


# Children's Division Early Childhood and Prevention Services Section

# BLOCK GRANT CHILD CARE MONTHLY MANAGEMENT REPORT

**June 2017** 

Missouri Department of Social Services Research and Data Analysis 221 W. High Street Jefferson City, MO 65101



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Figure	1	Map of Regions	1
Figure	2	Reason for Care	2
Figure	3	Children Served by Facility	2
Figure	4	Children Served by Provider	2
Table	1	Statewide Summary	3
Table	2	Applications	4-5
Table	3	Reason for Care	6-7
Figure	5	Children Served	8
Figure	6	Child Care Payments	8
Figure	7	Children Served by Region	9
Table	4	Children Served and Payments by Fund Category	10-11
Figure	8	Total Children Served By Age Group	12
Figure	9	Children Served Age < 2 by Facility	12
Figure	10	Children Served Ages 2-5 by Facility	13
Figure	11	Children Served Ages > 5 by Facility	13
Figure	12	Children Served in Center by Age	14
Figure	13	Children Served in Family Home by Age	14
Figure	14	Children Served in Group Home by Age	14
Table	5	Children Served & Payments by Child Age & Facility	15-16
Table	6	Child Care Demographics	17
Table	6A	Households Receiving TCC – Summary	18
Figure	15	Transitional Households by Region	18
Figure	16	Transitional Children by Region	18
Table	6A1	Households Receiving TCC – Level 1	19

Figure	17	Transitional Households – Level 1 by Region
Figure	18	Transitional Children - Level 1 by Region
Table	6A2	Households Receiving TCC – Level 2
Figure	19	Transitional Households – Level 2 by Region
Figure	20	Transitional Children – Level 2 by Region
Table	6A3	Households Receiving TCC – Level 3
Figure	21	Transitional Households – Level 3 by Region
Figure	22	Transitional Children – Level 3 by Region
Figure	23	Children Served by Provider Type
Figure	24	Child Care Providers by Type
Table	7	Children Served, Providers & Payments by Provider Type23
Table	8	Reason for Care for Households Receiving Subsidy Payment24
Figure	25	Expenditures by Reason for Care
Figure	26	Households by Reason for Care
Figure	27	Children by Reason for Care

#### GLOSSARY

FCS/AC/CTS/IIS	Family Centered Services/Alternative Care/Children's Treatment Services/Intensive In-Home Services
IM	Income Maintenance
ECPSS	Early Childhood and Prevention Services Section
TCC	Transitional Child Care Subsidy

#### **NOTES**

- Unless noted elsewhere, all data contained in this report is based on payments made during the report month, usually for care provided the previous month.
- Payment data provided is for informational purposes only and is not meant to provide an auditable account of expenditures.
- Observe caution in the use of percentages when the base for computations is small, especially if observations total less than 100.

Figure 1. Regions




Figure 2. Reason for Child Care





Figure 3. Children Served by Facility



**Figure 4. Children Served by Provider** 




TABLE 1. CHILD CARE STATEWIDE SUMMARY JUNE 2017

	NUMBER OR AMOUNT JUN-2017	NUMBER OR AMOUNT MAY-2017	NUMBER OR AMOUNT APR-2017	NUMBER OR AMOUNT JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM	CHANGE FROM 2 MONTHS AGO	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR
	JUN-2017	MAY-2017	APR-2017	JUN-2016	LAST MONTH	2 MONTHS AGO	LASI TEAR
APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	5,552	5,330	4,158	4,936	4.2%	33.5%	12.5%
APPLICATIONS APPROVED	2,792	2,627	2,475	2,708	6.3%	12.8%	3.1%
APPLICATIONS REJECTED	2,379	1,989	1,390	1,839	19.6%	71.2%	29.4%
APPLICATIONS PENDING	1,216	1,275	906	1,015	-4.6%	34.2%	19.8%
15 DAYS OR LESS	1,168	1,217	819	917	-4.0%	42.6%	27.4%
MORE THAN 15 DAYS	48	58	87	98	-17.2%	-44.8%	-51.0%
HOUSEHOLD BEACON FOR CAREY							
HOUSEHOLD REASON FOR CARE* EMPLOYMENT	10.010	10.00/	10 110	10.040	0.0%	-0.6%	0.20/
EDUCATION	18,010		18,118	18,040		-0.6%	-0.2%
	1,185	1,256	1,331	1,218	-5.7%		-2.7%
TRAINING	409	426	423	514	-4.0%	-3.3%	-20.4%
PROTECTIVE SERVICES	7,577	7,119	7,069	7,558	6.4%	7.2%	0.3%
SPECIAL NEED	61	58	59	44	5.2%	3.4%	38.6%
INCAPACITATED PARENT	187	190	188	188	-1.6%	-0.5%	-0.5%
HOMELESS	16		15	0	14.3%		N/A
TOTAL HOUSEHOLDS	27,445	27,069	27,203	27,562	1.4%	0.9%	-0.4%
CHILDREN SERVED BY FACILITY							
CENTER CARE	30,365	29,014	29,109	30,094	4.7%	4.3%	0.9%
FAMILY HOME CARE	7,446	7,345	7,302	8,290	1.4%	2.0%	-10.2%
GROUP HOME CARE	792	780	816	696	1.5%	-2.9%	13.8%
CHILDREN SERVED BY PROVIDER							
LICENSED	28,887	27,690	27,817	29,009	4.3%	3.8%	-0.4%
LICENSE EXEMPT	4,584	4,178	4,190	4,102	9.7%	9.4%	11.8%
REGISTERED	5,335	5,373	5,290	6,145	-0.7%	0.9%	-13.2%
TOTAL (UNDUPLICATED)							
CHILDREN SERVED	38,343	36,964	36,993	38,829	3.7%	3.6%	-1.3%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$13,745,728	\$12,212,226	\$13,225,714	\$12,041,779	12.6%	3.9%	14.2%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$358	\$330	\$358	\$310	8.5%	0.3%	15.6%
OTHER ASSISTANCE RECEIVED							
TEMPORARY ASSISTANCE	1 00 4	4 77/	1 000	1 000	1 (0)	0.00/	/ 10/
CHILDREN SERVED	1,804	1,776	1,809	1,922	1.6%		-6.1%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$722,247	\$666,589	\$742,288	\$669,587	8.3%		7.9%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$400	\$375	\$410	\$348	6.7%	-2.4%	14.9%
MEDICAID ONLY							
CHILDREN SERVED	26,194	25,654	25,633	26,981	2.1%		-2.9%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$8,850,163		\$8,593,295		10.5%		12.9%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$338	\$312	\$335	\$291	8.2%	0.8%	16.3%
FOOD STAMPS ONLY							
CHILDREN SERVED	2,139		1,891	1,732	17.2%		23.5%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$758,899		\$660,884	\$512,645	30.1%		48.0%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$355	\$320	\$349	\$296	11.0%	1.5%	19.9%
CHILDREN SERVICES							
CHILDREN SERVED	7,577	7,119	7,069	7,558	6.4%		0.3%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$3,205,796	\$2,773,497	\$3,037,215	\$2,849,475	15.6%	5.6%	12.5%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$423	\$390	\$430	\$377	8.6%	-1.5%	12.2%
NO OTHER IM ASSISTANCE							
CHILDREN SERVED	641	600	595	647	6.8%	7.7%	-0.9%
CHILD CARE PAYMENTS	\$208,624	\$176,643	\$192,033	\$171,655	18.1%	8.6%	21.5%
AVERAGE PER CHILD	\$325	\$294	\$323	\$265	10.6%		22.7%

<sup>\*</sup> Households include those active & authorized for care at month end, except for Protective Services which are considered single child households active during the month.

