71.3%</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>282</b>	
STATE TOTAL		<b>1,820</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>461</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>9.2%</b>	<b>2,629</b>

**Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE CATEGORIES		LEARNING DISABLED CLASS		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS		EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 731	JACKSON	221	98.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>221</b>	<b>98.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	268	97.5%	4	1.5%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	91	98.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	37	94.9%	2	5.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	41	97.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWARD	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	42	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>141</b>	<b>97.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	31	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALDWELL	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLINTON	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVISS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CRAWFORD	19	95.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DENT	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWELL	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	IRON	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARIES	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OREGON	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PHELPS	23	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PULASKI	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TEXAS	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>99.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 736	BATES	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	15	93.8%	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HENRY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	35	89.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MILLER	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WEBSTER	39	97.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>94.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 737	BARTON	21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
CEDAR		10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
DADE		7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JASPER		86	97.7%	1	1.1%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MCDONALD		22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
NEWTON		27	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
VERNON		21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>194</b>	<b>99.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%
	CHRISTIAN	50	98.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DOUGLAS	16	94.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	24	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	20	95.2%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	33	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	42	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>199</b>	<b>97.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	

**Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	NONE		MULTIPLE CATEGORIES		LEARNING DISABLED CLASS		BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS		EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS	
SITE 739	GREENE	130	97.7%	2	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>97.7%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>95.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	24	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARION	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PIKE	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	81	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLARK	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KNOX	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LEWIS	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MACON	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCHUYLER	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHELBY	22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>98.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
FRANKLIN		60	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
GASCONADE		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON		132	94.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	1.4%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY		23	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OSAGE		3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN		36	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>278</b>	<b>97.2%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COOPER	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	22	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>97.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>95.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	16	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MADISON	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	15	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	38	95.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	19	95.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>97.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	83	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	18	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEW MADRID	36	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	69	97.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	63	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>98.6%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
STATE TOTAL		<b>2,575</b>	<b>97.9%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>

**Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY				PHYSICALLY DISABLED				SUMMER SCHOOL	TOTAL	
		OTHER	DISABLED CLASS	ENRICHMENT CLASSES	CLASS							
SITE 731	JACKSON	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	275
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	92
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>367</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39
	CALLAWAY	1	2.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	COLE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>144</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>72</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	CRAWFORD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	20
	DENT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	HOWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	IRON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	OREGON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	PHELPS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	PULASKI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	TEXAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	DALLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	HENRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	10.3%	39
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	2.5%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>153</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	JASPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	88
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	27
	VERNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>196</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CHRISTIAN	1	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	51
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.9%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	TANEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	33
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>204</b>	



**Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	DEVELOPMENTALLY				PHYSICALLY DISABLED				SUMMER SCHOOL	TOTAL	
		OTHER	DISABLED CLASS	ENRICHMENT CLASSES	CLASS							
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SULLIVAN	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>42</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	MARION	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	81
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>139</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	CLARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MACON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	FRANKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	60
	GASCONADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	4.3%	140
	MONTGOMERY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	OSAGE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>286</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	22
	COOPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	SALINE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>20</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PERRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	83
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	NEW MADRID	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	71
	RIPLEY	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>282</b>
STATE TOTAL		<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>2,629</b>

**Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		HOSPITAL									
SITE 731	JACKSON	0	0.0%	8	3.6%	157	70.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>70.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	6	2.2%	52	18.9%	166	60.4%	3	1.1%	0	0.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	0	0.0%	14	15.2%	60	65.2%	1	1.1%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	0	0.0%	2	5.1%	28	71.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	4	9.5%	38	90.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COLE	0	0.0%	5	35.7%	8	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RANDOLPH	0	0.0%	2	4.8%	34	81.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>78.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BUCHANAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24	77.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DAVIESS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>90.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	8	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DENT	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HOWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	25.0%	5	31.3%	0	0.0%
	IRON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARIES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	OREGON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PHELPS	1	4.3%	7	30.4%	11	47.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PULASKI	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	REYNOLDS	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHANNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TEXAS	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WAYNE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	BENTON	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DALLAS	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	9	56.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HENRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LACLEDE	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	21	53.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	POLK	0	0.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WEBSTER	0	0.0%	2	5.0%	22	55.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>67.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
SITE 737	BARTON	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	17	81.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JASPER	1	1.1%	3	3.4%	63	71.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEWTON	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	18	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	VERNON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>76.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CHRISTIAN	0	0.0%	2	3.9%	47	92.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	87.5%	3	12.5%	0	0.0%
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	TANEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	97.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	3	7.1%	39	92.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>93.1%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

**Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		NOT IDENTIFIED		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	55	24.6%	3	1.3%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	224
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>24.6%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>224</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	39	14.2%	2	0.7%	2	0.7%	5	1.8%	275
	ST LOUIS CITY	14	15.2%	0	0.0%	1	1.1%	2	2.2%	92
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>14.4%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>367</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	9	23.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39
	CALLAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	COLE	1	7.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	HOWARD	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	RANDOLPH	6	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>144</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	ATCHISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	BUCHANAN	7	22.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31
	CALDWELL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	CLINTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	DAVISS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	DE KALB	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	GENTRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HOLT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	LIVINGSTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	NODAWAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	WORTH	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>72</b>
	SITE 735	CARTER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
CRAWFORD		9	45.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	20
DENT		0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
HOWELL		7	43.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
IRON		2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
MARIES		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
OREGON		8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
PHELPS		4	17.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
PULASKI		11	73.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	6.7%	15
REYNOLDS		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
SHANNON		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
TEXAS		2	20.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
WAYNE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>43</b>	<b>39.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>108</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	BENTON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CAMDEN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	DALLAS	5	31.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	HENRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	HICKORY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	LACLEDE	15	38.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39
	MILLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	MONITEAU	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	MORGAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	POLK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	ST CLAIR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6
	WEBSTER	15	37.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>153</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	21
	CEDAR	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	DADE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	JASPER	15	17.0%	2	2.3%	0	0.0%	4	4.5%	88
	MCDONALD	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	NEWTON	6	22.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	7.4%	27
	VERNON	3	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>13.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>196</b>	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	CHRISTIAN	2	3.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	51
	DOUGLAS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	17
	LAWRENCE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	24
	OZARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5
	STONE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21
	TANEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.0%	33
	WRIGHT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>204</b>

**Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	PSYCHIATRIC		RESIDENTIAL		FOSTER HOME		EMERG SHELTER		DETENTION	
		HOSPITAL									
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0.0%	2	1.5%	127	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>95.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LINN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>97.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	19	79.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MARION	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MONROE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RALLS	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST CHARLES	0	0.0%	4	4.9%	72	88.9%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>74.8%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	0.0%	2	14.3%	10	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLARK	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MACON	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SHELBY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	86.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.3%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0
FRANKLIN		1	1.7%	6	10.0%	27	45.0%	6	10.0%	0	0.0%
GASCONADE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
JEFFERSON		3	2.1%	13	9.3%	93	66.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
MONTGOMERY		0	0.0%	4	17.4%	8	34.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
OSAGE		0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
WARREN		0	0.0%	2	5.6%	14	38.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>57.7%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 933	CASS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	COOPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	LAFAYETTE	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SALINE	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>92.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CLAY	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PLATTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	36.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>40.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	14	87.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MADISON	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	100.0%	0	0.0%
	PERRY	0	0.0%	2	13.3%	12	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	4	10.0%	6	15.0%	24	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	WASHINGTON	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>71.7%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	1	1.2%	80	96.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	17	94.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	NEW MADRID	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	26	72.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	PEMISCOT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	61	85.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	STODDARD	0	0.0%	4	6.3%	52	82.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>247</b>	<b>87.6%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>25</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>1,940</b>	<b>73.8%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>

**Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2022**

SITE	COUNTY	RELATIVE CARE		DSS DYS		DMH		NOT IDENTIFIED		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	0	0.0%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	3	2.3%	133
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>133</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	GRUNDY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	HARRISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	LINN	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MERCER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	PUTNAM	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SULLIVAN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>42</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	3	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	4.2%	24
	MARION	8	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	KNOX	11	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	PIKE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	RALLS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	ST CHARLES	3	3.7%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	81
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>139</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	2	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14
	CLARK	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	KNOX	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	LEWIS	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	MACON	2	15.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	13
	SCHUYLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	SCOTLAND	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1
	SHELBY	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>60</b>
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	FRANKLIN	19	31.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.7%	60
	GASCONADE	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	JEFFERSON	28	20.0%	3	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	140
	MONTGOMERY	11	47.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23
	OSAGE	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	WARREN	19	52.8%	1	2.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>286</b>
SITE 933	CASS	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22
	COOPER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	JOHNSON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
	PETTIS	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	22
	SALINE	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>79</b>
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2
	CLAY	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7
	PLATTE	5	45.5%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	0	0.0%	11
	RAY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>20</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	0.0%	1	6.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16
	MADISON	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
	PERRY	1	6.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15
	ST FRANCOIS	5	12.5%	1	2.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9
	SCOTT	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5
	WASHINGTON	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>120</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	2.4%	83
	DUNKLIN	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18
	NEW MADRID	9	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36
	PEMISCOT	9	12.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	71
	RIPLEY	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11
	STODDARD	7	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	63
	<b>* SITE TOTAL *</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>282</b>
<b>STATE TOTAL</b>		<b>393</b>	<b>14.9%</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>2,629</b>

**Table 21. Imminent Risk Category for At-Risk Children at Case Opening During FY 2022**

Neglect	991	37.7%
Other	581	22.1%
Physical Abuse	399	15.2%
Severe Emotional Disturbance	127	4.8%
Delinquency	121	4.6%
Emotional Maltreatment	103	3.9%
Educational Neglect	71	2.7%
Medical Neglect	64	2.4%
Homeless	46	1.7%
Adoption Disruption	46	1.7%
Sexual Abuse	38	1.4%
Status Offense	22	0.8%
No category provided	20	0.8%

**Table 22. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Children Accepted During FY 2022**

Parent/Child Conflict	1,283	48.8%
Parenting Skills Problems	1,061	40.4%
Communication	1,025	39.0%
Child Neglect	824	31.3%
Mental Health Problems	781	29.7%
Emotional Problems	597	22.7%
School Problems	415	15.8%
Physical Abuse	303	11.5%
Delinquent Behavior	278	10.6%
Physical Violence	180	6.8%
Medical Illness/Disability	169	6.4%
Drug Abuse	124	4.7%
Developmental Disability	123	4.7%
Runaway	106	4.0%
Severe Financial Problems	91	3.5%
Sexual Abuse	79	3.0%
Homelessness	76	2.9%
Transportation	73	2.8%
Suicide Attempts	58	2.2%
Shelter	56	2.1%
Alcohol Abuse	40	1.5%
Criminal Behavior	33	1.3%
Marital Conflict	31	1.2%
Pregnancy	10	0.4%
Prostitution	4	0.2%

**Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables**

Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated. Each at-risk child is only counted once. If an at-risk child is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

**Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2022**

- NONE includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE CATEGORIES includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT CLASSES includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLED CLASS includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

**Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2022**

- Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.






# **Outcomes for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services**

**Table 23. Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services (Based on 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After IIS Exit)**

Fiscal Year	No C/AN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
FY 2018	3,248	28	63	45	63	90	69	54	3,660
FY 2019	3,059	21	40	38	50	66	47	1	3,322
FY 2020	2,636	14	46	25	58	54	0	0	2,833
FY 2021	2,929	22	31	45	65	1	0	0	3,093


**Table 24. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who were Listed as Victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report Within 48 months of Their Exit**



**Table 25. Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children  
Exiting Intensive In-Home Services  
(Based on 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)**

Fiscal Year	No Out-of-Home Placement	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-Risk Children Exiting
FY 2018	2,585	172	276	118	154	180	85	90	3,660
FY 2019	2,443	174	218	106	145	126	110	0	3,322
FY 2020	2,235	142	160	79	102	115	0	0	2,833
FY 2021	2,470	190	192	110	130	1	0	0	3,093

**Table 26. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services  
who Entered Legal Status 1 Within 48 months of Their Exit**



## **Notes: Outcomes During FY 2022**

At-Risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substantiated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020 and FY 2021 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

### **Table 23. Families and At-Risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2021**

- Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child was involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.