# TABLE 2. CHILD CARE APPLICATIONS JUNE 2017

		# RECEIVED	# APPROVED	# REJECTED	PERCENT REJECTED	TOTAL RESOLVED	15 DAYS	ATION RESO 16 DAYS OR MORE	% OVER
NW REGION	ATCHISON	2	0	1	100.0%	1	1	0	0.0%
NW KEGION	CALDWELL	4	2	5	71.4%	7	4		42.9%
	CARROLL	1	0	1	100.0%	1	1		0.0%
	CASS	64	34	36	51.4%	70	62	8	11.4%
	CHARITON	1	0	2	100.0%	2			0.0%
	CLINTON	12 17	2 9	5	71.4% 57.1%	7	7		0.0%
	COOPER DAVIESS	6	ł	12	57.1%	21	16	1	23.8% 0.0%
	GENTRY	5		2	50.0%	4			25.0%
	GRUNDY	6		3	75.0%	4			25.0%
	HARRISON	4	0	3	100.0%	3	1	2	66.7%
	HOLT	0		0	0.0%	0			0.0%
	JOHNSON	42		20	55.6%	36			22.2%
	LAFAYETTE LINN	12	8	1	46.7% 50.0%	15			20.0% 0.0%
	LIVINGSTON	6		4	57.1%	2	7	0	0.0%
	MERCER	0		0	0.0%	0			0.0%
	NODAWAY	2		2	66.7%	3			0.0%
	PETTIS	62	19	37	66.1%	56	46	10	17.9%
	PUTNAM	0	0	1	100.0%	1	1	0	0.0%
	RAY	8		4	80.0%	5			0.0%
	SALINE SULLIVAN	9		2	25.0%	8	8		0.0%
	WORTH	0	0	0	100.0% 0.0%	0	<u> </u>	Ü	0.0%
	NW TOTAL	266		150	58.6%	256		Ŭ	16.0%
NE REGION	ADAIR	13	5	10	66.7%	15	10	5	33.3%
NE KEGION	AUDRAIN	6	2	3	60.0%	5		1	20.0%
	BOONE	165	90	58	39.2%	148	<u> </u>		17.6%
	CALLAWAY	21	16	12	42.9%	28			10.7%
	CLARK	0		0	0.0%	0			0.0%
	COLE	94	47	45	48.9%	92	75	1	18.5%
	GASCONADE HOWARD	5		1 7	50.0% 77.8%	2			50.0% 0.0%
	KNOX	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1		0.0%
	LEWIS	4	· ·	2	66.7%	3			33.3%
	LINCOLN	38		17	47.2%	36			22.2%
	MACON	8		5	55.6%	9			22.2%
	MARION	18		8	53.3%	15			6.7%
	MONITEAU	10		5	62.5%	8			0.0%
	MONROE MONTGOMERY	5		1	50.0% 33.3%	2 3			50.0% 0.0%
	OSAGE	3			40.0%	5			40.0%
	PIKE	11			71.4%	7			14.3%
	RALLS	7		1	50.0%	2			50.0%
	RANDOLPH	24			48.0%	25	23	2	8.0%
	SCHUYLER	1	_		100.0%	1			0.0%
	SCOTLAND	1	0	1	100.0%	1	1		0.0%
	SHELBY WARREN	13			100.0% 45.5%	11	10		100.0% 9.1%
	NE TOTAL	456			47.3%				17.2%
SE REGION	BOLLINGER	7	3	2	40.0%	5	4	1	20.0%
OF VEGTOR		58			64.2%	53			17.0%
	IBUILER		1 /		40.4%	52			21.2%
	BUTLER CAPE GIRARDEAU	54	31	21	70.770				
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER		0	4	100.0%	4	2		50.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD	54 5 8	0 3	4 9	100.0% 75.0%	4 12	2 10	2	16.7%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD DENT	54 5 8 3	0 3 2	4 9 2	100.0% 75.0% 50.0%	4 12 4	2 10 2	2 2	16.7% 50.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD DENT DUNKLIN	54 5 8 3 25	0 3 2 8	4 9 2 11	100.0% 75.0% 50.0% 57.9%	4 12 4 19	2 10 2 14	2 2 5	16.7% 50.0% 26.3%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD DENT DUNKLIN HOWELL	54 5 8 3 25	0 3 2 8 12	4 9 2 11 16	100.0% 75.0% 50.0% 57.9% 57.1%	4 12 4 19 28	2 10 2 14 21	2 2 5 7	16.7% 50.0% 26.3% 25.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD DENT DUNKLIN HOWELL IRON	54 5 8 3 25 19	0 3 2 8 12	4 9 2 11 16	100.0% 75.0% 50.0% 57.9% 57.1% 50.0%	4 12 4 19 28 2	2 10 2 14 21 2	2 2 5 7 0	16.7% 50.0% 26.3% 25.0% 0.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU CARTER CRAWFORD DENT DUNKLIN HOWELL	54 5 8 3 25	0 3 2 8 12 1 1	4 9 2 11 16 1 8	100.0% 75.0% 50.0% 57.9% 57.1%	4 12 4 19 28	2 10 2 14 21 2 7	2 2 5 7 0	16.7% 50.0% 26.3% 25.0%

# TABLE 2. CHILD CARE APPLICATIONS JUNE 2017

		# RECEIVED	# APPROVED	# REJECTED	PERCENT REJECTED	TOTAL RESOLVED	15 DAYS	ATION RESO 16 DAYS OR MORE	% OVER
	NEW MADRID	14	6	4	40.0%	10	8	2	20.0%
	OREGON	4	1	2	66.7%	3			33.3%
	PEMISCOT	20		10	52.6%	19			36.8%
	PERRY	10	6	4	40.0%	10	7	3	30.0%
	PHELPS	38		13	41.9%	31	26		16.1%
	PULASKI	24		12	54.5%	22	15		31.8%
	REYNOLDS RIPLEY	2		0	0.0%	0	0		0.0%
	ST FRANCOIS	44	16	20	0.0% 55.6%	36	30		0.0% 16.7%
	STE GENEVIEVE	9		5	55.6%	9			66.7%
	SCOTT	40	19	12	38.7%	31	23	8	25.8%
	SHANNON	3		1	33.3%	3			0.0%
	STODDARD	17	5	7	58.3%	12	9		25.0%
	TEXAS	8		4	66.7%	6			0.0%
	WASHINGTON	15		9	50.0%	18			22.2%
	WAYNE WRIGHT	8		3	37.5% 60.0%	<u>8</u>		3	37.5% 60.0%
	SE TOTAL	465		222	52.5%	423	321		24.1%
SW REGION	BARRY	10	3	3	50.0%	6	5	1	16.7%
	BARTON	6		3	75.0%	4			0.0%
	BATES	5		8	100.0%	8	5	3	37.5%
	BENTON	10	-	3	37.5%	8			12.5%
	CAMDEN	29		10	37.0%	27	21	6	22.2%
	CEDAR CHRISTIAN	5 56	2 19	28	66.7% 59.6%	6 47	5 36		16.7%
	DADE	30		1	50.0%	2			23.4%
	DALLAS	10		6	85.7%	7	6		14.3%
	DOUGLAS	0	0	2	100.0%	2	1		50.0%
	GREENE	269		131	51.8%	253			22.5%
	HENRY	13		5	35.7%	14			14.3%
	HICKORY	1	0	2	100.0%	2	1		50.0%
	JASPER LACLEDE	102 23	46	45 11	49.5% 61.1%	91 18	76 16		16.5% 11.1%
	LAWRENCE	17	7	5	41.7%	12	11	1	8.3%
	MCDONALD	6			100.0%	6			16.7%
	MILLER	16		9	64.3%	14			7.1%
	MORGAN	8			66.7%	9		2	22.2%
	NEWTON	23			50.0%	18			16.7%
	OZARK	1	1	0	0.0%	1	1		0.0%
	POLK ST CLAIR	28		14	53.8% 50.0%	26 2			
	STONE	12			66.7%	9			44.4%
	TANEY	33			62.2%	37			13.5%
	VERNON	10			60.0%	10			20.0%
	WEBSTER SW TOTAL	16 <b>712</b>		8 <b>355</b>	47.1% <b>54.1%</b>	17 <b>656</b>	14 <b>529</b>		17.6% <b>19.4%</b>
VC DECTON		4	2	4	44.70/	0	0	1	11 10/
KC REGION	ANDREW BUCHANAN	6 70			66.7% 51.4%	9 72	61		11.1% 15.3%
	CLAY	133			58.6%	116			15.5%
	DE KALB	5		3	75.0%	4			25.0%
	JACKSON	855	424	395	48.2%	819	683	136	16.6%
	PLATTE	54				46			15.2%
	KC TOTAL	1,123	528	538	50.5%	1,066	892	174	16.3%
STL REGION	FRANKLIN	68		43	55.1%	78	67		14.1%
	JEFFERSON	163			48.8%	166			13.3%
	ST CHARLES	203		77	44.0%	175			16.6%
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	1,300		466	38.7%	1,205			15.3%
	ST LOUIS CITY STL TOTAL	796 <b>2,530</b>			34.0% <b>38.9%</b>	717 <b>2,341</b>			13.2% <b>14.6%</b>
STATEWIDE		5,552	2,792	2,379	46.0%	5,171	4,312	859	16.6%

### TABLE 3. CHILD CARE HOUSEHOLDS AND REASON FOR CARE JUNE 2017

					R	EASON FOR C	ARE		
		TOTAL						INCAPACITATED	
		_	EMPLOYMENT	EDUCATION	TRAINING		NEED	PARENT	HOMELESS
				22007112011	11012112110	JIK 11010	1122	17002101	
NW REGION	ATCHISON	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
	CALDWELL	21	7	1	0		0		0
	CARROLL	16		0	0	11	0	_	-
	CASS	366		11	5	149	0		
	CHARITON	9		0	-	6	0		Ŭ
	CLINTON	40			0	18	0		
		57	32	2		22	1		
	COOPER				0			0	
	DAVIESS	10		0	0	5	0	-	-
	GENTRY	11	7	0	0	4	0	-	
	GRUNDY	30			0	14	0		
	HARRISON	7	6	0	0	1	0	-	
	HOLT	0		0	0	0	0	-	-
	JOHNSON	154	102	10	2	39	1	0	
	LAFAYETTE	97	45	5	0	47	0	0	0
	LINN	8	5	0	0	3	0	0	0
	LIVINGSTON	29	10	1	0	18	0	0	0
	MERCER	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	NODAWAY	13	6	0	0	6	0	1	0
	PETTIS	148		10	0	37	0		0
	PUTNAM	6		0	0	3	0		
	RAY	30		2	0	6	0		0
	SALINE	20		1	0	18	0		Ŭ
	SULLIVAN	20		<u> </u>	0	2	0	-	
	WORTH	0		0	0	0	0		
	NW TOTAL	1,079	596		7	423	2		
	NW IOIAL	1,079	596	45		423			U
NE DECTON	ADAID	40	2.4		0	40		0	0
NE REGION	ADAIR	69		5	0	40	0		
	AUDRAIN	59		3	1	28	0		
	BOONE	799		41	3	183	4	16	
	CALLAWAY	192	101	6	2	81	1	1	0
	CLARK	14	5	0	0	8	0		0
	COLE	497	330	20	4	137	2		
	GASCONADE	36			0	18	0		
	HOWARD	24	18		0	5	1	0	0
	KNOX	18	10	1	0	7	0	0	0
	LEWIS	9	7	1	0	1	0	0	0
	LINCOLN	116	70	3	1	41	0	1	0
	MACON	48	29	2	0	17	0	0	0
	MARION	128	58	5	0	64	0	1	0
	MONITEAU	52	32	2	0	17	1	0	0
	MONROE	25		0	0	13	0	0	0
	MONTGOMERY	9				4	0	-	
	OSAGE	34	Ŭ		0		0		Ŭ
	PIKE	60			1	27	1	0	
	RALLS	19		2	0	10	0		
	RANDOLPH	107	57	4	0	46	0		
		107							
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	_	1	0		0	0		
		5					0		
	SHELBY	17			0	10	0		
	WARREN	46			1	3	0		
	NE TOTAL	2,384	1,452	107	13	777	10	24	1
SE REGION	BOLLINGER	25			0		0		
	BUTLER	228			1	106	1	0	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	276	143	13	1	116	0		
	CARTER	2					0	0	0
	CRAWFORD	53	36	1	0	16	0	0	0
	DENT	43		0	1	21	0		
	DUNKLIN	154		5	5	67	0		
1		126			0	36	0		
	IH()WFII				U	50	U		
	HOWELL IRON			1	<u> </u>	Q	$\cap$	$\cap$	[1]
	IRON	16	7	1	0	8 61	0	-	
	IRON MADISON	16 102	7 40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	61	0	0	0
	IRON	16	7 40 1	1				0	0

TABLE 3. CHILD CARE HOUSEHOLDS AND REASON FOR CARE JUNE 2017

NEW MADRID OREGON PEMISCOT PERRY PHELPS PULASKI REYNOLDS RIPLEY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT SHANNON STODDARD TEXAS WASHINGTON WAYNE WRIGHT SE TOTAL  SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS CITY STL TOTAL	REASON FOR CARE										
NEW MADRID OREGON PEMISCOT PERRY PHELPS PULASKI REYNOLDS RIPLEY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT SHANNON STODDARD TEXAS WASHINGTON WAYNE WRIGHT SE TOTAL  SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION RICHARD	TOTAL				PROTECTIVE		INCAPACITATED				
OREGON PEMISCOT PERRY PHELPS PULASKI REYNOLDS RIPLEY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT SHANNON STODDARD TEXAS WASHINGTON WAYNE WRIGHT SE TOTAL  SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	HOUSEHOLDS	<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>	EDUCATION	TRAINING	SERVICES	NEED	PARENT	HOMELESS			
OREGON PEMISCOT PERRY PHELPS PULASKI REYNOLDS RIPLEY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT SHANNON STODDARD TEXAS WASHINGTON WAYNE WRIGHT SE TOTAL  SW REGION BARRY BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	70	40	4	0	20		0				
PEMISCOT	72 24	48	2	0	20	0					
PERRY	68	14 47		0	8 20	0					
PHELPS	65	30	2	0	33	0					
PULASKI	140	66	5	2		0		(			
REYNOLDS	105	49	5	0	51	0					
RIPLEY	4	3	0	0	1	0					
ST FRANCOIS   STE GENEVIEVE   SCOTT   SHANNON   STODDARD   TEXAS   WASHINGTON   WAYNE   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL	61	<u></u>	2	0	42	0					
STE GENEVIEVE   SCOTT   SHANNON   STODDARD   TEXAS   WASHINGTON   WAYNE   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL   SE	294	139	6	2	147	0					
SCOTT	69	33	2	1	32	1	0				
SHANNON   STODDARD   TEXAS   WASHINGTON   WAYNE   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL   SE TOTAL   SE TOTAL   SE TOTAL   SW REGION   BARRY   BARTON   BATES   BENTON   CAMDEN   CEDAR   CHRISTIAN   DADE   DALLAS   DOUGLAS   GREENE   HENRY   HICKORY   JASPER   LACLEDE   LAWRENCE   MCDONALD   MILLER   MORGAN   NEWTON   OZARK   POLK   ST CLAIR   STONE   TANEY   VERNON   WEBSTER   SW TOTAL   SW TOTAL   STONE   TANEY   VERNON   WEBSTER   SW TOTAL   STONE   TANEY   TANEY   VERNON   WEBSTER   SW TOTAL   STONE   TANEY   TA	275	162	4	2	102	0					
STODDARD   TEXAS   WASHINGTON   WAYNE   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL     WRIGHT   SE TOTAL   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL   WRIGHT   STONE   TANEY   WRIGHT   WRIGHT   STONE   TANEY   WRIGHT   STONE   TANEY   WRIGHT   WRIGHT   STONE   TANEY   WRIGHT	9	7	2	0	0	0					
TEXAS	117	48	3	0	66	0					
WASHINGTON   WAYNE   WRIGHT   SE TOTAL	47	27	4	0	16	0		(			
WAYNE	93	46	2	0	44	0		(			
WRIGHT   SE TOTAL	46	25	3	1	16	0		(			
SE TOTAL	40	15	3	1	21	0	0	(			
BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS S	2,599	1,344	88	17	1,135	2	13	0			
BARTON BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS S											
BATES BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOU	48	20	5	0	23	0		C			
BENTON CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LO	37	18	1	0	18	0	-				
CAMDEN CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST	28	12	1	0	15	0					
CEDAR CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS S	35	22	2	0	11	0					
CHRISTIAN DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  ST CHARLES ST LOUIS	125	79	3	0	43	0					
DADE DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST CHARLES ST LOUIS	31	16	1	0	13	0		(			
DALLAS DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  ST CHARLES ST LOUIS	327	164	9	1	153	0					
DOUGLAS GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS	4	2		1	0	0					
GREENE HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	37	20	2	0	15	0					
HENRY HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS	29	16		0	12	<u> </u>					
HICKORY JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	1,446	770	46	8	609	<u> </u>		3			
JASPER LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	104	52	0	0	48	0					
LACLEDE LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	17 445	232	17	3	15 192	1	0	(			
LAWRENCE MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	139		4	J 1	77	0					
MCDONALD MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	101	35	4	0	61	0		(			
MILLER MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	27	6	0	0	21	0					
MORGAN NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS	51	33	0	0	17	0		(			
NEWTON OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST CLAIR ST CLAIR STONE ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST CLAIR ST CLAI	35	12	1	0	21	1	0				
OZARK POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST CLAIR ST C	159	51	6	2	100	0					
POLK ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST CLAIR STONE ST CLAIR ST C	137	2	1	0	0	0					
ST CLAIR STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	121	36	2	1	82	0					
STONE TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	9	4	1	0	4	0		_			
TANEY VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION ANDREW BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	46	25	3	0	17	1					
VERNON WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	118	57	1	0	60	0					
WEBSTER SW TOTAL  KC REGION BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	32	25	0	0	7	0					
KC REGION BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	86	42	3	0	41	0					
BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	3,640	1,810	117	18	1,675	6					
BUCHANAN CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY											
CLAY DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	19	17	1	0	0	1	-				
DE KALB JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	303	227	13	1	60	0					
JACKSON PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	705	363	24	8	306	2					
PLATTE KC TOTAL  STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	12	4	1	0	7	0					
STL REGION FRANKLIN JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	4,098	2,626		87	1,147	8		3			
STL REGION FRANKLIN  JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	231	124	12	2	90	1	· ·	1			
JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	5,368	3,361	256	98	1,610	12	27	4			
JEFFERSON ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	001	00:	4.0		450		_				
ST CHARLES ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	381	206	12	1	159	1	2	(			
ST LOUIS ST LOUIS CITY	940	556		7	350	0					
ST LOUIS CITY	981	605	35	10	313	6		(			
	6,494	5,144	280	105	897	18					
SIL IUIAL	3,579	2,936		133	238 1 057	4	0.	5			
	12,375	9,447	572	256	1,957	29	107	7			
STATEWIDE	27,445	18,010	1,185	409	7,577	61	187	16			

NOTE: Households include those active & authorized for care at month end, except for Protective Services which are considered single child households active during the month.

Figure 5. Children Served



Figure 6. Block Grant Child Care Payments





Figure 7. Children Served By Region

**June 2017** 



12-Month Average July 2016-June 2017




TABLE 4. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY FUND CATEGORY JUNE 2017

		FCS/AC	CTS/IIS	ADOPTIO	N SUBSIDY	INCOME	ELIGIBLE	TOTAL (UN	DUPLICATED)
		CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS
NW REGION	ATCHISON	1	\$263	0		2	\$319	3	\$582
	CALDWELL	7	\$2,422	4	\$966	10	\$3,079	21	\$6,460
	CARROLL	4	\$1,131	4		3	\$708	11	\$2,809
	CASS CHARITON	95 4	\$51,558 \$1,058	55 2	\$22,944 \$280	328	\$104,988 \$0	478 6	\$179,49° \$1,338
	CLINTON	15	\$6,640	3	\$200	40	\$7,992	58	\$1,330
	COOPER	21	\$4,755	J 1	\$352	66	\$11,963	88	\$17,070
	DAVIESS	4	\$1,252	1		7	\$1,374	12	\$3,120
	GENTRY	3	\$498	1	\$154	16	\$2,702	20	\$3,35!
	GRUNDY	9	\$4,069	5		21	\$3,814	35	\$9,12
	HARRISON	1	\$493	0		5	\$2,535	6	\$3,028
	HOLT	0	\$0	0		0	\$0	0	\$(
	JOHNSON	28	\$15,034	11	\$3,358	145	\$44,572	184	\$62,964
	LAFAYETTE	30	\$15,503	17	\$6,751	66	\$19,609	113	\$41,863
	LINN	1	\$275	2	\$733	4	\$629	7	\$1,630
	LIVINGSTON	14	\$3,284	4	\$1,131	11	\$1,703	29	\$6,118
	MERCER	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$(
	NODAWAY	1	\$88	5	\$2,577	10	\$1,046	16	\$3,71
	PETTIS	19	\$5,095	18	\$5,665	152	\$26,327	189	\$37,08
	PUTNAM	3	\$338	0	\$0	2	\$351	5	\$689
	RAY	5	\$1,482	3	\$901	7	\$1,726	15	\$4,110
	SALINE	11	\$2,683	7	\$1,448	13	\$1,578	31	\$5,710
	SULLIVAN	1	\$227	1	\$296	0	\$0	2	\$523
	WORTH	0	\$0	0	Ψ.	0	\$0	0	\$(
	NW TOTAL	277	\$118,149	144	\$51,371	908	\$237,017	1,329	\$406,537
NE REGION	ADAIR	29	\$11,158	11	\$4,737	35	\$7,409	75	\$23,304
NE KEGION	AUDRAIN	29	\$11,158	7	\$4,737 \$1,574	44	\$7,409	72	\$23,304 \$13,640
	BOONE	122	\$76,484	62	\$28,743	859	\$371,380	1.042	\$476,60
	CALLAWAY	57	\$18,667	24	\$6,512	158	\$30,883	239	\$56,062
	CLARK	7	\$1,501	1	\$308	11	\$1,069	19	\$2,87
	COLF	82	\$36.029	55	\$18,486	563	\$185,436	699	\$239.950
	GASCONADE	16	\$3,922	2		27	\$4,183	45	\$8,56
	HOWARD	2	\$492	3		30	\$6,834	35	\$8,200
	KNOX	4	\$827	3	\$529	16	\$2,421	23	\$3,77
	LEWIS	1	\$354	0		11	\$1,114	12	\$1,468
	LINCOLN	31	\$9,441	11	\$2,901	82	\$17,142	124	\$29,485
	MACON	11	\$2,049	6	\$1,901	38	\$7,955	55	\$11,900
	MARION	49	\$13,425	15	\$5,239	83	\$14,169	147	\$32,833
	MONITEAU	4	\$1,363	13	\$2,999	36	\$6,082	53	\$10,444
	MONROE	11	\$2,924	3	\$930	19	\$3,374	33	\$7,22
	MONTGOMERY	4	\$1,018	0		5	\$788	9	\$1,80
	OSAGE	6	\$2,408					46	\$11,81
	PIKE	19	\$5,575	8		64		91	\$16,036
	RALLS	8	\$2,359	2		4	\$622	14	\$3,59
	RANDOLPH	41	\$14,234	4		93	\$20,788	138	\$35,970
	SCHUYLER	0	\$0	0		0		0	\$(
	SCOTLAND SHELBY	4	\$2,165	1		0	\$0 \$830	5 15	\$2,579 \$3,700
	WARREN	6	\$2,002 \$1,260	4	\$809 \$130	5 12	· ·	15	\$3,700
	NE TOTAL	537	\$214,442	241	\$82,795			3,006	\$1,004,950
		552	<del>+== 1/11=</del>		40=7200		<del>\(\frac{1}{2}\)</del>	2,200	<del>+=/00 1/00</del>
SE REGION	BOLLINGER	9	\$2,424	0		16		25	\$4,05
	BUTLER	69	\$26,433	37	\$14,523	169		275	\$82,150
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	92	\$25,865	25	\$6,936	180	\$35,645	297	\$68,446
	CARTER	0	\$0	0		0		0	\$(
	CRAWFORD	13	\$6,253	3		51	\$12,974	67	\$19,730
	DENT	15	\$3,569	6		33	\$3,799	54	\$8,823
	DUNKLIN	49	\$14,084	18		131	\$27,535	198	\$45,833
	HOWELL	25	\$9,387	11	\$2,289	153	\$28,465	189	\$40,142
	IRON	2	\$459	6		11	\$2,296	19	\$4,585
	MADISON	45	\$13,066	16		71	\$13,107	132	\$30,953
	MARIES	3	\$579			0	· ·	3	\$579
	MISSISSIPPI	6	\$2,222	0	\$0	44	\$7,028	50	\$9,250

TABLE 4. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY FUND CATEGORY JUNE 2017


		ECC/AC	CTS/IIS	ADORTION	N SUBSIDY	TNCOME	ELIGIBLE	TOTAL (UNI	DUPLICATED)
		CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS	CHILDREN	PAYMENTS
	NEW MADRID	11	\$2,998	9		91	\$16,807	111	\$22,584
	OREGON	4	\$1,025	4	\$1,024	21	\$2,877	29	\$4,926
	PEMISCOT	18	\$5,206	2	\$443	89	\$17,057	109	\$22,706
	PERRY	27	\$8,714	6	\$1,292	55	\$8,522	88	\$18,528
	PHELPS	49	\$17,902	16	\$3,710	79	\$13,584	144	\$35,195
	PULASKI	40	\$14,522	9		46	\$8,343	95	\$25,976
	REYNOLDS	0	\$0	1	\$140	6	\$749	/	\$889
	RIPLEY	103	\$6,060 \$38,866	20 47	\$7,508 \$12,249	24 171	\$4,501 \$33,254	66 319	\$18,069
	ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE	27	\$30,000 \$7,562	47	\$1,029		\$6,241	65	\$84,369 \$14,832
	SCOTT	84	\$21,927	18		316	\$61,374	418	\$88,338
	SHANNON	04	\$21,727	0	\$5,037	0	\$01,374	410	<del>300,330</del>
	STODDARD	50	\$15,248	16	\$4,727	73	\$11,869	139	\$31,844
	TEXAS	7	\$1,865	11	\$2,374	46	\$7,515	64	\$11,755
	WASHINGTON	28	\$10,086	18	\$4,000	70	\$16,392	116	\$30,479
	WAYNE	16	\$5,178	0		33	\$5,223	49	\$10,401
	WRIGHT	13	\$2,690	13	\$1,830	26	\$4,171	52	\$8,691
	SE TOTAL	827	\$264,191	316	\$87,786	2,039	\$392,159	3,180	\$744,136
SW REGION	BARRY	8	\$2,016	15	\$1,905	19	\$3,088	42	\$7,009
	BARTON	7	\$2,948	11	\$2,084	28	\$4,917	46	\$9,949
	BATES	14	\$3,304	2	\$523	13	\$1,786	29	\$5,613
	BENTON	4	\$1,439	7	\$2,447	30	\$3,631	41	\$7,518
	CAMDEN	32	\$11,946	11	\$2,459	108	\$18,833	151	\$33,239
	CEDAR	12	\$3,209	1	\$177	21	\$2,395	34	\$5,781
	CHRISTIAN	69	\$28,427	86	\$26,249	186	\$40,595	339	\$95,271
	DALLAS	0	\$0 \$4,379	0	\$0 \$1,013	0	\$0	0	\$0
	DALLAS DOUGLAS	11	\$4,379 \$1,495	4	\$1,013	28	\$5,814	43 38	\$11,206
	GREENE	288	\$1,495	304	\$103,963	26 1,190	\$4,853 \$395,012	1,782	\$6,832 \$643,656
	HENRY	31	\$144,062	17	\$6,115	78	\$14,615	1,762	\$32,992
	HICKORY	7	\$1,368	8	\$1,843	1	\$318	16	\$32,992
	JASPER	90	\$39,064	103	\$31,390	272	\$66,593	465	\$137,046
	LACLEDE	60	\$17,623	18	\$5,261	64	\$13,168	142	\$36,052
	LAWRENCE	29	\$6,734	30	\$7,194	35	\$5,167	94	\$19,095
	MCDONALD	10	\$1,756	11	\$2,173	6	\$671	27	\$4,600
	MILLER	13	\$4,431	4	\$795	32	\$5,218	49	\$10,443
	MORGAN	16	\$8,525	5	\$1,145	14	\$3,872	35	\$13,543
	NEWTON	45	\$15,590	54	\$15,672	77	\$20,370	176	\$51,632
	OZARK	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
	POLK	41	\$14,714	47	\$18,058	58	\$11,632	146	\$44,404
	ST CLAIR	4	\$1,338	0	\$0	3	\$221	7	\$1,559
	STONE	8	\$2,806	9	Ψ1,011	42	\$7,894	59	\$12,341
	TANEY	34	\$8,220	27	\$6,165	52	\$9,047	113	\$23,432
	VERNON	7	\$1,794	0		28	\$4,139	35	\$5,932
	WEBSTER	24	\$7,617	21		36	\$6,843	81	\$19,094
	SW TOTAL	874	\$347,688	797	\$243,390	2,447	\$650,692	4,116	\$1,241,770
KC REGION	ANDREW		40	^	¢0	7	¢2 100	7	¢2 100
NC KEGION	ANDREW BUCHANAN	0	\$0	10		342	\$2,109 \$131.978		\$2,109
	CLAY	41 177	\$26,001 \$88.981	19 132	\$9,785 \$48,311	518	\$131,978 \$191.600	402 827	\$167,764 \$328,891
	DE KALB	8	\$88,981	132	\$48,311	9	\$191,600	18	\$328,891 \$5,188
	JACKSON	658	\$3,210	487	\$201,484		\$1,793,739	5,911	\$2,365,718
	PLATTE	43	\$20,468	467		160	\$54,363	249	\$2,303,710
	KC TOTAL	927	\$509,155		\$280,055		\$2,175,453	7,414	\$2,964,662
		327	<del>4000,100</del>		<del>+=50,033</del>	5,557	<del>+=,=,0,100</del>	,,,,,,	<del>+=,501,002</del>
STL REGION	FRANKLIN	110	\$47,397	48	\$19,443	337	\$104,453	495	\$171,293
	JEFFERSON	245	\$128,319		\$45,742	830	\$288,076	1,179	\$462,137
	ST CHARLES	193	\$100,980	119	\$42,362	992	\$352,352	1,303	\$495,694
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	597	\$363,740	299	\$129,363	8,933	\$3,272,025	9,829	\$3,765,128
	ST LOUIS CITY	155	\$92,416	82	\$37,013	6,255	\$2,359,992	6,492	\$2,489,422
		1 200	\$732,852	652	\$273,923	17,347	\$6,376,898	19,298	\$7,383,674
	STL TOTAL	1,300	\$75Z,G5Z	- 052	7 = / - = -	-			
						•		^	
	OUT OF STATE	0	\$0			0	\$0	0	\$0 \$13,745,728

NOTE: Children are counted in each county and fund category served. Statewide children are unduplicated by fund category.

Figure 8. Total Children Served By Age Group



#### 12-Month Average July 2016-June 2017







Figure 9. Children Served Age < 2 By Facility

#### **June 2017**

#### 12-Month Average July 2016-June 2017






Figure 10. Children Served Ages 2-5 By Facility




Figure 11. Children Served Ages > 5 By Facility




Figure 12. Children Served in Center By Age




Figure 13. Children Served in Family Home By Age




Figure 14. Children Served in Group Home By Age




TABLE 5. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY CHILD AGE AND FACILITY
JUNE 2017

	CHILDREN JUN-2017	CHILDREN JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	PAYMENTS JUN-2017	PAYMENTS JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR
TOTAL (UNDUPLICATED)	38,343	38,829	-1.3%	\$13,745,728	\$12,041,779	14.2%
CHILDREN BY CHILD AGE*						
UNDER AGE 1	2,705	2,873	-5.8%	\$1,606,062	\$1,433,462	12.0%
AGE 1	4,263	4,409		\$2,576,862		
AGE 2	5,160	5,079		\$1,858,621		
AGE 3	5,438	5,277		\$1,958,242		
AGE 4	4,978	5,128		\$1,757,697		14.8%
AGE 5	4,033	4,163		\$1,737,047		
AGE 6	2,556	2,594		\$1,213,004		
	2,330	2,394				
AGE 7				\$522,369		
AGE 8	2,081	2,176		\$476,415		
AGE 9	1,816	1,782		\$425,294		14.3%
AGE 10	1,450	1,406		\$332,958		
AGE 11	1,004	1,021	-1.7%	\$230,847		
AGE 12	598	643		\$140,961		
AGE 13	92	61	50.8%	\$24,966		
AGE 14 and over	84	80	5.0%	\$30,034	\$25,475	17.9%
CHILDREN BY FACILITY TYPE**						
CENTER	30,365	30,094	0.9%	\$11,671,838	\$9,945,554	17.4%
FAMILY HOME	7,446	8,290	-10.2%	\$1,885,101	\$1,950,678	-3.4%
GROUP HOME	792	696	13.8%	\$188,789	\$145,546	29.7%
CHILD AGE BY FACILITY TYPE**						
UNDER AGE 1						
CENTER	2,047	2,104	-2.7%	\$1,398,901	\$1,207,638	15.8%
FAMILY HOME	631	732		\$189,916		
GROUP HOME	47	50		\$17,245		
AGE 1	77	30	0.070	Ψ17,243	ψ10,403	4.770
CENTER	3,363	3,390	-0.8%	\$2,281,685	\$1,969,572	15.8%
FAMILY HOME	854	973		\$2,267,003		
GROUP HOME	74	80		\$27,894		
AGE 2	74	80	-7.576	\$27,094	\$20,410	3.076
CENTER	4,163	4,095	1.7%	\$1,598,140	\$1,326,831	20.4%
FAMILY HOME	907	919		\$229,672	\$216,632	6.0%
GROUP HOME	123	89		\$30,810		
AGE 3	.20	0,	00.270	φοσ,σ.σ	<i>ϕ177100</i>	, 0.270
CENTER	4,552	4,310	5.6%	\$1,723,752	\$1,373,335	25.5%
FAMILY HOME	799	897	-10.9%	\$206,094		-1.5%
GROUP HOME	121	102		\$28,396		
AGE 4	121	102	10.070	Ψ20,070	ΨΙΛ,ΙΙ	10.070
CENTER	4,220	4,249	-0.7%	\$1,558,072	\$1,324,428	17.6%
FAMILY HOME	703	811	-13.3%	\$177,578		
GROUP HOME	94	105		\$22,047	\$20,751	6.2%
AGE 5	74	100	10.570	ΨZZ,047	Ψ20,731	0.270
CENTER	3,364	3,423	-1.7%	\$1,058,608	\$923,499	14.6%
FAMILY HOME	611	710		\$1,038,008		
GROUP HOME	93	63		\$137,404	\$9,643	

<sup>\*</sup>Age reflects the age of the child when the care was provided, and not when payment was made. A child could be counted in more than one age group if payments were made for multiple service months during the report month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> A child is counted in each facility type where care was provided.

TABLE 5. CHILDREN SERVED AND PAYMENTS BY CHILD AGE AND FACILITY
JUNE 2017

	CHILDREN JUN-2017	CHILDREN JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	PAYMENTS JUN-2017	PAYMENTS JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR
AGE 6	0.01/	4 000	4 70/	<b>4.70</b> (05	* 400 05 4	44.00/
CENTER	2,016	1,983		\$470,695		
FAMILY HOME	507	585		\$109,874	· ·	-5.0%
GROUP HOME	49	48	2.1%	\$8,766	\$6,375	37.5%
AGE 7				+ + + 0 0 0 5	+075 170	
CENTER	1,797	1,832		\$410,205	· ·	
FAMILY HOME	494	545		\$104,240		-1.8%
GROUP HOME	52	39	33.3%	\$7,923	\$6,167	28.5%
AGE 8						
CENTER	1,580	1,588		\$363,959		10.6%
FAMILY HOME	476	568		\$104,750		
GROUP HOME	39	34	14.7%	\$7,706	\$4,761	61.9%
AGE 9						
CENTER	1,305	1,277	2.2%	\$312,337		
FAMILY HOME	484	480		\$106,658		
GROUP HOME	37	33	12.1%	\$6,299	\$5,107	23.3%
AGE 10						
CENTER	1,052	981	7.2%	\$239,776		15.6%
FAMILY HOME	374	411	-9.0%	\$87,088		
GROUP HOME	31	20	55.0%	\$6,094	\$4,783	27.4%
AGE 11						
CENTER	674	667	1.0%	\$154,222		
FAMILY HOME	319	333		\$73,111		1.6%
GROUP HOME	18	25	-28.0%	\$3,514	\$4,776	-26.4%
AGE 12						
CENTER	338	337		\$77,724		
FAMILY HOME	249	300		\$60,374		
GROUP HOME	12	10	20.0%	\$2,862	\$1,886	51.8%
AGE 13						
CENTER	50	34		\$12,651		
FAMILY HOME	41	25		\$12,197		29.6%
GROUP HOME	1	2	-50.0%	\$118	\$655	-82.0%
AGE 14 and over						
CENTER	31	25		\$11,110		
FAMILY HOME	52	52	0.0%	\$18,801	\$18,881	-0.4%
GROUP HOME	1	3	-66.7%	\$122	\$801	-84.7%

<sup>\*</sup>Age reflects the age of the child when the care was provided, and not when payment was made. A child could be counted in more than one age group if payments were made for multiple service months during the report month.

<sup>\*\*</sup>A child is counted in each facility type where care was provided.

TABLE 6. CHILD CARE DEMOGRAPHICS JUNE 2017

		JUN-30-2017	JUN-30-2016
	Race of Children		
•	White	42.4%	41.1%
	Black	53.1%	52.5%
	Other	4.6%	6.4%
	lispanic - Children		
'	Yes	10.7%	2.7%
	No	89.3%	97.3%
,	Support Household		
'	Type of Household  One parent	95.1%	95.2%
	Two parent	4.9%	4.8%
	Lavanhald Cian		
·	lousehold Size	31.5%	33.2%
	3	33.0%	33.0%
	4	21.1%	20.4%
	5	9.2%	8.7%
	6	3.2%	3.1%
	7 or more	1.9%	1.7%
Monthly Household Income by He	usahald Siza*		
Monthly Household Income by Ho Household Size=2	MAGNICIU SILC		
\$545 OR LESS	40% of poverty guidelines	22.1%	23.4%
\$546-\$654	48% of poverty guidelines	2.9%	2.9%
\$655-\$763	56% of poverty guidelines	3.7%	4.1%
\$764-\$872	64% of poverty guidelines	4.8%	5.3%
\$873-\$981	73% of poverty guidelines	4.6%	5.4%
\$982-\$1,090	81% of poverty guidelines	5.8%	7.0%
\$1,091-\$1,199	89% of poverty guidelines	5.9%	6.6%
\$1,200-\$1,842	136% of poverty guidelines	42.4%	39.9%
OVER \$1,842 <b>Household Size=3</b>	Over 136% of poverty guidelines	7.8%	2.9%
\$674 OR LESS	40% of poverty guidelines	18.9%	18.5%
\$675-\$808	48% of poverty guidelines	3.6%	3.7%
\$809-\$943	55% of poverty guidelines	3.9%	5.1%
\$944-\$1,078	63% of poverty guidelines	5.1%	6.1%
\$1,079-\$1,212	71% of poverty guidelines	6.5%	6.9%
\$1,213-\$1,347	79% of poverty guidelines	6.7%	7.7%
\$1,348-\$1,482	87% of poverty guidelines	7.3%	8.6%
\$1,483-\$2,318	136% of poverty guidelines	42.0%	39.3%
OVER \$2,318	Over 136% of poverty guidelines	6.0%	3.7%
Household Size=4	200/ of payarty guidalines	10.10/	10 40
\$802 OR LESS	39% of poverty guidelines	19.1%	18.4%
\$803-\$962	47% of poverty guidelines	4.5%	5.2%
\$963-\$1,122 \$1,122 \$1,202	55% of poverty guidelines	5.2% 6.5%	6.3% 7.3%
\$1,123-\$1,283 \$1,284-\$1,443	63% of poverty guidelines 70% of poverty guidelines	7.5%	9.3%
\$1,444-\$1,604	78% of poverty guidelines	8.5%	8.2%
\$1,605-\$1,764	86% of poverty guidelines	8.2%	8.2%
\$1,765-\$2,795	136% of poverty guidelines	36.8%	35.0%
OVER \$2,795	Over 136% of poverty guidelines	3.7%	5.2%
Household Size=5			
0-\$930	39% of poverty guidelines	21.9%	22.6%
\$931-\$1,116	47% of poverty guidelines	6.3%	6.9%
\$1,117-\$1,302	54% of poverty guidelines	7.8%	9.49
\$1,303-\$1,488	62% of poverty guidelines	8.7%	9.49
\$1,489-\$1,674	70% of poverty guidelines	8.6%	8.39
\$1,675-\$1,860	78% of poverty guidelines	7.8%	7.4%
\$1,861-\$2,046	85% of poverty guidelines	30.3%	26.9%
\$2,047-\$3,271 OVER \$3,271	136% of poverty guidelines Over 136% of poverty guidelines	5.9% 2.6%	7.0% 2.2%

NOTE: Percentages are based on children and households authorized for child care as of the end of the month. \* This table reflects Federal HHS Poverty Guidelines issued January 31, 2017.

TABLE 6A. HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL CHILDCARE SUBSIDY - SUMMARY JUNE 2017

	TRANSITIONAL HOUSEHOLDS	TRANSITIONAL CHILDREN	TRANSITIONAL EXPENDITURES	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE	AVERAGE CHILD EXPENDITURE
NORTHWEST REGION	20	29	\$3,802	\$190	\$131
NORTHEAST REGION	50	81	\$12,126	\$243	\$150
SOUTHEAST REGION	47	68	\$6,741	\$143	\$99
SOUTHWEST REGION	66	87	\$13,794	\$209	\$159
KANSAS CITY REGION	151	209	\$42,517	\$282	\$203
ST. LOUIS REGION	454	644	\$144,492	\$318	\$224
STATEWIDE	788	1,118	\$223,472	\$284	\$200

Figure 15. Transitional Households Summary by Region June 2017





Figure 16. Transitional Children Summary by Region June 2017



Note: The Transitional Child Care (TCC) benefit is at a reduced rate of the traditional child care benefit, meaning TCC families have an increased responsibilty for their child care cost. TCC is only available to current child care families who have an increase in income making them ineligible for traditional child care assistance. The rate depends on the family size and countable income.

TABLE 6A1. HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL CHILDCARE SUBSIDY - LEVEL 1
JUNE 2017

	TRANSITIONAL HOUSEHOLDS	TRANSITIONAL CHILDREN	TRANSITIONAL EXPENDITURES	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE	AVERAGE CHILD EXPENDITURE
NORTHWEST REGION	10	16	\$2,169	\$217	\$136
NORTHEAST REGION	36	63	\$10,449	\$290	\$166
SOUTHEAST REGION	38	54	\$5,685	\$150	\$105
SOUTHWEST REGION	41	56	\$10,844	\$264	\$194
KANSAS CITY REGION	109	156	\$35,768	\$328	\$229
ST. LOUIS REGION	301	450	\$112,726	\$375	\$251
STATEWIDE	535	795	\$177,642	\$332	\$223

Figure 17. Transitional Households Level 1 by Region June 2017





Figure 18. Transitional Children Level 1 by Region June 2017



Note: The Transitional Child Care (TCC) - Level 1 benefit is at a reduced rate (75%) of the traditional child care benefit, meaning TCC families have an increased responsibilty for their child care cost. TCC is only available to current child care families who have an increase in income making them ineligible for traditional child care assistance.

TABLE 6A2. HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL CHILDCARE SUBSIDY - LEVEL 2
JUNE 2017

	TRANSITIONAL HOUSEHOLDS	TRANSITIONAL CHILDREN	TRANSITIONAL EXPENDITURES	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE	AVERAGE CHILD EXPENDITURE
NORTHWEST REGION	9	12	\$1,600	\$178	\$133
NORTHEAST REGION	9	12	\$1,264	\$140	\$105
SOUTHEAST REGION	6	10	\$899	\$150	\$90
SOUTHWEST REGION	18	22	\$2,459	\$137	\$112
KANSAS CITY REGION	35	46	\$6,249	\$179	\$136
ST. LOUIS REGION	127	162	\$29,147	\$230	\$180
STATEWIDE	204	264	\$41,619	\$204	\$158

Figure 19. Transitional Households Level 2 by Region June 2017





Figure 20. Transitional Children Level 2 by Region June 2017



Note: The Transitional Child Care (TCC) - Level 2 benefit is at a reduced rate (50%) of the traditional child care benefit, meaning TCC families have an increased responsibilty for their child care cost. TCC is only available to current child care families who have an increase in income making them ineligible for traditional child care assistance.

TABLE 6A3. HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING TRANSITIONAL CHILDCARE SUBSIDY - LEVEL 3
JUNE 2017

	TRANSITIONAL HOUSEHOLDS	TRANSITIONAL CHILDREN	TRANSITIONAL EXPENDITURES	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE	AVERAGE CHILD EXPENDITURE
NORTHWEST REGION	1	1	\$33	\$33	\$33
NORTHEAST REGION	5	6	\$413	\$83	\$69
SOUTHEAST REGION	3	4	\$156	\$52	\$39
SOUTHWEST REGION	7	9	\$490	\$70	\$54
KANSAS CITY REGION	7	7	\$499	\$71	\$71
ST. LOUIS REGION	26	32	\$2,619	\$101	\$82
STATEWIDE	49	59	\$4,211	\$86	\$71

Figure 21. Transitional Households Level 3 by Region June 2017





Figure 22. Transitional Children Level 3 by Region June 2017



Note: The Transitional Child Care (TCC) - Level 3 benefit is at a reduced rate (25%) of the traditional child care benefit, meaning TCC families have an increased responsibilty for their child care cost. TCC is only available to current child care families who have an increase in income making them ineligible for traditional child care assistance.

Figure 23. Children Served By Provider Type




Figure 24. Child Care Providers By Type




TABLE 7. CHILDREN SERVED, PROVIDERS AND PAYMENTS BY PROVIDER TYPE JUNE 2017

	NUMBER OR AMOUNT JUN-2017	NUMBER OR AMOUNT JUN-2016	CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR
*** TOTAL LICENSED ***	Jen 2022		
CHILDREN	28,887	29,009	-0.4%
PROVIDERS	1,711	1,839	-7.0%
PAYMENTS	\$11,140,716	\$9,568,378	16.4%
CENTERS			
CHILDREN	25,924	26,074	-0.6%
PROVIDERS	1,179	1,289	-8.5%
PAYMENTS	\$10,354,116	\$8,866,910	16.8%
FAMILY HOMES			
CHILDREN	2,250	2,339	-3.8%
PROVIDERS	443	470	-5.7%
PAYMENTS	\$597,811	\$555,921	7.5%
GROUP HOMES			
CHILDREN	792	696	13.8%
PROVIDERS	89	80	11.3%
PAYMENTS	\$188,789	\$145,546	29.7%
*** REGISTERED ***			0.0%
CHILDREN	5,335	6,145	-13.2%
PROVIDERS	1,726	1,951	-11.5%
PAYMENTS	\$1,331,611	\$1,457,783	-8.7%
FOUR OR LESS	\$1,551,011	\$1,437,703	-0.770
UNRELATED CHILDREN			
CHILDREN	5,165	5,930	-12.9%
PROVIDERS	1,636	1,845	-11.3%
PAYMENTS	\$1,279,081	\$1,386,862	-7.8%
OUT-OF-STATE PROVIDER	ψ1/217/001	ψ./σσσ/σσ <u>2</u>	7.076
LICENSE EXEMPT			
CHILDREN	31	32	-3.1%
PROVIDERS	14	12	16.7%
PAYMENTS	\$7,193	\$7,895	-8.9%
OUT-OF-STATE PROVIDER			
LICENSED BY OTHER STATE			
CHILDREN	139	183	-24.0%
PROVIDERS	76	94	-19.1%
PAYMENTS	\$45,337	\$63,026	-28.1%
MILITARY			
CHILDREN	0	0	0.0%
PROVIDERS	0	0	0.0%
PAYMENTS	\$0	\$0	0.0%
skalak = 0.00 a la 1.00 a la 1			
*** TOTAL LICENSE EXEMPT *** CHILDREN	4 EQ4	4.100	11 00/
	4,584	4,102	11.8% 0.5%
PROVIDERS PAYMENTS	438 \$1,273,402	436 \$1,015,618	25.4%
SCHOOL	\$1,273,402	\$1,010,010	23.470
CHILDREN	1,256	1,354	-7.2%
PROVIDERS	230	243	-5.3%
PAYMENTS	\$253,283	\$274,352	-5.5% -7.7%
BUSINESS	\$255,205	\$274,332	-7.770
CHILDREN	0	0	0.0%
PROVIDERS	0	0	0.0%
PAYMENTS	\$0	\$0	0.0%
RELIGIOUS	\$0	Ψ0	0.070
CHILDREN	3,137	2,597	20.8%
PROVIDERS	185	176	5.1%
PAYMENTS	\$1,004,088	\$725,296	38.4%
SUMMER	\$1,004,000	Ψ125,270	30.47
CHILDREN	232	184	26.1%
PROVIDERS	23	17	35.3%
	\$16,031	' '	0.4%


# TABLE 8. REASON FOR CARE FOR HOUSEHOLDS RECEIVING A SUBSIDY PAYMENT JUNE 2017

#### HOUSEHOLDS, CHILDREN, AND EXPENDITURES BY REASON FOR CARE

REASON FOR CARE	EXPENDITURES	HOUSEHOLDS	CHILDREN	AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE	AVERAGE CHILD EXPENDITURE
FMPI OYMFNT	\$9.079.923	15,264	27.004	\$595	\$336
EDUCATION	\$1,194,675	2,043	3,167	\$585	\$377
TRAINING	\$154,538	215	353	\$719	\$438
PROTECTIVE SERVICES	\$3,205,796	7,577	7,577	\$423	\$423
SPECIAL NEEDS	\$20,983	36	45	\$583	\$466
INCAPACITATED PARENT	\$78,534	123	215	\$638	\$365
HOMELESS	\$11,278	9	23	\$1,253	\$490
STATEWIDE	\$13,745,728	25,267	38,384	\$544	\$358

Figure 25. Expenditures by Reason for Care





NOTE: HOUSEHOLDS AND CHILDREN COUNTED ONCE FOR EACH REASON OF CARE. PROTECTIVE SERVICES CHILDREN COUNTED AS ONE HOUSEHOLD. HOUSEHOLD AND CHILD COUNTS ARE BASED ON NEED FOR CARE DURING THE SERVICE MONTH PAID.

Figure 26. Households by Reason for Care

#### **June 2017**





Figure 27. Children by Reason for Care

#### **June 2017**



NOTE: HOUSEHOLDS AND CHILDREN COUNTED ONCE FOR EACH REASON OF CARE. PROTECTIVE SERVICES CHILDREN COUNTED AS ONE HOUSEHOLD. HOUSEHOLD AND CHILD COUNTS ARE BASED ON NEED FOR CARE DURING THE SERVICE MONTH PAID.